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ABSTRACT


A novel molecular system with characteristics of an OFF-ON-OFF fluorescence switch was designed to integrate the function of a T-latch. In detail, a receptor ${ }_{1}$-fluorophore-receptor ${ }_{2}$ architecture was adopted to achieve fluorescence switching upon addition of protons.

The idea to use molecular systems for information processing has attracted a great deal of interest during the recent years. ${ }^{1-5}$ This has been manifested by the availability of molecular mimics for all essential logic gates (AND, OR, NOR, NAND, INH, XOR, etc.) ${ }^{1,3}$ and for rather complex logic devices such as adders/subtractors, encoders/decoders, and multiplexers/demultiplexers. ${ }^{2,6-10}$ Such logic functions are of elevated interest for

[^0]applications such as object coding, ${ }^{11}$ intelligent materials, ${ }^{12-14}$ pro-drug activation, ${ }^{15-17}$ and diagnostics/ actuation. ${ }^{18-20}$ While these systems work independently of the order of input application (combinational logic), the molecular memorization of information is a precondition for applications which profit from a sequential application of input signals. ${ }^{21,22}$ This behavior is reflected in the

[^1]function of molecular keypad locks ${ }^{10,23-28}$ and memory devices. ${ }^{29-35}$

The set-reset (S-R) latch was one of the first memory devices that was implemented at the molecular level by using electrochemical, chemical, and photonic signaling. ${ }^{29,31-35}$ The device is characterized by a high state (binary 1) whenever the set input is applied $(S=1)$ and which upon reset ( $R=1$ ) has a binary 0 (low) state. The herein described toggle-latch (T-latch) is a different logic switch with memory capacity. Its working principle is well illustrated with the function of a conventional light switch or of the push button of a ballpoint pen: every time the toggle input is activated, the state $Q$ of the system changes (see Scheme 1). The device "remembers" if a 0 or a 1 state was memorized ( $Q_{\text {current }}$ ) and upon each T input application, the new state ( $Q_{\text {next }}$ ) has the opposite value ( $0 \rightarrow 1$ and $1 \rightarrow 0)$. The "do nothing" situation leaves the system state unchanged.

Scheme 1. Presentation of the T-Latch Function


We anticipated that a molecular OFF-ON-OFF fluorescent switch could integrate this function. In detail, we needed a switch which upon single application of an input changes to the ON state and is set back to the OFF state by

[^2]Scheme 2. (a) Structures of Triad 2 and the Naphthalimide Models $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ with One Receptor Unit and (b) Synthesis of Triad 2 (for Compound 4, an Intermediary Product, see Supporting Information)
a)

b)

a second equal input. Fluorescent systems, which change their emission properties upon application of chemical input information, have been often explored in the design of logic switches and chemical sensors. ${ }^{3,4,36-38}$ The integrated receptor ${ }_{1}$-fluorophore-receptor ${ }_{2}$ architecture $\mathbf{2}$ (Scheme 2a) was identified as an excellent candidate to put the molecular T-latch function into practice.


Figure 1. Relative absorption spectra (dashed lines) and normalized fluorescence spectra (solid lines) for $\mathbf{2}$ (red), $\mathbf{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$(blue), and $\mathbf{2 H}{ }_{2}{ }^{2+}$ (black). Note that the low fluorescence emissions of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{2 H}{ }^{2+}$ are hardly distinguishable.

[^3]The synthesis of the new triad $\mathbf{2}$ is briefly sketched in Scheme 2b. The sequence started with the commercial 4-bromo-1,8-naphthalic anhydride, which was condensed with 8 -aminoquinoline ( $74 \%$ yield). Further aromatic nucleophilic substitution of the intermediary 4 -bromo-1,8-naphthalimide derivative with $N$-methylpiperazine resulted in the final product 2 with a yield of $52 \%$. The synthesis of the naphthalimide derivatives $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ (Scheme 2a), which served herein as model structures, is described in the Supporting Information.

Table 1. Photophysical Properties of Compounds 2, 3, and 5 and Their Protonated Forms in Aerated Acetonitrile Solution

|  | $\lambda_{\text {abs } \text { max }} / \mathrm{nm}$ | $\varepsilon / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ | $\lambda_{\text {fluo, } \max } / \mathrm{nm}$ | $\Phi_{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\tau_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{ns}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 401 | 10100 | 504 | 0.017 | $a$ |
| $\mathbf{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ | 377 | 10500 | 499 | 0.67 | 8.90 |
| $\mathbf{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{2+}$ | 383 | 11100 | 499 | 0.017 | $a$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 433 | 13000 | 520 | 0.56 | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{3} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ | 441 | 13400 | 519 | 0.006 | $a$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 398 | 9700 | 502 | 0.018 | $a$ |
| $\mathbf{5} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ | 374 | 10100 | 498 | 0.62 | 9.06 |

${ }^{a}$ Not determined due to low signal intensity.

Triad $\mathbf{2}$ contains two proton receptors: a piperazinyl and a quinolinyl moiety. The receptors have sufficiently different $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values ( 7.78 for $N$-benzoylpiperazine versus 4.60 for 8 -methylquinoline as models $)^{39}$ so that they can be stepwise protonated. In accordance with this assumption and as shown in Figures 1 and 2, the addition of 1 equiv of protons (triflic acid; $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ ) yielded a pronounced fluorescence enhancement (fluorescence quantum yield $\Phi_{\mathrm{f}}=0.67$ versus 0.017 for $\mathbf{2 H} \mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\mathbf{2}$, respectively) of the 4 -amino-1,8-naphthalimide chromophore ( $\lambda_{\text {fluo, max }}=$ 504 nm for $\mathbf{2}$ and 499 nm for $\mathbf{2 H}^{+}$). However, the subsequent addition of a second equivalent of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ caused practically quantitative fluorescence quenching ( $98 \%$ quenching). The photophysical properties of all investigated compounds and their protonated forms are summarized in Table 1. The independent actuation of both receptors in 2 was supported by the observation of the same differential photophysical effects upon protonation of the model compounds $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{5}$, which contain each only one of the two receptors (Scheme 2a). In accordance with the fluorescence response of $\mathbf{2}$ upon stepwise protonation, 3 showed quenching and 5 enhancement of the emission for the addition of 1 equiv of protons (Supporting Information). The superposition of the photophysical

[^4]trends of the model compounds in the triad was also noted for the absorption spectra. ${ }^{40}$


Figure 2. Fluorescence titration curve ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{exc}}=388 \mathrm{~nm}, \lambda_{\text {obs }}=$ $499 \mathrm{~nm})$ of $\mathbf{2}\left(12.5 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$ in acetonitrile) upon $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ addition.

Table 2. Truth Table for the Implemented Molecular T-Latch

| $T$ input <br> $\left(1\right.$ equiv $\left.\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$ | $Q_{\text {current }}$ (fluo) | $Q_{\text {next }}$ (fluo) | control channel <br> (abs, 313 nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

The fluorescence switching of triad $\mathbf{2}$ can be mechanistically rationalized as follows. The electron-donating methyl-substituted piperazinyl nitrogen atom is protonated upon the addition of the first equivalent of protons, which leads to blocking of photoinduced electron transfer (PET) and consequently fluorescence ON switching. ${ }^{4-43}$ The second equivalent of protons serves to transform the quinolinyl residue into a quinolinium cation. The hydro-gen-bonding interaction of $\mathrm{NH}^{+}$with the imide carbonyl $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ is assumed to be at the origin of the fluorescence quenching of the 4 -amino-1,8-naphthalimide derivative. ${ }^{41}$ However, PET from the singlet-excited fluorophore to the electron-accepting quinolinium cation may also be involved in the observed fluorescence OFF switching. ${ }^{44,45}$ Noteworthy, the control of 4-aminonaphthalimide fluorescence by a receptor linked to the "imide side" of the fluorophore has been rarely observed. ${ }^{41,42,46-48}$

[^5]The first three columns of the truth table (Table 2) describe the implementation of the T-latch function, which is mimicked by the above-discussed fluorescence switching. Starting with the triad in its unprotonated state (2), only low fluorescence is observed for $T=0$ (no addition of acid). This situation corresponds to $Q_{\text {current }}=Q_{\text {next }}=0$. However, protonation of $\mathbf{2}$ with 1 equiv of acid $(T=1)$ leads to $2 \mathrm{H}^{+}$and consequently a high fluorescence output (toggling from $Q_{\text {current }}=0$ to $Q_{\text {next }}=1$ ). Again, the "do nothing situation" $(T=0)$ preserves the $Q$ state (i.e., $Q_{\text {current }}=Q_{\text {next }}=1$ in this case). The second addition of 1 equiv of acid $(T=1)$ to $2 \mathrm{H}^{+}$yields $2 \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{2+}$ and concomitant fluorescence quenching, corresponding to a switching from $Q_{\text {current }}=1$ to $Q_{\text {next }}=0$.


Figure 3. Recycling of fluorescence switching $\left(\lambda_{\text {obs }}=499 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ of $2(8.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in acetonitrile) upon consecutive addition of 1 equiv of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ followed by 1 equiv of $\mathrm{P}_{2}$-Et phosphazene base. The dashed line marks the threshold. A conservative estimation yields that up to 10 cycles are possible, maintaining a dynamic switching range of $I(\mathrm{ON}) / I(\mathrm{OFF}) \geq 2$.

The protonation state of the triad can be easily reset by application of a strong base ( $\mathrm{P}_{2}$-Et phosphazene), leading to the inverse titration curve (see Supporting Information). The consecutive protonation/deprotonation of 2 with

[^6]acid/base can be repeated for at least five cycles without significant loss of the dynamic fluorescence switching range (Figure 3). The correct functioning of the T-latch requires that the initial device state is represented by the unprotonated triad 2. However, by solely reading the fluorescence output $Q$, it cannot be decided whether at a random point of operation $Q_{\text {current }}=0$ corresponds to 2 or $2 \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{2+}$. The unambiguous assignment of an output to a concrete input situation can be resolved by reading a control channel, as has been shown previously for the implementation of reversible logic functionality. ${ }^{10,28,49,50}$ This control signal is provided herein by the absorption of the quinolinium cation at ca. 313 nm (fourth column in Table 2). ${ }^{51}$ This spectral signature only evolves when the quinoline unit becomes protonated (Supporting Information). Hence, when the fluorescence is low and the absorbance at 313 nm is high, 2 equiv of base is needed to reset the system to its initial state (unprotonated $\mathbf{2}$ ). If the fluorescence output and the absorbance at 313 nm are both low, then the system is already in its initial state. Hence, the two $Q=0$ situations are now clearly distinguishable.

In summary, we have shown that an OFF-ON-OFF fluorescence switch with two degenerate proton inputs can integrate the function of a molecular T-latch. The photophysical design of the switch is based on the control of electron transfer and hydrogen-bond interaction. Work on an all-optical version, exploring photoinduced proton transfer as relay mechanism, is underway.
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