Abstract—We present an electro-thermal model for heterostructure barrier varactors (HBVs). The model updates the device temperature and temperature-dependent device parameters self-consistently. Harmonic balance simulations with the model are presented, and the agreement with measured HBV tripler results is excellent. A design example of a 141-GHz high-power HBV tripler further demonstrates the use of the model.
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I. INTRODUCTION

High-power millimeter wave sources are needed for many new applications including e. g. medical and biological imaging systems and security systems [1]. A promising approach to the realization of such sources is to use frequency multipliers with heterostructure barrier varactors (HBVs) [2]. A major advantage of using HBVs rather than e. g. reverse-biased Schottky varactors is that the power handling capacity can be improved by stacking several barriers epitaxially, and thereby increasing the breakdown voltage. State-of-the-art output power level results from HBV frequency triplers of 1250 mW at 99 GHz [3], 10 mW at 210 GHz [4] and 9 mW at 247.5 GHz [5] have been demonstrated. However, the device geometries and circuit topologies used are generally optimized for a high conversion efficiency at high frequencies rather than for a maximum power handling capacity. Recently, a multi-mesa HBV geometry, designed to be able to handle power levels of several Watts around 100 GHz, has been suggested by the authors [6]. With conversion efficiencies in the best case around 20%, most of the pump power is dissipated in the device, causing very high peak temperatures in the active layers. High temperatures can cause material degradation leading to device failure, and also impair the multiplier performance even for low power levels [7], [8]. Therefore, thermal considerations are important for the design of layer structures and device geometries for HBVs. Simple analytical expressions can be used for initial estimations and investigations about thermal properties [9], but in order to properly design and analyze HBVs, especially for high-power applications, it is necessary to employ combined electrical and thermal simulations. We present an electro-thermal HBV model, implemented in Agilent Advanced Design System (ADS).

II. ELECTRO- THERMAL MODEL

The voltage $V_j$ across an HBV as a function of the charge $Q$ stored in the device is calculated from the quasi-empirical Chalmers HBV model [10]:

$$V(Q) = N \left( \frac{bQ}{\varepsilon_b A} + 2 \frac{sQ}{\varepsilon_d A} + \text{Sign}(Q) \right) \cdot \left( \frac{Q^2}{2qN_d \varepsilon_d A^2} + \frac{4k_B T}{q} \left( 1 - \exp \left[ -\frac{|Q|}{2L_D AqN_d} \right] \right) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $N$ is the number of barriers, $b$, $\varepsilon_b$, $s$ and $\varepsilon_d$ are the thickness and the permittivity of the barrier and spacer layers, respectively, $A$ is the device area, $q$ is the elementary charge, $N_d$ is the doping concentration in the modulation layers, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is the device temperature, and $L_D$ is the extrinsic Debye length,

$$L_D = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_d k_B T}{q^2 N_d}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The displacement current is

$$i(t) = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The conduction current is important in GaAs-based HBVs, but also present in InP-based devices, and can be described by empirical expressions,

$$I_{\text{cond,GaAs}} = a_{\text{GaAs}} \cdot A \cdot T^2 \sinh \left( \frac{E_b}{E_0} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{\phi_b}{kT} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $E_b$ is the electric field in the barrier and $a_{\text{GaAs}}$, $E_0$ and $\phi_b$ are material constants [10], and

$$I_{\text{cond,InP}} = a_{\text{InP}} \cdot A \cdot \exp \left( \frac{T}{T_0} \right) \sinh \left( \frac{V}{V_0} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $a_{\text{InP}}$, $T_0$ and $V_0$ are material constants [11]. A temperature-dependent expression for the series resistance,
$R_s(T)$, is also needed. The series resistance depends on the device geometry, material parameters and temperature, and can, for planar HBVs, be estimated as

$$R_s(T) = R_c + R_{\text{active}}(T) + R_{\text{contact}}(T) + R_{\text{spread}}(T)$$

where $R_c$ is the ohmic contact resistance, $R_{\text{active}}$ is the resistance of the active layers, $R_{\text{contact}}$ is the resistance of the contact layers, and $R_{\text{spread}}$ is the spreading resistance in the buffer layer. Any of these resistances can be calculated as

$$R = \frac{t}{A \cdot \sigma}$$

where $t$ is the thickness, $A$ is the cross-sectional area and $\sigma$ is the electrical conductivity of the layer. The electrical conductivity depends on the doping concentration and the electron mobility as

$$\sigma = q \cdot N_d \cdot \mu_e(N_d, T)$$

where $\mu_e(N_d, T)$ is the electron low-field mobility, calculated from the following empirical model [12]

$$\mu_e(N_d, T) = \mu_{\text{min}} + \frac{\mu_{\text{max}}(T_0)(T_0/T)^{\theta_1} - \mu_{\text{min}}}{1 + (N_d/N_{\text{ref}}(T_0/T)^{\theta_2})^\lambda}.$$  

Here, $\mu_{\text{min}}, \mu_{\text{max}}, N_{\text{ref}}, \lambda, \theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ are fitting parameters available for most common III-V materials, and $T_0 = 300$ K. The thermal resistance $R_{\text{th}}$ can be calculated analytically for simple geometries, but for typical HBV device geometries, full 3-D FEM simulations have to be employed [9]. The thermal capacitance $C_{\text{th}}$ models the thermal storage of the device, so that the thermal time constant is $\tau_{\text{th}} = R_{\text{th}} \cdot C_{\text{th}}$. By using an equivalent electro-thermal circuit, the device temperature $T$ can be treated like any other control voltage [13]. Now, the electrical properties of an HBV can be modeled with harmonic balance simulations using the equivalent circuit in Figure 1 (left) together with (1), combined with appropriate expressions for the conduction current and the series resistance. The thermal properties are modeled with the

\[ R_{\text{th}} = \frac{15}{\sqrt{A}} [\text{K/mW}], \]

where, again, $A$ is expressed in $\mu$m$^2$. The conduction current is modelled with (4) with $\alpha_{GaAs} = 170$ $\Lambda/(m^2K^2)$, $E_0 = 4.2 \cdot 10^6$ $\text{V/m}$ and $\phi_0 = 0.17$ eV. The thermal capacitance $C_{\text{th}}$ is chosen so that $\tau_{\text{th}} \gg 1/f_p$ where $f_p$ is the pump frequency. Figure 2 shows results from harmonic balance simulations with the electro-thermal model. It is clear that the measured results are modelled accurately, including the increased conduction current for high power levels, which decreases the conversion efficiency. The maximum simulated device temperature is 480 K.

A. Model verification

In order to verify the electro-thermal model, we use a well-characterized GaAs-based HBV, UVA-NRL-1174, thoroughly described in [7]. For this device, the series resistance can be approximated by

$$R_s(T) = \frac{200}{A} + \left(4 + \frac{20}{\sqrt{A}} + \frac{320}{A}\right) \frac{T}{T_0}[\Omega]$$

where the diode area $A$ is expressed in $\mu$m$^2$ and $T_0$ is the ambient temperature. The thermal resistance is approximated as

$$R_{\text{th}} = \frac{15}{\sqrt{A}} [\text{K/mW}],$$

and can, for planar HBVs, be estimated as

$$R_{\text{th}} = 0.05 \Omega/\mu m^2.$$  

B. Design example

To further demonstrate the use of the electro-thermal model, we now use the model to analyze the expected performance of a 141-GHz HBV tripler source. We assume the high-power multi-mesa HBV geometry presented in [6] and a two-barrier InGaAs/InAlAs on InP layer structure with $N_d = 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$. This material structure

![Fig. 2. Simulated and measured conversion efficiency and output power for an output frequency of 234 GHz obtained for a UVA-NRL-1174-17 HBV. For the simulations, losses of 1 dB and 2 dB are assumed at the input and output, respectively.](image-url)
has demonstrated state-of-the-art performance for a 100-GHz HBV quintupler [14]. We assume a total cross-sectional device area of $A = 185 \ \mu m^2$, zero conduction current, and a thermal resistance estimated from FEM simulations to $R_{th} = 450 \ KN/W$. Again, $C_{th}$ is chosen so that $\tau_{th} \gg 1/f_p$. This device geometry consists of four series-connected mesas, resulting in an 8-barrier device. The series resistance is calculated with (6), assuming $R_c \cdot A = 100 \ \Omega \mu m^2$ per mesa, and the room temperature value is 8 $\Omega$. The conversion efficiency excluding circuit losses together with the junction temperature is displayed in Figure 3. Approximately 500 mW of input power can be handled by this device without reaching the breakdown voltage per barrier or unrealistic device temperatures [9]. The maximum increase in the series resistance due to self-heating is approximately 9%. The optimum embedding impedances at the pump frequency and at the third harmonic are $Z_{1,\text{opt}} = 20 + j159 \ \Omega$ and $Z_{3,\text{opt}} = 42 + j69 \ \Omega$, respectively. Assuming circuit losses of 1 dB at the input and at the output, the flange-to-flange efficiency is about 25-30%, and the output power is approximately 170 mW for an input power of 630 mW.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have developed an electro-thermal HBV model that updates the device temperature and temperature-dependent electrical parameters self-consistently, and is thus suitable for output power optimizations. The model is especially useful for applications where the absorbed power and the conversion efficiency is unknown or difficult to estimate. It can also be used to analyze frequency multipliers with pulsed excitations of the same timescale as the thermal time constant of the device. The model presented is verified against measured results and the agreement is excellent.

Fig. 3. Simulated diode efficiency and the corresponding junction temperature for an 8-barrier high-power HBV with an area of $A = 185 \ \mu m^2$.
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