

Polaronic contributions to oxidation and hole conductivity in acceptor-doped BaZrO₃

Anders Lindman,^{*} Paul Erhart, and Göran Wahnström*Department of Physics, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden*

(Received 22 March 2016; revised manuscript received 1 July 2016; published 15 August 2016)

Acceptor-doped perovskite oxides like BaZrO₃ are showing great potential as materials for renewable energy technologies where hydrogen acts an energy carrier, such as solid oxide fuel cells and hydrogen separation membranes. While ionic transport in these materials has been investigated intensively, the electronic counterpart has received much less attention and further exploration in this field is required. Here, we use density functional theory (DFT) to study hole polarons and their impact on hole conductivity in Y-doped BaZrO₃. Three different approaches have been used to remedy the self-interaction error of local and semilocal exchange-correlation functionals: DFT + *U*, pSIC-DFT, and hybrid functionals. Self-trapped holes are found to be energetically favorable by about −0.1 eV and the presence of yttrium results in further stabilization. Polaron migration is predicted to occur through intraoctahedral transfer and polaron rotational processes, which are associated with adiabatic barriers of about 0.1 eV. However, the rather small energies associated with polaron formation and migration suggest that the hole becomes delocalized and bandlike at elevated temperatures. These results together with an endothermic oxidation reaction [A. Lindman, P. Erhart, and G. Wahnström, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 245114 (2015)] yield a picture that is consistent with experimental data for the hole conductivity. The results we present here provide new insight into hole transport in acceptor-doped BaZrO₃ and similar materials, which will be of value in the future development of sustainable technologies.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.94.075204](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.075204)


I. INTRODUCTION

Oxides are an important class of materials for applications that rely on ionic and electronic transport. In particular, a lot of attention has been directed towards oxides with the perovskite structure of stoichiometry *ABO*₃ since these materials exhibit a vast number of properties due to the flexibility of the *A* and *B* cation sites. While the existing technology for many applications (e.g., solid oxide fuel cells) is based on high temperature (800–1000 °C) oxide ion conducting materials, the search for the next-generation of materials is aimed at proton conducting materials operating in the intermediate temperature regime (400–700 °C). One of the most promising materials in this aspect is acceptor-doped BaZrO₃ since it combines high proton conductivity with chemical stability and with yttrium as dopant currently yields the best performance [1–5]. Several experimental studies [6–9] have revealed that besides being a proton conductor, Y-doped BaZrO₃ is also an oxide ion conductor and a hole conductor. With the presence of multiple conducting species there is a possibility for different applications, which for optimal performance will require a profound understanding of these charge carriers.


The mechanisms behind oxygen ion and proton transport in BaZrO₃ are well understood, where oxide ion diffusion is mediated by oxygen vacancies while proton transfer is governed by a Grotthuss-type mechanism [2]. Quantities related to these processes, such as migration barriers, have been determined both experimentally [2,10,11] and theoretically [12–20]. Hole conduction, on the other hand, is not as well understood. This stems from the fact that the conductivity depends on both mobility and concentration, neither of which are known for holes in acceptor-doped BaZrO₃. Here, a major uncertainty

concerns the character of the hole: namely, is it a *delocalized band state* or a *localized small polaron*?

Several different theories are reported in the literature concerning hole conduction in BaZrO₃ and they all involve the oxidation reaction, which governs the formation of holes in acceptor-doped perovskite oxides. The reaction is most frequently considered in terms of the hole being a band state,



but for small polarons it is more appropriate to write the reaction as



where the charge localization occurs on an oxygen ion.

Previous density functional theory (DFT) calculations based on a semilocal generalized gradient approximation (GGA) for the exchange-correlation (XC) functional have predicted the oxidation reaction to be *exothermic*, which means that the hole concentration decreases with increasing temperature [21,22]. For this to be consistent with the hole conductivity, which increases with increasing temperature, it has been proposed by Bévillon *et al.* [22] that the mobility increases more rapidly with temperature than the concentration decreases. This would imply the existence of small polarons with large migration barriers of about 1 eV. This picture has also been adopted by Zhu *et al.* [23] in order to analyze experimental conductivity measurements.

It is well-known that DFT using local and semilocal XC functionals severely underestimates band gaps and this can affect the description of holes, which is sensitive to the position of the valence band maximum (VBM). In a previous paper [24] we went beyond the semilocal approximation and used DFT with hybrid functionals as well as many-body perturbation

^{*}anders.lindman@chalmers.se

theory (G_0W_0) in order to study how the description of the band gap affects the formation of band state holes. Along with a more accurate band gap we found the oxidation reaction [Eq. (1)] to be *endothermic*, which agrees well with experimental conductivity data if the mobility is assumed to be that of a small polaron with low migration barriers or a band conduction mechanism. An endothermic oxidation reaction was recently considered in modeling and interpretation of conductivity relaxation experiments [25,26].

There are experimental studies [27,28] using x-ray absorption spectroscopy suggesting that hole polarons are present in Fe-doped BaZrO_3 and In-doped CaZrO_3 , where the latter exhibit an electronic structure similar to that of BaZrO_3 . Additionally, DFT calculations have predicted polaron formation in $(\text{Ca}, \text{Sr}, \text{Ba})\text{TiO}_3$ [29,30] and bound polarons are present in many other acceptor-doped perovskite oxides [31]. Thus, in order to gain more insight into the nature of the oxidation reaction and to elucidate the mechanisms that control the hole conductivity it is imperative to study hole polarons in BaZrO_3 .

In this paper we use three different computational approaches based on DFT to study polarons in acceptor-doped BaZrO_3 . We consider the formation and migration of both self-trapped (free) and bound hole polarons, where the latter results from association with an yttrium acceptor dopant. The implications of the results are discussed in connection with the oxidation reaction and the hole conductivity.

II. THEORETICAL APPROACH

We use DFT to study hole polarons in acceptor-doped BaZrO_3 . It is well-established that the local density approximation (LDA) as well as semilocal generalized gradient approximations (GGA) fail to describe polaron formation [32–35]. This is due to the erroneous self-interaction, which favors charge delocalization. We employ the semilocal PBE [36] exchange-correlation (XC) functional and the self-interaction error is corrected through three different approaches: DFT + U , pSIC-DFT, and hybrid functionals. The remainder of this section outlines these three methods followed by a summary of computational and theoretical details.

A. DFT + U

The DFT + U [37] method corrects for the self-interaction error by applying an on-site correction U to specific atomic orbitals, which penalizes partial occupation. Here, we consider the simplified DFT + U scheme introduced by Dudarev *et al.* [38] and the U parameter is applied to the oxygen $2p$ states. A concern with this approach is the choice of U [39]. It is common to fit the U parameter to reproduce experimental data, e.g., band gaps or x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy data. We, however, follow the approach in Ref. [29] instead, where U is determined based on the concept of *piecewise linearity*. With the exact XC functional the total energy curve with respect to charge should be piecewise linear with discontinuities at integer charges [41]. This is not the case for LDA and GGA as the corresponding curves are convex due to the self-interaction error [42]. Following the approach in Ref. [29], U should be chosen self-consistently such that the convexity disappears and piecewise linearity is obtained for the electronic level




FIG. 1. Deviation from piecewise linearity for the filling of the hole polaron level with different functionals. All calculations are performed with the same polaron configuration obtained using PBE + U with $U = 6.5$ eV. Image charge effects are accounted for using Eq. (4) with fractional charge q .

associated with the self-trapped hole. We have computed the total energy of the polaronic system as a function of fractional charge for different values of U and piecewise linearity is obtained for $U = 6.5$ eV (see Fig. 1). In the following PBE + U refers to calculations using this value of U if not otherwise stated.

B. pSIC-DFT

The second method we consider is pSIC-DFT, which is a polaron self-interaction corrected functional recently developed by Sadigh *et al.* [35]. This method utilizes the fact that local and semilocal XC functionals can accurately describe closed-shell systems (while failing qualitatively for open-shell systems). Three calculations are performed, one neutral and two with small fractional charges. The self-interaction error can then be obtained and removed by extrapolation to the integer charge state. This method is parameter free and is only three times more expensive than the underlying DFT functional, e.g., pSIC-PBE is only three times more expensive than PBE. So far pSIC-PBE has been successfully applied to model bond-centered hole polarons in alkali halide compounds [35]. Here, we report the first application to atom-centered hole polarons in oxides.

C. Hybrid functionals

Hybrid functionals are a family of XC functionals that admix a part of exact exchange (EX) into LDA or GGA functionals to improve the description of the band gap. The inclusion of EX reduces the self-interaction error, which in turn makes polaron modeling possible. The choice of the mixing parameter α suffers from a similar ambiguity as DFT + U . While there are standardized values for α that are based on theoretical arguments [43], in practice these parameters are often fitted to reproduce experimental data, most commonly band gaps. Here, we consider the range-separated hybrid functional HSE06 [44], which is based on PBE with a mixing of $\alpha = 0.25$ and exhibits faster k -point convergence than the similar hybrid functional PBE0 [43]. A major shortcoming of HSE06 and PBE0 is the computational cost, which is orders of magnitude larger than that of PBE.

Similar to DFT + U , piecewise linearity can be studied for hybrid functionals (see Fig. 1). HSE06 and PBE0 both exhibit concave curves indicating that a mixing of 25% ($\alpha = 0.25$) overcorrects the self-interaction and these functionals will thus have a tendency to overlocalize self-trapped holes in BaZrO₃. While HSE06 deviates only slightly from a linear behavior, PBE0 exhibits a significant deviation, which further motivates the choice of using HSE06 over PBE0 within the context of modeling hole polarons.

D. Computational details

The calculations have been carried out using the projector-augmented wave method [45] as implemented in the Vienna *ab-initio* simulation package [46] (VASP) with a plane wave cutoff energy of 500 eV. Modeling of hole polarons was performed using $3 \times 3 \times 3$ supercells and spin polarization. The polaron formation energy converges slowly with the number of k points (see Sec. II E) and $4 \times 4 \times 4$ Monkhorst-Pack grids were required to achieve convergence. Due to the computationally demanding nature of hybrid functionals k -point grids were reduced to $2 \times 2 \times 2$ in these calculations. Ionic relaxation was carried out until residual forces were below 5 meV Å⁻¹. All calculations were performed at the PBE lattice constant of $a_0 = 4.236$ Å for direct comparison.

E. Polaron formation energies

With PBE + U and HSE06 the polaron formation energy is calculated using the expression

$$\Delta E_{\text{pol}} = E_{\text{pol}}^{+1} - E_{\text{id}}^{+1} + \Delta E_{\text{corr}}(q = +1), \quad (3)$$

where E_{pol}^{+1} and E_{id}^{+1} are the total energies of the polaron and ideal cell, respectively, both of which are in charge state +1. $\Delta E_{\text{corr}}(q)$ is a correction for spurious image charge effects, which arise from the periodic boundary conditions. Although both supercells are charged, these effects are only present in localized polaron configuration as opposed to the band state where the delocalized charge density distribution is similar to the charge compensating jellium background. No potential alignment is required here, as compared to the standard formula for defect formation energies with a neutral ideal cell [47]. However, the use of Eq. (3) suffers from slow k -point convergence, which is due to the metallic nature of E_{id}^{+1} [29]. The image charge interactions are corrected by using the modified Makov-Payne correction of Lany and Zunger [48]:

$$\Delta E_{\text{corr}}(q) = \frac{2}{3} \frac{Mq^2}{2\epsilon L}, \quad (4)$$

where M is the Madelung constant and L is the length of the supercell. The dielectric constant is given by $\epsilon = \epsilon_{\infty} + \epsilon_{\text{ion}}$, where ϵ_{∞} and ϵ_{ion} denote the electronic and ionic contributions, respectively. With PBE + U we obtain $\epsilon_{\infty} = 4.71$ and $\epsilon_{\text{ion}} = 63.49$, which yields $\Delta E_{\text{corr}} = 18$ meV. This value is also used for HSE06.

Total energies from pSIC-DFT calculations are obtained by first calculating the self-interaction correction and then applying it to the closed-shell system. The closed-shell system of the hole is charge neutral and therefore image charge interactions are not introduced here. The polaron formation




FIG. 2. Self-trapped hole configuration (left panel) and the associated charge density projected on the (100) plane (right panel). Gray and red spheres correspond to zirconium and oxygen, respectively, and the hole polaron is located at the centermost oxygen ion. The arrows indicate the direction of the ionic displacements.

energy is thus simply given by

$$\Delta E_{\text{pol}}^{\text{pSIC}} = E_{\text{pol}}^{\text{pSIC}} - E_{\text{id}}^{\text{pSIC}}, \quad (5)$$

where $E_{\text{pol}}^{\text{pSIC}}$ and $E_{\text{id}}^{\text{pSIC}}$ are the pSIC-DFT total energies of the polaron and the band state, respectively (see the Appendix in Ref. [35] for more details regarding calculation of polaron formation energies with pSIC-DFT).

III. RESULTS

A. Free hole polarons

All three methods predict a distorted configuration for the hole (see Fig. 2), which indicates that the polaron is more stable than the band state. The two neighboring zirconium ions relax away from the polaron similar to the relaxation of the doubly charged oxygen vacancy and the hydroxide ion in BaZrO₃ [49]. The four nearest oxygen ions in the (100) plane (see Fig. 2) are displaced toward the polaron while the four neighboring out-of-plane oxygen ions (not shown in Fig. 2) are displaced slightly away. PBE + U and HSE06 exhibit practically identical distortions and these agree quite well with the pSIC-PBE results with only a few slight differences in magnitude for some displacements (see Table I). The polaronic distortion is overall somewhat smaller compared to the vacancy and the hydroxide ion [49].

TABLE I. Displacements of ions relative to the hole polaron lattice site. The coordination shell (CS) and the distance to the defect lattice site (d) refer to the ideal supercell while the coordination number (CN) refers to ions in the distorted structure. The signs next to the length of the displacement vector (Δd) dictate whether the radial component of the displacement vector is directed towards (−) or away from (+) the polaron site.

CS	d (a_0)	Atom	CN	Δd (Å)		
				PBE + U	pSIC-PBE	HSE06
1	1/2	Zr	2	0.111 (+)	0.096 (+)	0.110 (+)
2	$\sqrt{2}/2$	Ba	4	0.057 (+)	0.048 (+)	0.058 (+)
			4	0.116 (−)	0.142 (−)	0.116 (−)
		O	4	0.017 (+)	0.026 (+)	0.018 (+)
3	1	O	2	0.011 (+)	0.007 (+)	0.010 (+)
			2	0.055 (+)	0.071 (+)	0.054 (+)
			2	0.016 (−)	0.023 (−)	0.016 (−)




FIG. 3. Band structure (left panel) and density of states (right panel) of the hole polaron system calculated using PBE + U with $U = 6.5$ eV. The energy scale is shifted with respect to the VBM.

As indicated before, the charge density corresponding to the polaronic level is mainly localized on a single oxygen ion (Fig. 2). This is also evident from the band structure and the partial density of states (see Fig. 3). The polaron shows up as flat level in the band gap, about 0.7 eV above the VBM, and the level is associated with O 2 p states. With HSE06 the same level is located 1.1 eV above the VBM.

The calculated formation energies (Table II) are negative, indicating that polaron formation is favorable with all three methods. As was shown before (Fig. 1), the choice of XC approximation and the U parameter have a large impact on the piecewise linearity of the total energy and consequently on the self-interaction error. To take this one step further we investigated how the parameters affect the formation energy by calculating the polaron formation energy as a function of U (Fig. 4). A large dependence of the formation energy on U is evident and the transition for polaron formation to become favorable is located at $U = 5.7$ eV. More interestingly, formation energies for pSIC-PBE and HSE06 are close to the formation energies for U values 6.5 and 7 eV, respectively, which coincides with the behavior found for the piecewise linearity (Fig. 1) [50]. This clearly shows that self-interaction has a large impact on polaron formation and has to be accurately corrected.

B. Migration of free hole polarons

We are now in a position to consider the migration of self-trapped holes. The migration is assumed to be adiabatic [51], which means that the polaronic distortion moves along the migration path together with the hole charge. Migration

TABLE II. Formation energies of free hole polarons in BaZrO₃ calculated using Eqs. (3)–(5). PBE + U calculations have been performed with $U = 6.5$ eV.

PBE + U	pSIC-PBE	HSE06
−109 meV	−57 meV	−200 meV




FIG. 4. Formation energies of the self-trapped hole calculated with PBE + U as a function of U . All calculations were performed with the polaron configuration obtained using $U = 6.5$ eV and image charge effects have been corrected using Eq. (4). Formation energies from pSIC-PBE and HSE06 are included for comparison.

barriers have been determined with the nudged elastic band (NEB) method [52] using three intermediate images with both PBE + U and pSIC-PBE, where the starting configurations were constructed by linear interpolation between the initial and final states. With HSE06, however, we use the relaxed structures of the transition states from PBE + U , which is justified by the fact that these two methods predict almost identical polaronic configurations (Table I). Image charge corrections [Eq. (4)] have not been applied at the barrier states since the hole charge density was found to be either shared between two oxygen ions or delocalized in these configurations, which imply small (negligible) or nonexistent image charge interactions.

Two different migration paths are considered (Fig. 5). Since the polaron charge density is not symmetric in the two directions perpendicular to the Zr-O-Zr bonds it is also possible for the polaron to rotate around the oxygen ion, similar to the reorientation step of the Grotthuss mechanism for proton transfer (this rotational motion is denoted R in Fig. 5). In addition, the migration paths 1 and 2 can occur in and out of the (100) plane (cf. Fig. 2) due to the nonsymmetric charge density distribution.

PBE + U and HSE06 yield very similar results. The in-plane intraoctahedral transfer (path 1) is found to be the most favorable path between two different polaron sites, with barriers in the range of 45 to 65 meV (Fig. 5). The rotational motion around the host oxygen has a smaller barrier, which lies in the range of 15 to 25 meV. The other paths are associated with barriers that are at least twice as high, and since all transfers are between equivalent sites these paths are less relevant for polaron transfer. For both of the out-of-plane paths the hole becomes delocalized at the barrier while it remains localized on the others paths, which indicates that the effect of self-interaction cancels out to a large degree when the hole remains localized during the migration.

The pSIC-PBE method, however, yields a slightly different behavior. While the intraoctahedral transfer is predicted to be similar the barrier for rotation is larger. Additionally, the energy landscape for the interoctahedral paths is almost completely flat. Further study of the configurations at these




FIG. 5. Migration of self-trapped holes in BaZrO₃. The considered migration paths (left panel) and the corresponding migration barriers calculated with PBE + U , pSIC-PBE, and HSE06 (right panel). For paths 1 and 2 the polaron transfer can occur both in-plane (IP) and out-of-plane (OP) (cf. Fig. 2). The gray-shaded areas correspond to migration barriers prior to relaxation. The energy scale has been shifted with respect to the polaronic state and the dashed lines correspond to energy of the band state. The PBE + U and HSE06 data have been shifted by 0.2 and 0.4 eV, respectively, for clarity. Colors are the same as in Fig. 2.

barriers reveals no polaronic distortions but instead an almost ideal structure exhibiting slight octahedral tilting.

C. Yttrium dopants and bound hole polarons

We have until now only considered properties of self-trapped holes (free hole polarons). This and the next section deal with the formation and migration of *bound* hole polarons, i.e., polarons that couple to defects in the material. Here, we consider the Y-doped system where the substitution of a zirconium (4+) with an yttrium ion (3+) leads to an acceptor defect with the effective charge of -1 . The insertion of an yttrium ion into the supercell causes a symmetry reduction. This generally increases the number of irreducible k points in the Brillouin zone, which makes calculations more demanding. We therefore only consider PBE + U and pSIC-PBE in the following.

The first aspect to be studied is the effect of yttrium association on polaron formation. All unique B sites (zirconium ions) in the polaron supercell have been considered for yttrium substitution, and further ionic relaxation using both PBE + U and pSIC-PBE has been performed in order to find the most stable configuration for the yttrium dopant. To determine the association energy the configuration with the largest yttrium-polaron separation was chosen to act as reference and was assumed to be equivalent to that of a self-trapped hole:

$$\Delta E_{\text{acc}}(\delta) = E_{\text{pol,Y}}(\delta) - E_{\text{pol,Y}}(\infty), \quad (6)$$

where $E_{\text{pol,Y}}(\delta)$ and $E_{\text{pol,Y}}(\infty)$ are the total energies of the configuration of interest and the reference configuration, respectively, and δ is the yttrium-polaron separation. In the present case with a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ supercell, $\delta = 8.74$ Å in the reference configuration. Image charge corrections are not considered in this case [53].

The association of the polaron with yttrium is found to be preferable (Fig. 6). As first nearest neighbor (1NN) to the yttrium ion the polaron is stabilized by about 100 meV. However, a polaron as second nearest neighbor (2NN) is even more stable with association energies between -100 and -200 meV. PBE + U and pSIC-PBE follow the same trend, although the latter yields slightly stronger association energies. We also find that there is only considerable association when

the yttrium ion is located in the same plane as the polaronic charge density (cf. Fig. 7). Furthermore, we have considered a system with an yttrium dopant and a delocalized hole (dashed lines in Fig. 6). This configuration turned out to be the most stable structure when using DFT-PBE, which indicates that adding an yttrium ion, and thereby breaking symmetry, is not sufficient to stabilize a hole polaron with the PBE functional.

The charge densities of the two most stable bound polarons (Fig. 7) demonstrate that the hole is still mainly localized on the oxygen ion for both configurations. The 2NN configuration [Fig. 7(b)] is the most stable because of octahedral tilting. The dopant and the hole induce similar distortions, which compensate each other in this configuration. In the 1NN configuration [Fig. 7(a)], however, these distortions occur in the same octahedra.

D. Migration of bound hole polarons

With regard to migration the yttrium-induced symmetry reduction gives rise to additional nonequivalent paths. Since for the free hole polaron (Fig. 5) low barriers were only




FIG. 6. Association energy as function of yttrium-polaron separation. Circles and squares correspond to configurations where the yttrium ion lies in and out of the (100) plane, respectively (cf. Fig. 7). The dashed lines correspond to the energy of a configuration with an yttrium ion and a delocalized band state. The first (1NN) and second (2NN) nearest neighbor configurations are lowest in energy and correspond to the two structures depicted in Fig. 7.




FIG. 7. The two most favorable bound hole polaron configurations (left panels) and the associated charge densities projected on the (100) plane (right panels). These correspond to the configurations denoted 1NN and 2NN in Fig. 6. The polaron is located on the centermost oxygen ion and the \times in the right panel marks the position of the yttrium ion. Colors are the same as in Fig. 2 with the addition of purple for yttrium. (a) First nearest neighbor (1NN) configuration. (b) Second nearest neighbor (2NN) configuration.

obtained for the rotational motion and in-plane octahedral transfer only these two types of migration processes are included here. Additionally, only transfer between the two low energy 1NN and 2NN configurations (Fig. 7) is considered. This yields four different possible paths (Fig. 8), which should be representative for most paths in the real material since the level of doping is often in the range of 10% to 20% and the probability for the polaron to be further away from an yttrium ion is small. The migration barriers were calculated in the same way as for the undoped system using the NEB method.

The calculated migration barriers fall in the range between 50 and 150 meV (Fig. 8). The smaller barriers are associated with the rotational motion and the transfer between first and second nearest neighbor sites, while transfer between sites in the same octahedra is subject to the larger barriers.





FIG. 8. Hole polaron migration in Y-doped BaZrO₃. The considered migration coordinate (left panel), which starts with a rotation (R) of the polaron distortion around the yttrium-polaron axis in the first nearest neighbor configuration (1NN), and the associated migration barriers calculated with PBE + U and pSIC-PBE (right panel). The gray-shaded areas correspond to migration barriers prior to relaxation. The energy scale has been shifted with respect to the energy of the second nearest neighbor configuration (2NN). The PBE + U data have been shifted by 0.2 eV for clarity. Colors are the same as in Fig. 7.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Polaron formation

The results presented here indicate that formation of hole polarons is possible in BaZrO₃. The polaron formation energy is very sensitive to the amount of self-interaction. It is therefore crucial that the total energy with respect to the polaron fractional charge fulfills piecewise linearity to remedy the self-interaction error. The two methods that exhibit piecewise linear behavior, PBE + U with $U = 6.5$ eV and pSIC-PBE, yield similar formation energies, $\Delta E_{\text{pol}} \approx -0.1$ eV. HSE06 deviates slightly from piecewise linearity with a concave behavior and consequently yields a somewhat more negative formation energy. The rather small formation energy suggests that polarons will be stable at low temperatures while delocalization to a band state is more likely at higher temperatures. The formation energies obtained here are similar to ones found for hole polarons in the similar perovskites (Ca, Sr, Ba)TiO₃ [29,30] and in ZrO₂ [54].

B. Oxidation reaction

The formation of holes in acceptor-doped perovskites is often described by the oxidation reaction, Eq. (1), with the hole being treated as a delocalized band state. In the case of polaron formation it is more appropriate to write the oxidation reaction as in Eq. (2). The enthalpies of these two alternative reactions are related through the polaron formation energy:

$$\Delta H_{\text{ox}}^{\text{pol}} = \Delta H_{\text{ox}}^{\text{band}} + 2\Delta E_{\text{pol}}, \quad (7)$$

where $\Delta H_{\text{ox}}^{\text{band}}$ and $\Delta H_{\text{ox}}^{\text{pol}}$ are oxidation enthalpies of the band state [Eq. (1)] and polaronic [Eq. (2)] reactions, respectively. In previous work [24] we found from calculations combining DFT with hybrid functionals and many body perturbation theory that the oxidation reaction is endothermic with $\Delta H_{\text{ox}}^{\text{band}}$ of 1.6 and 0.9 eV, respectively. DFT-PBE on the other hand predicts an exothermic reaction with a negative reaction enthalpy. Here, we find that ΔE_{pol} is about 1 order of magnitude smaller than $\Delta H_{\text{ox}}^{\text{band}}$ and the oxidation reaction thereby remains endothermic when taking polaron formation into account.

We find that yttrium acts as a trap for polarons with an association energy of about -0.15 eV. The polaron as a next nearest neighbor to yttrium is the most favorable configuration

and this is also the case for the hydroxide ion (proton) in this material [55]. The fact that yttrium associates with polarons could also affect the oxidation enthalpy and possibly the character of the oxidation reaction. This is the topic of a recent paper [56], where the authors argue that the attractive interaction between the polaron and the yttrium acceptor could reduce the enthalpy and make the reaction exothermic. The yttrium association obtained here would reduce the oxidation enthalpy by -0.3 eV, which is not sufficient to make the reaction exothermic. However, yttrium can also associate with oxygen vacancies, an aspect not considered in Ref. [56]. The association energy between yttrium and a doubly charged oxygen vacancy has been found to be -0.45 eV [21]. Taking this yttrium-oxygen vacancy association into account more than compensates for association between yttrium and the polaron, which leaves the oxidation enthalpy more or less unchanged and the reaction remains endothermic.

Here, we have considered polaron association with an yttrium acceptor dopant, which is relevant since the dopant concentration in the real material is high (about 10%–20%). There is also the possibility that the polaron interacts with other less frequent defect species. For instance, defect segregation to grain boundaries [57–59] and interfaces [60] have been found in BaZrO₃ and bipolaron formation has been predicted in SrTiO₃ [30]. These types of defects and defect interactions could be relevant for the hole in BaZrO₃ and they may deserve consideration in future work.

C. Polaron migration

For the adiabatic polaron migration we predict that the transfer will occur between neighboring octahedral oxygen sites, most likely between sites in the same plane in which the majority of polaron charge density is localized. The polaron can also rearrange the charge density by rotational motion, which suggests that polaron migration is similar to proton diffusion in this material [2]. Both processes, transfer and rotation, are associated with small barriers and it is likely that hole diffusion becomes bandlike, especially at high temperatures.

For low yttrium concentrations it is reasonable to approximate the energy barrier for long range diffusion as the sum of the migration barrier of the self-trapped hole and the yttrium-polaron association energy. This yields a migration barrier of about 0.2 eV. For higher concentrations of yttrium we can resort to the migration barriers that we calculated in Sec. III D. The highest barrier obtained there is roughly 0.15 eV, which is similar to the barrier at low yttrium concentrations. These results suggest that the polaron mobility should be largely unaffected by the amount of yttrium in the material. Additionally, the barriers obtained here are not sufficiently large to justify an exothermic oxidation reaction, as proposed previously [22].

Hole conductivity has been studied experimentally in Fe-doped BaZrO₃ [61]. The analysis presented in these studies suggests that the barrier for long range polaron diffusion is about 1.2 eV at low iron concentrations, where the migration barrier for the self-trapped hole is between 0.4 and 0.6 eV and the remaining part is due to iron-polaron association. At higher concentrations on the other hand, the iron ions form a percola-

tion network, which allows polarons to move more freely due to smaller migration barriers in the range of 0 to 0.3 eV. Here, we do not obtain this behavior with large trapping energies and migration barriers. A possible explanation for this discrepancy could be that the Fe 3*d* states hybridize with O 2*p* states in the band gap [27], a feature that does not occur for yttrium.

D. Hole conductivity

Hole conductivity in Y-doped BaZrO₃ has been measured by several research groups [6–9]. When fitted to the Arrhenius-like expression

$$T\sigma_h = Ae^{-E_a/kT}, \quad (8)$$

these conductivities (σ_h) yield activation energies (E_a) within the range of 0.6 to 1.1 eV. In previous work [24] we calculated the activation energy for the hole conductivity based on the endothermic oxidation reaction in Eq. (1) assuming that the hole mobility could be described by band conduction, i.e., $B_h \sim T^{-1}$. This activation energy, which we refer to as E_a^{band} , also includes a contribution from the temperature dependence of the effective density of states for the valence band (see Supplemental Material of Ref. [24]), an aspect that has been shown to be important in the analysis of hole conductivity data [62]. The calculated values for E_a^{band} were in the range of 0.6 to 1.0 eV, in agreement with the experimental data. With the results in this paper we can now calculate the activation energy given that the hole is a polaron, which we denote E_a^{pol} . If we assume that hole migration is a thermally activated process, the hole mobility can be written as $B_h \sim T^{-1} \exp(-E_{\text{mig}}/kT)$, where E_{mig} is the polaron migration barrier. If we then consider the polaronic oxidation reaction [Eq. (2)], the activation energy can be written as

$$E_a^{\text{pol}} = \frac{\Delta H_{\text{ox}}^{\text{pol}}}{2} + E_{\text{mig}}. \quad (9)$$

Using the values calculated here we obtain activation energies in the range of 0.4 to 0.8 eV, still in reasonable agreement with experiments. The polaronic contribution is small, since ΔE_{pol} and E_{mig} are of similar magnitude but of opposite sign, giving rise to a cancellation effect. This indicates that the oxidation enthalpy yields the main contribution to the activation energy, with or without polarons, and only an endothermic oxidation reaction provides a description of the hole conductivity in Y-doped BaZrO₃ that is consistent with experimental observations.

The better agreement of E_a with experimental data using a bandlike mobility suggests that the hole is delocalized in these measurements. This is reasonable, even though polarons are found to be more stable than the band state, as these conductivities are measured at rather high temperatures (~ 1000 K) and it is thus rather likely that the polaron becomes delocalized due to thermal fluctuations.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the formation and the migration of small hole polarons and their implications on the hole conductivity in Y-doped BaZrO₃ using density functional theory calculations.

The self-interaction error inherent in the PBE functional has been addressed using three different methods: PBE + U , the hybrid functional HSE06, and the recently developed pSIC-PBE method. The methods yield fairly consistent results and this also demonstrates the applicability of pSIC-PBE to atom-centered polarons in oxides.

We find that the formation of a self-trapped hole is more favorable than the band state by 0.1 eV. The association with yttrium at a next nearest neighbor site further stabilizes the polaron by 0.15 eV. Polaron migration occurs through intraoctahedral transfer and polaron rotational processes, which for the free and yttrium bound hole polarons are associated with migration barriers of 0.05 and 0.15 eV, respectively. The results for yttrium association and migration suggest that the energy landscape is similar for hole polarons and protonic defects in this material. We find that the results presented here together with an endothermic oxidation reaction [24] yield an activation energy for hole conduction that is consistent with experimental

data, and it is likely that holes become delocalized and bandlike at elevated temperatures. In conclusion, this study gives new insight and understanding into the fundamental mechanisms that influence the hole conductivity in acceptor-doped BaZrO₃ and related materials, which will be of value in future development and characterization of existing and new materials for technologies relying on electrical and/or ion transport.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge the Swedish Energy Agency (Project No. 36645-1) and the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation for financial support. Computational resources have been provided by the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing (SNIC) at NSC (Linköping) and C3SE (Gothenburg).

- [1] T. Norby and Y. Larring, *Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci.* **2**, 593 (1997).
- [2] K. Kreuer, *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* **33**, 333 (2003).
- [3] P. Babilo and S. M. Haile, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **88**, 2362 (2005).
- [4] E. Fabbri, L. Bi, D. Pergolesi, and E. Traversa, *Adv. Mater.* **24**, 195 (2012).
- [5] M. Marrony, *Proton-Conducting Ceramics: From Fundamentals to Applied Research* (CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2015).
- [6] H. G. Bohn and T. Schober, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **83**, 768 (2000).
- [7] W. Wang and A. V. Virkar, *J. Power Sources* **142**, 1 (2005).
- [8] K. Nomura and H. Kageyama, *Solid State Ionics* **178**, 661 (2007).
- [9] A. V. Kuz'min, V. B. Balakireva, S. V. Plaksin, and V. P. Gorelov, *Russ. J. Electrochem.* **45**, 1351 (2009).
- [10] Y. Yamazaki, F. Blanc, Y. Okuyama, L. Buannic, J. C. Lucio-Vega, C. P. Grey, and S. M. Haile, *Nat. Mater.* **12**, 647 (2013).
- [11] R. A. De Souza, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **25**, 6326 (2015).
- [12] W. Münch, G. Seifert, K. D. Kreuer, and J. Maier, *Solid State Ionics* **97**, 39 (1997).
- [13] M. E. Björketun, P. G. Sundell, G. Wahnström, and D. Engberg, *Solid State Ionics* **176**, 3035 (2005).
- [14] M. A. Gomez, M. A. Griffin, S. Jindal, K. D. Rule, and V. R. Cooper, *J. Chem. Phys.* **123**, 094703 (2005).
- [15] M. E. Björketun, P. G. Sundell, and G. Wahnström, *Faraday Discuss.* **134**, 247 (2007).
- [16] Q. Zhang, G. Wahnström, M. E. Björketun, S. Gao, and E. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 215902 (2008).
- [17] B. Merinov and W. Goddard, *J. Chem. Phys.* **130**, 194707 (2009).
- [18] D.-H. Kim, B.-K. Kim, and Y.-C. Kim, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **51**, 09MA01 (2012).
- [19] J. A. Dawson, J. A. Miller, and I. Tanaka, *Chem. Mater.* **27**, 901 (2015).
- [20] T. S. Bjørheim, E. A. Kotomin, and J. Maier, *J. Mater. Chem. A* **3**, 7639 (2015).
- [21] P. G. Sundell, M. E. Björketun, and G. Wahnström, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 104112 (2006).
- [22] E. Bévilacqua, G. Dezaneeu, and G. Geneste, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 174101 (2011).
- [23] H. Zhu, S. Ricote, W. G. Coors, and R. J. Kee, *Faraday Discuss.* **182**, 49 (2015); H. Zhu and R. J. Kee, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **41**, 2931 (2016).
- [24] A. Lindman, P. Erhart, and G. Wahnström, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 245114 (2015).
- [25] G.-R. Kim, H.-H. Seo, J.-M. Jo, E.-C. Shin, J. H. Yu, and J.-S. Lee, *Solid State Ionics* **272**, 60 (2015).
- [26] R. Merkle, R. Zohourian, and J. Maier, *Solid State Ionics* **288**, 291 (2016).
- [27] D.-Y. Kim, S. Miyoshi, T. Tsuchiya, and S. Yamaguchi, *Chem. Mater.* **26**, 927 (2014).
- [28] S. Yamaguchi, K. Kobayashi, T. Higuchi, S. Shin, and Y. Iguchi, *Solid State Ionics* **136-137**, 305 (2000).
- [29] P. Erhart, A. Klein, D. Åberg, and B. Sadigh, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 035204 (2014).
- [30] H. Chen and N. Umezawa, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 035202 (2014).
- [31] O. F. Schirmer, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **18**, R667 (2006).
- [32] J. L. Gavartin, P. V. Sushko, and A. L. Shluger, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 035108 (2003).
- [33] M. Nolan and G. W. Watson, *J. Chem. Phys.* **125**, 144701 (2006).
- [34] S. Lany and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 085202 (2009).
- [35] B. Sadigh, P. Erhart, and D. Åberg, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 075202 (2015); **92**, 199905(E) (2015).
- [36] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865 (1996); **78**, 1396 (1997).
- [37] V. I. Anisimov, J. Zaanen, and O. K. Andersen, *Phys. Rev. B* **44**, 943 (1991).
- [38] S. L. Dudarev, G. A. Botton, S. Y. Savrasov, C. J. Humphreys, and A. P. Sutton, *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, 1505 (1998).
- [39] In addition to the value of U , the radius of the sphere in which U is applied is also an adjustable parameter. In this work we use the same radius as for the PAW spheres, which is the default setting in VASP. This choice is justified by a recent study where it was shown that changing the DFT + U radius had little impact on the polaronic properties of iron in FePO₄ [40].
- [40] Z. Wang and K. H. Bevan, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 024303 (2016).

- [41] J. P. Perdew, R. G. Parr, M. Levy, and J. L. Balduz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49**, 1691 (1982).
- [42] I. Dabo, A. Ferretti, N. Poilvert, Y. Li, N. Marzari, and M. Cococcioni, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 115121 (2010).
- [43] J. P. Perdew, M. Ernzerhof, and K. Burke, *J. Chem. Phys.* **105**, 9982 (1996).
- [44] J. Heyd, G. E. Scuseria, and M. Ernzerhof, *J. Chem. Phys.* **118**, 8207 (2003); **124**, 219906 (2006).
- [45] P. E. Blöchl, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 17953 (1994); G. Kresse and D. Joubert, *ibid.* **59**, 1758 (1999).
- [46] G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 558 (1993); **49**, 14251 (1994); G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Comp. Mater. Sci.* **6**, 15 (1996); *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 11169 (1996).
- [47] C. Freysoldt, B. Grabowski, T. Hickel, J. Neugebauer, G. Kresse, A. Janotti, and C. G. Van de Walle, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **86**, 253 (2014).
- [48] S. Lany and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 235104 (2008).
- [49] E. Jedvik, A. Lindman, M. B. Benediktsson, and G. Wahnström, *Solid State Ionics* **275**, 2 (2015).
- [50] Although pSIC-PBE is not included in Fig. 1, the method is constructed based on the concept of piecewise linearity and can thus be compared to PBE + U with $U = 6.5$ eV.
- [51] H. Böttger and V. V. Bryksin, *Hopping Conduction in Solids* (Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1985).
- [52] G. Henkelman, B. P. Uberuaga, and H. Jónsson, *J. Chem. Phys.* **113**, 9901 (2000); G. Henkelman and H. Jónsson, *ibid.* **113**, 9978 (2000).
- [53] The addition of yttrium yields a charge neutral system for PBE + U ; hence no corrections are required. For pSIC-PBE the supercells actually become charged in this case unlike for the self-trapped hole. However, since both terms in Eq. (6) should be subject to a similar correction, the error is expected to be small and can be neglected.
- [54] K. P. McKenna, M. J. Wolf, A. L. Shluger, S. Lany, and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 116403 (2012).
- [55] M. E. Björketun, P. G. Sundell, and G. Wahnström, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 054307 (2007).
- [56] V. I. Tsidilkovski and L. P. Putilov, *J. Solid State Electrochem.* **20**, 629 (2016).
- [57] J. B. Nyman, E. E. Helgee, and G. Wahnström, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **100**, 061903 (2012).
- [58] J. M. Polfus, K. Toyoura, F. Oba, I. Tanaka, and R. Haugsrud, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **14**, 12339 (2012).
- [59] E. E. Helgee, A. Lindman, and G. Wahnström, *Fuel Cells* **13**, 19 (2013).
- [60] T. Tauer, R. O'Hayre, and J. W. Medlin, *J. Mater. Chem. A* **1**, 2840 (2013); *Chem. Mater.* **26**, 4915 (2014).
- [61] D.-Y. Kim, S. Miyoshi, T. Tsuchiya, and S. Yamaguchi, *ECS Trans.* **45**, 161 (2012); *Solid State Ionics* **262**, 875 (2014).
- [62] A. R. Nagaraja, N. H. Perry, T. O. Mason, Y. Tang, M. Grayson, T. R. Paudel, S. Lany, and A. Zunger, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **95**, 269 (2012).