# Prospective life cycle assessment of adipic acid production from forest residue

Matty Janssen, Rio Aryapratama & Anne-Marie Tillman

Division of Environmental Systems Analysis Department of Energy & Environment Chalmers University of Technology Göteborg, Sweden

September 22, 2016





#### Outline

- 1 Traditional and alternative production of adipic acid
- 2 Previous LCAs of adipic acid production
- 3 Goal and scope of the assessment
- 4 Results
- 5 Conclusions



#### Fossil-based production of adipic acid

- $\blacksquare Main application \rightarrow Production of nylon-6,6$
- Traditional production from fossil resources  $\rightarrow$  KA oil<sup>1</sup>



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A. Shimizu, K. Tanaka, and M. Fujimori. Chemosphere - Global Change Science 2.3-4 (2000), pp. 425-434.



#### Bio-based production of adipic acid

Biorefinery concept for the production of bulk and fine chemicals



- $\blacksquare \ \mbox{Bulk chemical} \rightarrow \mbox{Adipic acid, lignin derivative, e.g. terephthalic acid}$
- Fine chemical → Lutein



#### Previous LCAs of adipic acid production

- ecoinvent process for adipic acid production<sup>2,3</sup>
  - $\blacksquare~$  Global warming  $\approx 25~kg~CO_2\text{-}eq/kg$  adipic acid produced
  - $\blacksquare$  Elimination of  $N_2O$  emissions  $\rightarrow$  75% reduction of global warming
  - Switch to renewable resource  $\rightarrow$  10% reduction of global warming

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>H.-J. Althaus et al. Tech. rep. ecoinvent report No. 8. EMPA Dübendorf, 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>E. Svensson et al. 10<sup>th</sup> Conference on Sustainable Development of Energy, Water and Environment Systems. 2015.



#### Previous LCAs of adipic acid production

- ecoinvent process for adipic acid production<sup>2,3</sup>
  - $\blacksquare$  Global warming  $\approx 25~\text{kg}~\text{CO}_2\text{-}\text{eq/kg}$  adipic acid produced
  - $\blacksquare$  Elimination of  $N_2O$  emissions  $\rightarrow$  75% reduction of global warming
  - Switch to renewable resource  $\rightarrow$  10% reduction of global warming
- Production from cyclohexene using H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub><sup>4</sup>
  - Fossil-based feedstock but no use of HNO<sub>3</sub>
  - Global warming  $\approx$  6 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq/kg adipic acid produced
- Production from aromatic compounds via fermentation<sup>5</sup>
  - Both fossil-based and bio-based feedstock, no N<sub>2</sub>O emissions
  - Global warming reduction  $\rightarrow$  9 to 17 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq/kg adipic acid produced

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>H.-J. Althaus et al. Tech. rep. ecoinvent report No. 8. EMPA Dübendorf, 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>E. Svensson et al. 10<sup>th</sup> Conference on Sustainable Development of Energy, Water and Environment Systems. 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>O. Wang et al. Chemical Engineering Journal 234 (2013), pp. 300-311.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>J. van Duuren et al. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 108.6 (2011), pp. 1298–1306.

#### Outline Introduction Literature review LCA set-up Results Conclusions

#### System description I



- $\blacksquare \ Goal \rightarrow Guide \ technology \ development$
- $\blacksquare$  Functional unit  $\rightarrow$  1 kg of adipic acid produced

CHALMERS
undernetry or receive ony

## System description II



Pretreatment

- $\blacksquare \ Acid-catalyzed \rightarrow SO_2$
- $\blacksquare \ Alkaline \rightarrow NaBH_4$
- Additional fuel use → Fossil, biomass
  - Fermentation yield
  - Concentration of product



### System description II



- Pretreatment
  - $\blacksquare \text{ Acid-catalyzed} \rightarrow SO_2$
  - $\blacksquare Alkaline \rightarrow NaBH_4$
- Additional fuel use → Fossil, biomass
  - Fermentation yield
  - Concentration of product
- Lignin use → As fuel, as product

	LCA set-up	Conclusions

#### System description II



- Soil organic carbon change
- Pretreatment
  - $\blacksquare \ \text{Acid-catalyzed} \to \text{SO}_2$
  - $\blacksquare Alkaline \rightarrow NaBH_4$
- Additional fuel use → Fossil, biomass
  - Fermentation yield
  - Concentration of product
- Lignin use → As fuel, as product

#### Acid-catalyzed pretreatment



- $\blacksquare$  Bio-based pathway  $\rightarrow$  Significant environmental benefits
- $\blacksquare \ Hotspots \rightarrow Downstream, \ GROT \ pretreatment, \ enzyme$

INIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY				Department of Energy and Env	vironment
Outline	Introduction	Literature review	LCA set-up	Results	Conclusio
Alkalin	e pretreatr	nent			
GWP [kg CO <sub>2</sub> -eq]	0 Fossil: 25.6 kg CO <sub>2</sub> -eq 8	1,5×10 <sup>2</sup> Fossi: 2 0 <sup>4</sup> 1,0×10 <sup>2</sup> 0 <sup>4</sup> 1,0×10 <sup>2</sup> 0 <sup>4</sup> 1,0×10 <sup>2</sup> 0 <sup>4</sup> 1,0×10 <sup>3</sup> 0,0	-9×10 <sup>2</sup> kg PQ, sq -	External forestry     Sawmill plant     Forest harvesting     NaBH, production and use     GROT pertreatment     GROT neutralization     Enzyme production and use     Hydrolysis & fermentation     Downstream processing     Base case - lignin incinerated, foss     for additional energy needs	;e
6,0×10 De OS Dy dy 2,0×10 dy 2,0×10	Feesle 3.1×10 <sup>2</sup> kg SO <sub>2</sub> eq	10	.3.10 <sup>-3</sup> kg ethylen-eq	Scenario 1 - lignin incirented, bior for additional energy needs Scenario 2 - lignin soud, fossi luel for additional energy needs Scenario 3 - lignin sold, biomass for additional energy needs	1855

Higher impacts when compared to the acid pretreatment

Process options

 $\blacksquare \ Hotspots \rightarrow NaBH_4, \ downstream, \ GROT \ neutralization$ 

Process options



#### NaBH<sub>4</sub> production and use

■ Switch to biomass use for energy purposes in NaBH<sub>4</sub> production

Scenario	GWP change [%]
Base case	-22
1	-32
2	-19
3	-32



#### NaBH<sub>4</sub> production and use

Switch to biomass use for energy purposes in NaBH<sub>4</sub> production

Scenario	GWP change [%]
Base case	-22
1	-32
2	-19
3	-32

■ Change in dosage of NaBH<sub>4</sub> in pretreatment step



10/13

#### Outline Introduction Literature review LCA set-up Results Conclusions

#### SOC change due to adipic acid production



- SOC change over 100 years<sup>6</sup>
- Plant capacity of 100 000 t per year  $\rightarrow$  2.9 TWh (or 6 × 10<sup>5</sup> t) of extra GROT extracted
- Loss of carbon of 3.2 g C (or 11.7 g CO<sub>2</sub>) per kg adipic acid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>C. A. Ortiz et al. Biomass and Bioenergy 70 (2014), pp. 230-238.



#### Conclusions

- Significant environmental benefit when using to a forest-based feedstock
  - In some cases, worse AP
  - Further improvement by using biomass as an energy source

#### Conclusions

CHALMERS

Outline

- Significant environmental benefit when using to a forest-based feedstock
  - In some cases, worse AP
  - Further improvement by using biomass as an energy source
- What are the environmental hotspots?
  - Acid-catalyzed pretreatment → Downstream processing, GROT pretreatment
  - Alkaline pretreatment → NaBH<sub>4</sub> production and use, downstream processing
- Alkaline pretreatment results in a higher environmental impact
  - Cleaner production of NaBH<sub>4</sub> can be achieved
  - Lower usage of NaBH<sub>4</sub>

Conclusions

Results

Conclusions

#### Conclusions

CHALMERS

- Significant environmental benefit when using to a forest-based feedstock
  - In some cases, worse AP
  - Further improvement by using biomass as an energy source
- What are the environmental hotspots?
  - Acid-catalyzed pretreatment  $\rightarrow$  Downstream processing, GROT pretreatment
  - $\blacksquare$  Alkaline pretreatment  $\rightarrow NaBH_4$  production and use, downstream processing
- Alkaline pretreatment results in a higher environmental impact
  - Cleaner production of NaBH<sub>4</sub> can be achieved
  - Lower usage of NaBH<sub>4</sub>
- Changes in organic carbon content in the soil due to adipic acid production are small
  - Insignificant climate impact
  - Other impacts?



## THANK YOU Any questions?

