



Copyright Notice

©2000 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or to reuse any copyrighted component of this work in other works must be obtained from the IEEE.

This document was downloaded from Chalmers Publication Library (<http://publications.lib.chalmers.se/>), where it is available in accordance with the IEEE PSPB Operations Manual, amended 19 Nov. 2010, Sec. 8.1.9 (<http://www.ieee.org/documents/opsmanual.pdf>)

(Article begins on next page)

Constant-Weight Code Bounds from Spherical Code Bounds

Erik Agrell
 Department of Electrical
 Engineering
 Chalmers Lindholmen University
 College
 P.O. Box 8873, 40272 Göteborg,
 Sweden
 agrell@chl.chalmers.se

Alexander Vardy
 Department of Electrical
 Engineering
 University of California at San
 Diego
 La Jolla, CA 92093-0407, U.S.A.
 vardy@montblanc.ucsd.edu

Kenneth Zeger
 Department of Electrical
 Engineering
 University of California at San
 Diego
 La Jolla, CA 92093-0407, U.S.A.
 zeger@ucsd.edu

Abstract — We present new upper bounds on the size of constant-weight binary codes, derived from bounds for spherical codes. In particular, we improve upon the 1962 Johnson bound and the linear programming bound for constant-weight codes.

I. INTRODUCTION

An (n, d, w) constant-weight code is a binary nonlinear code with length n and minimum Hamming distance d , where all codewords have the same number of ones, w . The maximum size of such a code is denoted $A(n, d, w)$. The value of $A(n, d, w)$ is in general not known, but a number of lower and upper bounds have been established. See [2–4] for summaries of the best bounds known today.

The new bounds presented here are based on concepts from Euclidean geometry, in particular, spherical codes. An (n, s) spherical code is a set of points on the n -dimensional unit sphere such that the inner product of any two points is at most s . Its maximum size is denoted by $A_S(n, s)$.

II. IMPROVED JOHNSON BOUND

Through an elementary mapping from binary space to Euclidean space, we obtain the following upper bound. It is equivalent to the well-known Johnson bound from 1962 [2] for $b > \delta/(n+1)$ and improves on it for $0 \leq b \leq \delta/(n+1)$.

Theorem 1. Let $b = \delta - w(n-w)/n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(n, 2\delta, w) &\leq \lfloor \delta/b \rfloor, & \text{if } b \geq \delta/n \\ A(n, 2\delta, w) &\leq n, & \text{if } 0 < b \leq \delta/n \\ A(n, 2\delta, w) &\leq 2n - 2, & \text{if } b = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Consider any constant-weight code \mathcal{C} with parameters $(n, 2\delta, w)$ and map it into Euclidean space by replacing the binary components 0 and 1 with, respectively, 1 and -1 . After translation and scaling, this yields an $(n-1, s)$ spherical code, where $s = 1 - \delta n/(w(n-w))$. Since its size is upper-bounded by $A_S(n-1, s)$, so is the size of \mathcal{C} . Applying known values of $A_S(n-1, s)$ for $s \leq 0$ [1] completes the proof. ■

Some values of $A(n, d, w)$ for which Theorem 1, in conjunction with known lower bounds [3], yields previously unknown exact values are $A(20, 10, 9) = 20$, $A(21, 10, 8) = 21$, $A(24, 10, 7) = 24$, $A(24, 12, 11) = 24$, $A(26, 12, 9) = 26$, and, somewhat surprisingly, $A(28, 14, 12) = A(28, 14, 13) = 28$.

III. IMPROVED LINEAR PROGRAMMING BOUND

The distance distribution of any binary code \mathcal{C} is defined as $A_i = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}} |\{\mathbf{c}' \in \mathcal{C} \mid d(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}') = i\}|$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$,

¹This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation and by the David and Lucile Packard Foundation.

where $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Hamming distance. The linear programming bound for a constant-weight code with $w \leq n/2$ is $A(n, 2\delta, w) \leq 1 + \max \sum_{i=\delta}^w A_{2i}$, where the maximum is taken over all $\{A_i\}$ that satisfy certain well-known constraints [2].

We propose an additional constraint in the maximization, which sharpens the bound. In the following theorem, $T'(w_1, n_1, w_2, n_2, d)$ and $T(w_1, n_1, w_2, n_2, d)$ denote the maximum size of an $(n_1 + n_2, d, w_1 + w_2)$ constant-weight code in which the number of ones in the first n_1 positions of all codewords is, respectively, at most w_1 and exactly w_1 .

Theorem 2. For all $i, j \in \{\delta, \delta + 1, \dots, w\}$ with $i \neq j$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ji}A_{2i} + (P_i - P_{ij})A_{2j} &\leq P_iP_{ji}, & \text{if } P_{ij}/P_i + P_{ji}/P_j > 1 \\ (P_j - P_{ji})A_{2i} + P_{ij}A_{2j} &\leq P_jP_{ji}, & \text{if } P_{ij}/P_i + P_{ji}/P_j > 1 \\ P_jA_{2i} + P_iA_{2j} &\leq P_iP_j, & \text{if } P_{ij}/P_i + P_{ji}/P_j \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

where P_i, P_j, P_{ij} , and P_{ji} are any numbers that satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} P_i &\geq T(i, w, i, n - w, 2\delta) \\ P_j &\geq T(j, w, j, n - w, 2\delta) \\ P_{ij} &\geq \min \{P_i, T'(w - \delta, j, \delta - w + i, n - w - j, \\ &\quad 2\delta - 2w + 2i)\}, & \text{if } i + j \leq n - \delta \\ P_{ji} &\geq \min \{P_j, T'(w - \delta, i, \delta - w + j, n - w - i, \\ &\quad 2\delta - 2w + 2j)\}, & \text{if } i + j \leq n - \delta \\ P_{ji} &= P_{ij} = 0, & \text{if } i + j > n - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

The entities T and T' can be upper-bounded using bounds for spherical codes and so-called zonal spherical codes. Details and proofs are given in [1], which also contains several other new bounds, a survey of known bounds on $A(n, d, w)$, and updated tables of $A(n, d, w)$ for $n \leq 28$.

New upper bounds obtained through Theorem 2 include $A(20, 8, 9) \leq 195$, $A(21, 8, 9) \leq 320$, $A(22, 8, 10) \leq 641$, $A(24, 8, 11) \leq 2188$, and $A(23, 10, 9) \leq 81$.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Agrell, A. Vardy, and K. Zeger, "Upper bounds for constant-weight codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, submitted December 15, 1999, available online at www.chl.chalmers.se/~agrell.
- [2] M.R. Best, A.E. Brouwer, F.J. MacWilliams, A.M. Odlyzko, and N.J.A. Sloane, "Bounds for binary codes of length less than 25," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 24, pp. 81–93, Jan. 1978.
- [3] A.E. Brouwer, J.B. Shearer, N.J.A. Sloane, and W.D. Smith, "A new table of constant weight codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 36, pp. 1334–1380, Nov. 1990.
- [4] R.L. Graham and N.J.A. Sloane, "Lower bounds for constant weight codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 26, pp. 37–43, Jan. 1980.