

# Impacts of Solar Photovoltaic on the Protection System of Distribution Networks

A case of the CIGRE low voltage network and a typical medium voltage distribution network in Sweden

Master's thesis in Electric Power Engineering

LIWANGA NAMANGOLWA, ELIZABETH BEGUMISA

Department of Energy and Environment CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Gothenburg, Sweden 2016

#### Master's thesis

#### Impacts of Solar Photovoltaic on the Protection System of Distribution Networks

# A case of the CIGRE low voltage network and a typical medium voltage distribution network in Sweden

Liwanga Namangolwa, Elizabeth Begumisa

In partial fulfilment for the award of Master of Science degree in Electric Power Engineering, in the Department of Energy and Environment, Division of Electric Power Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden

Supervisor and Examiner:	Tuan Anh Le Department of Energy and Environment Division of Electric Power Engineering CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY SE-412 96 Goteborg, Sweden
Supervisor:	Tarik Abdulahovic Department of Energy and Environment Division of Electric Power Engineering CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

SE-412 96 Goteborg, Sweden

Department of Energy and Environment Division of Electric Power Engineering CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Goteborg, Sweden 2016

## Impacts of Solar Photovoltaic on the Protection System of Distribution Networks

# A case of the CIGRE low voltage network and a typical medium voltage distribution network in Sweden

Master's thesis within the Master's programme in Electric Power Engineering Liwanga Namangolwa, Elizabeth Begumisa

© Liwanga Namangolwa, Elizabeth Begumisa, 2016

Department of Energy and Environment Division of Electric Power Engineering CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY SE-412 96 Goteborg Sweden Telephone: +46(0)31-7721000 Fax: +46(0)31-7721633

Chalmers Bibliotek, Reproservice Gothenburg, Sweden 2016

## Impacts of Solar Photovoltaic on the Protection System of Distribution Networks A case of the CIGRE low voltage network and a typical medium voltage distribution network in Sweden

Master's thesis within the Master's programme in Electric Power Engineering

Liwanga Namangolwa, Elizabeth Begumisa

Department of Energy and Environment Division of Electric Power Engineering CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

## Abstract

Solar energy has a massive potential to contribute towards energy security and meet some of the world's electricity demands. It is a clean and renewable form of energy. There is a lot of research going on in the area of solar. One of the research topics is the integration of solar Photovoltaic (PV) to existing electricity grids. Existing distribution grids are normally radial in nature and have a single direction of current flow from the supply to the customer end. Overcurrent protection coordination for passive radial networks is based upon single direction power flow. When distributed generation (DG) is present in these networks, the current flows from the customer end as well. This current flow distorts the original overcurrent protection coordination by increasing/reducing fault current level and direction of the current flow.

This thesis studied the impact on the overcurrent protection coordination of a distribution grid caused by solar DG. A low voltage distribution network and a medium voltage distribution network are modelled and simulated using PSCAD/EMTDC. The performance of the overcurrent protection was studied in both the presence and absence of solar PV. Some of the identified impacts due to the presence of solar PV are false tripping of feeders, nuisance trippings, blinding of protection, and unwanted islanding. The hosting capacity for the medium voltage network was determined to be around 62%. Solar penetration levels of 10% and above caused unwanted tripping for upstream faults. For downstream faults, there was a sharp rise in tripping times at solar penetration levels of between 30 to 62%. It is found that when the DG unit is protected against uncontrolled islanding, the impacts are mitigated. It was found that direct transfer trip, rate of change of frequency and under voltage protection provide good protection for grids with DG units.

**Key words:** distributed generation, medium voltage, photovoltaic, distribution network, fault currents, protection system, protection scheme, overcurrent protection, protection coordination.

## Acknowledgements

This thesis has been made possible by the support of many people and organizations. The authors would wish to convey warmest gratitude to the Swedish Institute, SI, for granting them Swedish institute study scholarships which supported their studies during the period this thesis was carried out at Chalmers University of Technology. Our indebtedness goes to Dr. Tuan Anh Le, for his supervision, invaluable advice, support and guidance. Dr. Tarik Abdulahovic, we salute you for the tireless support you rendered during this thesis, your advice and support enabled us accomplish the tasks that lay before us. Our thanks to Pavan Balram for the provision of the CIGRE Network data.

Liwanga would like to express thanks to his employers, ZESCO Ltd, for granting him study leave which has allowed him pursue his studies. His warmest thanks go to his wife, Olivian, children, Liseli, Chipo and Liwanga for the support, encouragement, and bearing his absence. Ndandula, Mweendalubi, Mbutoana and Namsiku, thank you so much. They have been his strength. Special recognition and thanks go to his parents, Libizo and Florence Kashweka Namangolwa. Gertrude, thank you for believing in me. Beauty Cheelo, thank you for you encouragement. Chrispin Liwoyo Kahongo, thank you for the tireless advice. Enock Mulenga, thanks for the tips when I came to Chalmers. Winnie, thank you my friend for being there for me. Thank you to everyone at Chalmers for the knowledge that I have gained. Most importantly, to God be the glory, great things he has done! He gave me a desire to pursue excellence.

Elizabeth would like to express sincere gratitude to my parents for the sacrifice made to provide me with education. I also thank the lecturers and tutors at Chalmers for the knowledge they imparted us with, the humility and open doors made learning much easier. Sincere appreciation goes out to my collegues for the assistance rendered to each other when much needed during the programme. Last and not least, to God for the gift of life. Thank you and God abundantly bless you all.

Liwanga Namangolwa

Elizabeth Begumisa

Gothenburg, Sweden, 2016.

## Contents

Chapter	: 1: Ir	ntroduction	1
1.1	Bac	ckground	1
1.2	Obj	jectives	2
1.3	Sco	ppe	2
1.4	Rep	port Structure	3
Chapter	: 2: Pı	rotection system, Solar PV and it's impacts on system protection and possible solutio	ons 5
2.1	Pro	tection System	5
2.1	.1	Fuses	6
2.1	.2	Overcurrent Relays	8
2.1	.3	Reclosers	10
2.1	.4	Sectionalizers	10
2.2	Rac	dial Network Overcurrent Protection Coordination	10
2.3	Pro	tection with distributed generators at the distribution level	11
2.4	Cur	rrent practices in distribution system protection	12
2.5	Me	thods for distribution system protection with distributed generators	13
2.6	Ove	erview of PV Systems	13
2.6	.1	The PV Cell	13
2.6	.2	The PV Module	.14
2.6	.3	The PV Array	.14
2.6	.4	The Inverter	.14
2.6	.5	Power Conditioning	15
2.7	PV	Systems and Applications	15
2.7	.1	Grid Connected PV Systems	16
2.7	.2	Standalone Systems	.17
2.7	.3	Hybrid Systems	.17
2.8	Imp	pacts of solar PV to the Distribution System Protection	18
2.8	.1	Increased Fault Current	18
2.8	.2	Reduced fault Current	18
2.8	.3	Blinding of protection	19

2.8	3.4 Unwanted operation/Nuisance tripping	19
2.8	Non-controlled islanding	20
2.8	3.6 Unsynchronized Reclosing	21
2.9	Proposed Solutions	21
2.9	0.1 Increased Fault Currents	21
2.9	0.2 Reduced Fault Currents	21
2.9	0.3 Blinding of protection	21
2.9	0.4 Reverse Fault Current	22
2.9	0.5 Current-only Directional Overcurrent Relay	22
2.9	0.6 Islanding Detection	24
2.10	Effect of impedance on relay response	27
2.11	Battery Energy Storage Systems	
Chapter	r 3: Development of Devices and Network Models in PSCAD	
3.1	PSCAD Simulation Software	
3.2	CIGRE Network	
3.3	Model of the CIGRE Network in PSCAD	
3.3	.1 Network Components	
3.3	.2 Inverter Modelling in PSCAD	
3.3	.3 Filter Modelling	
3.3	.4 Solar Photovoltaic System	35
3.3	DC Link Capacitor	
3.3	.6 Overcurrent Protection Modelling	
3.4	Typical Medium Voltage Network in Sweden	40
3.4	.1 Model of the typical Medium Voltage Network in PSCAD	40
Chapter	r 4: Impacts of PV on distribution system protection	43
4.1	Description of case studies	43
4.2	Simulation Setups	44
4.3	CIGRE Network Simulations	44
4.3	CIGRE Network Base Case: No PV	45
4.3	Results and discussion of the Simulation	45
4.3	Simulations with PV connected	46

4.3.4	Results and discussion of the simulation	46
4.4 Ty	pical Medium Voltage Simulations	47
4.4.1	Base Case Simulation: No PV	47
4.4.2	Upstream Simulations	47
4.4.3	Downstream Fault simulations	48
4.5 Re	sults and discussions from of the MV network case	49
4.5.1	Base Case: No PV	49
4.5.2	Upstream fault	49
4.5.3	Downstream fault with high fault current contribution from PV	50
4.5.4	Downstream with low fault current contribution from PV	52
4.5.5	Variation of fault currents with varying fault locations	52
4.5.6	Summary of Impacts of Connecting Solar PV to a Distribution Network	57
Chapter 5: S	Solutions to identified problems	59
5.1 So	lutions	59
5.1.1	Direct Transfer Trip using wireless link	59
5.1.2	Under voltage protection	60
5.1.3	Interfacing circuit	60
5.1.4	Firing pulse circuit with protection disabling signal	61
5.1.5	Rate of Change of Frequency Protection (ROCOF)	63
5.2 Per	rformance of the proposed solutions	63
5.2.1	Direct Transfer Trip (Overcurrent protection with communication)	64
5.2.2	Under voltage Protection	65
5.2.3	ROCOF Protection	67
5.2.4	Summary of Scenarios, Effects and Proposed Solutions	69
Chapter 6: C	Conclusion ans Future Work	71
6.1 Co	nclusions	71
6.2 Fu	ture Work	72
References.		73
Appendix A		77
Appendix B		78
Appendix C	·	81

## List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Overcurrent protection scheme	8
Figure 2.2: Trip and block regions for the overcurrent relay	9
Figure 2.3: Relay coordination for instantaneous overcurrent	9
Figure 2.4: Radial distribution system	11
Figure 2.5: Classification of PV systems	16
Figure 2.6: Diagram of grid-connected PV system	16
Figure 2.7: Schematic diagram of a PV stand-alone system	17
Figure 2.8: Schematic diagram of a hybrid system	18
Figure 2.9: Circuit to illustrate increases/reduced fault current	19
Figure 2.10: Distribution feeder with PV generator	19
Figure 2.11: Distribution system with PV on feeder other than where fault is	20
Figure 2.12: Fault current contributions from the grid and a PV unit connected to a feeder	20
Figure 2.13: Overcurrent relay: forward (F) and reverse (R) fault	22
Figure 2-2.14: Techniques to detect unintentional islanding.	24
Figure 2-2.15: Typical arrangement for direct transfer trip	25
Figure 2-2.16: Distribution System with solar PV and fault location downstream	27
Figure 2-2.17: Thevenin equivalent circuit for Figure 2-17 without PV (left) and with PV (	(right)
	27
Figure 3.1: CIGRE LV distribution network	32
Figure 3.2: Pie section drop down menu	33
Figure 3.3: Inverter topology	33
Figure 3.4: Sine reference generation circuit in PSCAD	34
Figure 3.5: Firing pulse generator	34
Figure 3.6: LC Line filter in PCAD	35
Figure 3.7: PV solar panel component in PSCAD	35
Figure 3.8: PV array characteristics drop down menu	36
Figure 3.9: Relay Characteristic Figure 3.10: Relay Menu	
Figure 3.11: Overcurrent protection arrangement	38
Figure 3.12: CIGRE Network Model in PSCAD	39
Figure 3.13: Typical MV network	40
Figure 3.14: Typical MV network as modelled in PSCAD	41
Figure 4.1: Methodology of study	43
Figure 4.2: CIGRE Network Upstream Faults	44
Figure 4.3: CIGRE Network Downstream Faults	44
Figure 4.4: MV Network Upstream Simulations	47
Figure 4.5: MV Network Downstream Simulations	48
Figure 4.6: Plot of trip time with and without solar PV up to hosting capacity	51

Figure 4.7: Variation of grid and fault currents with faults downstream, PCC at A, PV at 30%
penetration
Figure 4.8: Variation of grid and fault currents with faults downstream, PCC at E and PV at 30%
penetration
Figure 4.9: Variation of grid and fault currents with downstream fault, PCC at X and PV at 30%
penetration54
Figure 4.10: Coordinated for fault currents at relay R3 vs. fault currents with PV upstream54
Figure 4.11: Summary of results
Figure 5.1: Overcurrent protection with communication from upstream element60
Figure 5.2: Under voltage protection circuit
Figure 5.3: Protection interfacing circuit
Figure 5.4: Firing pulse circuit to include protection signal
Figure 5.5: ROCOF signal pickup
Figure 5.6: ROCOF protection
Figure 5.7: Overcurrent protection and Direct Transfer Trip signals
Figure 5.8: Upstream faulty feeder and PV current fault currents
Figure 5.9: Grid and PV fault currents during fault
Figure 5.10: Under voltage Response to high fault current contribution
Figure 5.11: Inverter Instantaneous voltages after under voltage protection operation
Figure 5.12: Frequency excursions (above) and rate of change of frequency (below) during and
after the fault
Figure 5.13: ROCOF and Upstream breaker signals
Figure 5.14: Upstream and PV currents show instants of fault initiation and tripping69

## List of Tables

Table 2.1: Summary of impacts and solutions	26
Table 2.2: List of available battery types and their advantages and disadvantages	29
Table 3.1: PV array parameters	
Table 4.1: Base Case Simulation Results for CIGRE Network	45
Table 4.2: CIGRE Network Upstream Fault Results	46
Table 4.3: Upstream fault simulations, fault location at bus AC	50
Table 4.4: Downstream, high fault current contributions, fault location at bus X	51
Table 4.5: MV fault analysis A	55
Table 4.6: MV fault analysis, bus X	56
Table 5.1: NAND gate truth table	61
Table 5.2: Truth table for AND gate	62
Table 5.3: Summary of Scenarios, effects and proposed solutions	69
Table A.1: Data for the CIGRE LV network	77
Table A.2: Loads of European LV distribution network benchmark	77
Table B.1: Line parameters of a typical medium voltage network	78
Table C.1: Typical MV network fault analysis at B	81
Table C.2: Typical MV fault analysis C	82
Table C.3: Typical MV fault analysis D	83
Table C.4: Typical MV fault analysis E	
Table C.5: Typical MV analysis F	85
Table C.6: Typical fault analysis H	86

## List of Abbreviations

AC	Alternating Current
BESS	Battery Energy Storage
BOS	Balance of Systems
СТ	Current Transformer
CTI	Coordination Time Interval
DC	Direct Current
DER	Distributed Energy Resources
DG	Distributed Generation
DR	Distributed Resource
EMTD	Electromagnetic Transients including DC
EPS	Electric Power System
EOL	End of Line
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
LV	Low Voltage
MPP	Maximum Power Point
MV	Medium Voltage
NP	Network Protector
PCC	Point of Common Coupling
PN	Positive Negative
PSCAD	Power Systems Computer Aided Design
PV	Photovoltaic
PWM	Pulse Width Modulation
ROCOF	Rate of Change of Frequency
SCC	Short Circuit Capacity
NVD	Neutral Voltage Displacement

1

## Introduction

## 1.1 Background

Solar power has been developing from small applications to becoming a mainstream source of electric power [1]. The solar power industry has been growing globally. Dropping costs, as well as concerns like global warming and air pollution, have triggered massive growth in the solar energy industry. Major world economies, like USA, China, Germany, the UK, Spain, and many other countries are in the forefront in the growth of solar technology [2].

It is projected that the installed capacity of solar PV will double or even triple by 500 GW between now and 2020, and by 2050, solar power is expected to become the world's largest source of electricity [3]. The reasons for this increased penetration of solar photovoltaic (PV) are: (i) the need to reduce carbon emissions on the energy systems, (ii) improve energy security, and (iii) increasing access to electricity to new consumers, especially in the third world [4]. Governments have moved into action at the international and national levels to create policies that promote renewable energies development. This is an effort to help reduce emissions [4].The main challenge is to ensure that the environment is preserved, climate change is arrested while at the same time energy is made readily available.

Solar PV is a technology that has attracted a lot of research and its use in large power systems is increasing. The driving factors for this have been highlighted in the preceding paragraph. In addition, solar offers environmental benefits, low operating costs, and reduced dependence on fossil fuels. However, solar generation varies with solar insolation. This variability affects how distribution systems with high penetrations of renewable energy sources operate. This variability can be solved by using battery energy systems (BESS). The levels of solar PV and BESS penetration are expected to increase.

The traditional power system is designed to have a passive distribution system with power flow in one direction only, from the transmission grid into the distribution grid and finally to the customer, without any generation at the customer end. The introduction of generation at the distribution/customer end interferes with power flows as a meshed network is now created. The challenges introduced into the system are in three groups, technical, commercial and regulatory [5]. The technical challenges are due to the high dependence of PV generation output on uncertain weather conditions which fluctuate fast. These include, among others, reversed power flow, voltage rise, grid stability, local network congestion, and relay protection. With regard to the protection system, the integration of PV can cause redistribution of the fault currents on the feeder circuits.

Such redistribution could result in higher current magnitude on the feeder during faults which in certain cases can exceed the rating fuses, breakers, etc. Changes in fault current and direction may also lead to a loss of protection coordination between multiple devices, desensitization of protection, undesirable/mal-tripping of relays, unwanted islanding, prevention of automatic reclosing, [6] etc.

## 1.2 Objectives

The objective of this thesis is to investigate the impacts of solar PV on the protection system (e.g., on relay operation, settings, coordination) of low and medium voltage distribution networks and to propose solutions to the problems. This will be done by modelling the CIGRE low voltage and a typical medium voltage distribution network in Sweden. Two cases will be studied, with and without solar PV power. The simulation software used in this thesis is Power Systems Computer Aided Design with Electromagnetic Transients including DC (PSCAD/EMTDC).

The thesis investigates how the overcurrent protection coordination and how fault current levels are affected when solar PV is present in a distribution system. Further, the theoretical hosting capacity as regards protection is also determined. Lastly, solutions are proposed to mitigate the impacts that are identified.

## 1.3 Scope

The thesis covers the impacts that solar PV has on the overcurrent protection coordination of a distribution network. Two distribution networks are modelled in PSCAD. Simulations and studies have been carried out without solar PV to determine the performance of the overcurrent protection system. After that, the solar PV model was added to the models and the performance of the overcurrent protection ascertained again.

The thesis investigates the impacts of PV on the protection system performance using PSCAD simulation software. The studies are limited to the low voltage system of 400V and medium voltage network of 20 kV.

The following factors are worth noting concerning this thesis:

- The variation of solar PV with solar insolation is not considered, only the steady state contribution of solar PV is considered. Therefore, the solar PV unit developed has a constant irradiance and a constant temperature. Consequently, the maximum power point tracking is not implemented in the solar PV model developed here.
- The transient nature of faults is not considered, only the steady state effects of faults are studied.
- The two networks involved are radial systems and not meshed networks without any other types of Distributed Energy Resources (DERs) other than the integrated solar PV.

- The overcurrent models developed do not use current transformers (CTs) to provide a signal to the relay, rather, PSCAD/EMTDC has a measured signal which can be used, this takes the function the CT is supposed to perform.
- The networks are connected to an electricity grid.

## 1.4 Report Structure

This thesis report is divided into six chapters.

- Chapter 2 reviews the literature around the subjects covered in the thesis, namely; system protection, overview of PV systems, PV systems and applications, requirements to connect PV system to a utility grid, battery energy storage systems, and impacts of connecting solar PV to the distribution grid.
- Chapter 3 looks at the development of the model in PSCAD and also describes the simulations carried out. The study methodology is highlighted in this chapter.
- Chapter 4 presents the impacts of PV on distribution system protection.
- Chapter 5 discusses the proposed solutions to address the impacts and also the performance of these solutions.
- Chapter 6 contains the conclusions that have been made from the study and also possible topics for future study are suggested.

2

# Protection system, Solar PV and its impacts on protection system and possible solutions

In this chapter, the literature that has been used to discuss the main concepts in the study is presented. The concepts of the need and requirements of protection, protection schemes for a distribution network, solar PV schemes and some of the impacts that solar PV integration causes to the grid in general, and to overcurrent protection coordination in particular are discussed. Protection with distributed generation at the distribution level is also discussed, including current practices for distribution system protection. The chapter further highlights the solutions that are currently under research or implementation to address these challenges.

## 2.1 Protection System

A power system is designed to generate, transmit and distribute electric power to the end customer in a secure and reliable manner [7].

Generation, involving conversion from one form of energy e.g. nuclear, hydraulic, to electrical energy, is usually at a lower voltage level which is then transformed, using transformers, to a higher voltage level for transmission. The transportation of this energy is done through the transmission system to geographically faraway places called load centres, where loads are situated. After the voltage is stepped down to a suitable level, it is then distributed to customers for consumption.

The equipment which is used in the generation, transmission, and distribution of power is costly equipment of a complex nature. In order to ensure that this equipment and the lives of people are safeguarded, as well as to ensure provision of reliable service, there is need to provide protection to be able to detect various fault conditions and address them, such as isolating a faulty section while the rest of the system continues in operation.

A protection system, according to NERC, is defined as "protective relays, associated communication systems, voltage and current sensing devices, station batteries and dc control circuitry [8]". At the heart of the protection system is the protective relay which is a device that detects faults and relays a signal to a disconnect device to operate and isolate faulty section. According to the IEEE's definition, a relay is "an electric device that is designed to interpret input conditions in a prescribed manner, and; after specified conditions are met, to respond to cause contact operation or similar abrupt changes in associated electric control circuits [9]". The IEEE further defines a protective relay as "a relay whose function is to detect defective lines or apparatus or other power conditions of an abnormal or dangerous nature and to initiate appropriate control

circuit action [9]". Relays acquire various types of signals from the power system. These signals can be electrical, magnetic, heat, pressure, etc. The relay will process them with a designed process or algorithm.

Protection has certain requirements for it to be able to perform its functions properly. These are: sensitivity, selectivity, speed, reliability and cost.

Various types of equipment are used to protect distribution networks. The particular protection scheme used depends on the voltage level, the element being protected and the network configuration. Distribution networks are mainly protected by overcurrent protection because of their radial nature. This is protection that operates when current in the system exceeds a predetermined value. These currents, several times higher than the maximum load current, are usually caused by faults and the system must be protected against the damage caused by them. When the load current exceeds a pre-set value, a signal is sent to operate protective devices. Protective devices on the system include thermomagnetic switches, moulded-case circuit breakers (MCCBs), fuses and overcurrent relays [10]. Distribution system protection consists of fuses, relays, sectionalizers and reclosers [11].

#### 2.1.1 Fuses

A fuse is an overcurrent protective device that opens up a circuit in which it is connected and breaks the current when a certain value is exceeded. It has an element which is heated by the passage of current, this element melts at a given predetermined value of current. A fuse operates by the destruction of the fuse element [10].

An element, which is a conductor of given cross-section is heated by current passing through it until it melts. The time it takes for this action is represented in a time/ current characteristic curve. On melting, a break is caused in the element, at which an electric arc is established, which burns in the fuse until the current returns to zero. A fuse operates in two stages:

- (1) the pre-arcing time
- (2) the arcing time.

#### Pre-arcing time

This is the time period from the start of the current that is large enough to melt the fusible element in a fuse and the time that an arc emerges.

Consider a conductor having resistance  $R \Omega$ , the current passing through it is *i*A for a time *dt*. This conductor will be heated by the passage of this current, the quantity of the heat being released in the conductor is  $i^2Rdt$ .  $i^2dt$  Joules are liberated for every ohm of conductor. For a varying current over a period,  $\int i^2dt$  Joules will be released. This is integral is abbreviated as  $I^2t$ . It is used to estimate the heating effect on a protected circuit due to a very short pulse of heavy current [10].

The heat generated due to the passing of the prospective current is all used to heat the element to its melting point at its narrowest constriction. The  $I^2t$  required to melt the element is constant and independent of current. This is called the pre-arcing  $I^2t$  [10]. It is related to the amount of energy that is let through by the fuse element upon clearing a fault.

Fuse manufacturers provide the  $I^2t$  data in charts and it is used to perform coordination with upstream or downstream devices. Both melting  $I^2t$  and clearing  $I^2t$  are specified in the data. The  $I^2t$  is proportional to the amount of energy required to begin melting the fuse element.

#### Arcing time

This is the time period between the time the arc is generated, until the time it is finally extinguished.

#### Types of fuses

Different types of fuses exist. These are:

- 1. Dual-Element, Time-Delay fuse
- 2. Dual-Element, Time-Delay fuse, Current-Limiting fuse
- 3. Fast-Acting, Current-Limiting fuse (Non time-Delay)

#### Dual-Element, Time-Delay Fuse

This fuse provides time delay in the low overload range to eliminate unnecessary opening of the circuit. It is made up of one or more fusible elements (links) connected in parallel: an overload (s) and short circuit elements. The fusible elements are then connected in series with an overload trigger assembly. The overload element opens when the current exceeds 110 percent of the fuse's rating for sustained period of time. The fuse can handle up to five times its current rating for a period of 10 seconds. The short circuit element opens in the event of a short circuit or ground fault. These kinds of fuses can be used for mains, feeders, sub feeders, branch circuits, motor circuits and circuits having a high inrush characteristics.

Dual-Element, Time-Delay, Current-Limiting fuse

These operate in the same way as the dual-element time delay fuses and can be used for the same applications. Under the dual-element, time-delay, current-limiting fuse, the fuse opens extremely fast under short circuit faults.

#### Fast-Acting, Current-Limiting fuse

This type of fuse has an extremely fast response in both low-overload and short circuit ranges and reduces the high-fault current magnitude to a value less than what it would be had there been no fuse or breaker in the circuit. It is used to provide better protection in terms of adequate interrupting rating to mains, feeders, sub feeders and other components.

#### 2.1.2 Overcurrent Relays

Three types of overcurrent relays exist according to their operating characteristics [10]:

- i. Instantaneous overcurrent: The relay operates instantaneously to send trip signal to breaker when the current exceeds a predetermined value.
- ii. Time discrimination: An appropriate time setting is given to each of the relays controlling circuit breakers in the circuit such that the relay closest to the fault operates first.
- iii. Time delay overcurrent (TOC): Operates on the basis of a current vs. time curve. If the current level exceeds a pre-set value for a certain amount of time, then the circuit breakers get the command signal to trip.

Overcurrent relays are usually supplied with an instantaneous element and a time-delay element within the same unit [1]. When setting overcurrent relays, three phase short-circuit currents are used for setting phase relays and phase-to-earth fault current used for setting earth-fault relays.

Relay design can be categorised based on principle of operation. These are categorised as [12]

- i. Electromechanical: which uses the induction disk principle, electromagnetic action of a solenoid
- ii. Static: opening and closing of relay contacts is done by semiconductor switches e.g. thyristors
- iii. Mechanical: relay operation by mechanical displacement of different gear level system

The overcurrent relay trip coil is fed by current on the secondary side of the current transformer I' as shown in Figure 2.1.



Figure 2.1: Overcurrent protection scheme

Instantaneous over current relays operate on the current magnitude. If the CT secondary current exceeds a certain level (pick up current), the relay contacts close which energizes the circuit breaker trip coil and leads to the opening of the circuit breaker. Otherwise the relay contacts remain open which leaves the trip coil open. This is illustrated in

Figure 2.2 below. The difference in impedance between the source and the fault determines the fault current variation. Relay nearest to the fault is set to trip its breaker first.



Figure 2.2: Trip and block regions for the overcurrent relay

Two important aspects that affect this method of coordination are:

- i. It may be hard to distinguish between a fault at points  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , as shown in Figure 2.3, since the distance between them is small corresponding to a current change of approximately 10%.
- ii. There are variations in the fault current at the source. At lower fault levels, the current might be too small to be detected by the relays.



Figure 2.3: Relay coordination for instantaneous overcurrent

Time delay overcurrent operates on the magnitude of the CT secondary current but with an intentional time delay. The delay is dependent on the ratio of the CT secondary current to the pickup current. The higher the ratio, the lower the delay time and vice versa. Operating time is set based on curves of operating time vs. current (usually given as a ratio of measured current to pick up current). If current is less than the pickup current, then the relay remains in the block position. Choice of relay time-current characteristics depends on the sources, lines and loads.

## 2.1.3 Reclosers

A recloser is a protective device on the distribution system which has the ability to detect overcurrent situations in phase and phase-to-earth fault conditions. It ensures that the distribution system is not unnecessarily disconnected for temporal faults. When an overcurrent occurs and persists for a certain time, the recloser can interrupt the circuit and automatically reclose to reenergise the line. It will stay open if the original fault persists to isolate the fault [10]. They are typically designed to have up to three open-close operations before lock out.

Coordination of the recloser with other protection devices is done to ensure that only the smallest possible portion of the network that ensures isolation of the fault is disconnected.

## 2.1.4 Sectionalizers

A sectionalizer has an inherent ability to isolate faulty sections that have been disconnected by the operation of an upstream circuit breaker or recloser. Sectionalizers have no current breaking capability and hence are usually installed on the downstream of a recloser or breaker. The sectionalizer counts the number of times the recloser has operated and when a predetermined number is reached, with the recloser in open position, it opens to isolate the faulty section. Sectionalizers do not have a time/current operating characteristic [10].

## 2.2 Radial Network Overcurrent Protection Coordination.

This is the process of determining the best timing for the interruption of current when abnormal or fault conditions occur. The objectives are to determine the characteristics, ratings, and settings for overcurrent protective devices in order to minimize equipment damage and interrupt short circuits as rapidly as possible [13]. The goal is to ensure that only a minimum portion of the system is disconnected in as afar as is necessary to eliminate the fault, ensuring that the maximum number of loads continue to be supplied.

Coordination should be done in such a way that the device closest to the fault must trip first, that is, offers primary protection. The next device in line offers backup protection. If primary protection does not protect the system, then backup protection must trip the system.

Considering Figure 2.4, the relays are coordinated in the upstream direction, whereby the units furthest from the grid connection are configured to operate first for the fault shown. A fault is cleared by opening of the breaker immediately to its left side. In this case, CB4 should trip first, if not, CB3, CB2, or CB1 in that order for a fault on the downstream.



Figure 2.4: Radial distribution system

The power system is divided into zones of protection. The boundary of the zone is defined by current transformers. Relays and breakers form pairs and are responsible for clearing faults in their protected zone and also act as backup for the zone downstream. Relays are coordinated in such a way that when a fault occurs, the breaker most remote from the source operates in the shortest time. Circuit breakers towards the source being tripped in progressively longer times. This difference in operating time between two adjacent breakers is known as grading margin or coordinating time interval, CTI. CTI allows time for the fault to be isolated before backup units are tripped. Overcurrent protection relays can be definite-time or inverse-time. Inverse-time protection offers shorter tripping times. The relay furthest downstream is not coordinated with any relay and hence is typically a definite-time unit.

Zones of protection possess the following features:

- zones overlap
- overlap regions denote circuit breakers
- all circuit breakers in a given zone will operate when a given fault occurs

Overlapped regions are made up of two sets of instrument transformers and relays for each circuit breaker. These are designed to eliminate unprotected zones in the system however they are designed to be as minimal as possible such that in case of a fault the isolated areas containing (both areas containing circuit breakers).

## 2.3 Protection with distributed generators at the distribution level

There are some major differences in fault current contributions with DER and conventional energy sources. Three main causes of these differences, according to [14], are:

Conventional energy sources are centrally located while DER are distributed around the electric network. In the event of a fault, for systems with DER, there are short circuit current contributions from directions not originally considered for the conventional protection schemes. Also, unexpected load flows can cause 'blinding' or 'sympathetic tripping'. Blinding is the phenomenon where, with DER fault current contribution in the system, fault current from the central connection point to the transmission network is reduced which may

result in delayed or unselective tripping of protection. Sympathetic tripping is where DER fault current contribution causes tripping of a relay in its connection path.

- ii. Many DER are coupled to the network via inverters whose SCC is limited to values not much higher than the nominal ratings of the inverter. Therefore, SCC of grids dominated by inverter-coupled generators to the grid are significantly lower than of grids with rotating generators (synchronous or induction machine) of the same rating.
- iii. The lower SCC is connected to a different time characteristic of the SCC.

Another difference between conventional and DER connected networks is behavior of fault transients. Different transients generated by inverter controllers could affect operation of some relays e.g. the direction determination [14].

## 2.4 Current practices in distribution system protection

Current practices of distribution system protection vary among countries. Whereas the protection scheme can be the same, certain practices in different countries create the disparity. Some of the practices include [14]:

- Functions within the protection scheme: Some countries can allow a certain level of fault current on the systems while others prefer to eliminate any fault on the network.
- The network structure
- National regulatory legislation
- Protection functions' configurations

The protection function can be divided into two basic categories [14]:

- i. Short circuit protection: prevents thermal and mechanical asset stress and damage caused by short circuit current
- ii. System protection: protects power grid from unacceptable operating conditions

Overcurrent protection is usually sufficient to protect feeders in radial networks, in some countries e.g. Austria, Germany, Spain and Denmark, distance protection is used for meshed networks.

Reverse interlocking can be used as an added feature. This is where feeder protection operates much faster to isolate faults on busbars, as long as the respective feeder they are protecting is in a healthy condition.

Another scheme applied on the distribution network is the overcurrent earth fault protection. Phase to ground faults cause earth fault current to flow. The magnitude of this current is much lower than the phase fault current due to the higher fault impedance of the earth faults than for the phase faults [15]. This calls for a separate relay on the neutral line to keep current through the line at safe levels. In France, zero sequence watt metric protection function is used for earth fault protection [14].

For system protection, different levels of the over- and under frequency protection and voltage protection are used in all countries. These protection schemes disconnect DER from the network once a deviation from the normal operating conditions of the network are detected.

In some countries e.g. France, an islanded section of the network prompts a signal that trips the circuit breaker of the large DER for disconnection from the grid. In other countries, islanded network operation doesn't cause disconnection of DER provided the frequency and voltage remain within acceptable levels.

## 2.5 Methods for distribution system protection with distributed generators

In addition to already existing protection schemes, new approaches need to be adopted to protect distribution systems with integrated energy sources. Some of the approaches are described below [14].

- Islanding detection (tele-decoupling): detection of the opening of the MV feeder protection and communication to the DER decoupling protection to disconnect DER
- DER facility protection: this is protection against faults within the DER installation
- DER facility decoupling protection: protection to disconnect DER facility from the rest of the network when a fault on the MV feeder occurs.
- Directional phase protection: overcurrent protection with a directional feature that responds to overcurrent for a particular direction flow.
- HV neutral displacement voltage protection: this is another decoupling protection used when there is back feed or islanding and continued supply of an isolated HV network via delta winding distribution transformer. Since there is no earth fault path through the transformer, Neutral Voltage Displacement (NVD) protection will be required on the high voltage side of the transformer.

## 2.6 Overview of PV Systems

The PV system is composed of many components that work together with the aim of producing electric energy. This energy could be supplied to the electricity grid, supply a household, power a handheld calculator, etc. The design of the system is determined by the intended task, the location and conditions at the site at which it will be used [16]. This section discusses the components of the PV system. The main components are PV array (which includes the modules, wiring and mounting structure), storage equipment (if required), power conditioning and control equipment and load equipment. The array is made up of the photovoltaic part (PV modules) and the balance of system (BOS) components [16].

## 2.6.1 The PV Cell

The basic building block of a photovoltaic (PV) cell is a p-n junction. It is a semi-conductor device that coverts solar energy into direct-current electricity. A property called photoelectric effect is

possessed by certain materials, giving them the ability to absorb photons of light and emit electrons [17]. The junction consists of differently doped semiconductor elements from group V of the periodic table, such as silicon or germanium, on each side. Usually on the n-side, silicon gets moderately doped by phosphorus or other elements of the group V of periodic table resulting in a material with electrons as excessive carriers. For the p-side silicon is doped by gallium or indium or other elements of the group III of the periodic table, resulting in a mixture with lack of electrons, or equally with excessive positive carriers called holes. When n-type and p-type materials come in contact, excess carriers from one side flow to the other side until a characteristic equilibrium is reached. This way an electric field is built up along the contact area called the depletion region [18].

When the above p-n junction is exposed to light, it produces electrons that are proportional to the oncoming light. The larger the surface area, the larger the exposure and therefore, the larger the current produced. The p-n junction is constructed as thin plates or cells that have a large surface area. Each of such cells are made up of wafers of n-type and p-type silicon that are in contact with one another. On both sides of the wafers, there are electrical connections that are responsible for conducting the electrons. A non-reflecting layer is above the n-type wafer to ensure that as much light as possible is gathered in the silicon material. The top layer is toughened glass that protects against the elements of the weather while the bottom layer is a strong plate to mount the entire structure.

#### 2.6.2 The PV Module

When solar cells are electrically connected together, they form a module. The connections can be in series or in parallel to produce a specified voltage or current respectively [19], [20]. This is done to increase their power output. The typical number of series connected cells is usually 36, which are encapsulated into a single unit. The encapsulation is to provide protection for the cells from the harsh environment in which they operate [18], [20]. Modules have capacities of between 50W to 200W. Each module is enclosed in an aluminum frame.

## 2.6.3 The PV Array

When several modules are electrically connected together and mounted in the same plane, they form a solar panel [21], [20]. The array also includes the support structure, in addition to the modules. These arrays can be of sizes ranging from a few hundred watts to hundreds of kilowatts. These modules can be connected in series to increase the voltage, or in parallel to increase the current, depending upon the load requirement. When PV modules are combined with additional application-dependent system components (e.g. inverters, sun trackers, batteries, electrical components and mounting systems), they form a PV system, which is highly modular, with capacities ranging from a few watts to tens of megawatts [22] [20].

## 2.6.4 The Inverter

The inverter is defined by the IEEE as "Equipment that converts direct current (dc) to alternating current (ac). Any static power converter (SPC) with control, protection, and filtering functions used

to interface an electric energy source with an electric utility system" [23]. The inverter is a key component which forms the interface between the PV generator and the electricity grid [24] or the AC loads. The performance of the inverter has vital significance for grid-connected PV power plants. It has direct influence on whether the PV power plant can meet the requirements for grid operation. Inverters are supposed to have low voltage ride through (LVRT) and flexible active and reactive power control capabilities [22]. The LVRT is a requirement that a unit connected to the grid should meet, in case of a fault or a voltage dip.

Inverters are classified into stand-alone and grid-connected inverters [16].

The stand-alone inverter is independent from the grid in its operation. It has an internal frequency generator to obtain the correct output frequency, whereas for the grid-connected inverter, it has to be able to integrate properly with the grid in both voltage and frequency [16].

The inverter is an electronic component and works as a system and energy manager carrying out the following functions [24]:

- Converts the DC generated by the PV generator into AC required by the grid
- Maximum Power Point (MPP) tracking. The MPP tracker supplies the grid with the maximum output all the times. The inverter voltage is compared with the current generator MPP voltage continuously
- Provides protection to the PV generator and the electricity grid
- Acts as an interface for communication and operations monitoring

#### 2.6.5 Power Conditioning

The system needs to operate at its optimum. In order to achieve that, it is essential that power conditioning equipment is included in it. The PV array will deliver its maximum power output if it operates at the maximum power point. In solar PV generation, the generation varies with the insolation of the sun and temperature, therefore, at maximum power point, the current and voltage vary. In order for the array to operate at the maximum power point, there is need to track this maximum point. The control equipment to achieve this objective is called the Maximum Power Point Tracker (MPPT). The MPPT controls the effective load resistance that the PV array sees. This controls the system operating point on the I-V characteristic. In a grid-connected system, the MPPT is included in the inverter [16].

## 2.7 PV Systems and Applications

Solar PV systems are generally classified according to how they function and what the operational requirements are, how the components are configured, and how the equipment is connected to the other power sources and electrical loads (appliances) [25]. Figure 2.5 shows the different kind of PV systems available. The broad classifications are Grid-connected and Stand-alone Systems. If the PV system is used in conjunction with another power source like a wind or diesel generator then it falls under the class of hybrid systems.



Figure 2.5: Classification of PV systems

#### 2.7.1 Grid Connected PV Systems

Grid Connected Systems are solar PV systems which have a direct connection to the electricity grid and supply their energy into the grid [26]. Figure 2.6 illustrates the Grid-connected system. These systems can be connected directly to the public grid or first to a house grid covering the electricity demand of the house and then supplying any excess to the public grid. The connection is through an inverter that converts the DC to AC and also synchronizes with the electricity grid in voltage and frequency. For a domestic grid connected system, solar energy is used during the day by the system owner. At night, the owner draws on the previously established electricity grid. An additional benefit of the grid-tied system is that the solar system does not need to be sized to meet peak loads—overages can be drawn from the grid. In many cases, surplus energy generated during the day can be exported back to the grid.



Figure 2.6: Diagram of grid-connected PV system

#### 2.7.2 Standalone Systems

Stand-alone systems are solar PV systems which are independent of any electricity grid. The energy yield must be sized to meet the load requirements. They are usually fitted with energy storage systems to absorb surplus energy and to meet the demand when the solar irradiation is not enough. Stand-alone systems are usually implemented in rural and remote areas in developing countries where no access to the electricity grid is available or costly to implement. They are also used in various applications in industrialized countries as well (e.g. roof top systems, PV-glazing, solar traffic lighting, traffic infrastructure, solar chargers, mobile communication transmitters et al.). The grid-connected systems, which are PV systems connected to the local distribution grid and supply it with power. Figure 2.7 shows a stand-alone system.



Figure 2.7: Schematic diagram of a PV stand-alone system

#### 2.7.3 Hybrid Systems

To meet the largest power requirements in an off-grid location, the PV system can be configured with a small diesel generator. This means that the PV system no longer has to be sized to cope with the worst sunlight conditions available during the year. Use of the diesel generator for back-up power is minimized during the sunniest part of the year to reduce fuel and maintenance costs.



Figure 2.8: Schematic diagram of a hybrid system

## 2.8 Impacts of solar PV to the Distribution System Protection

The traditional distribution system is passive and radial in nature, which is usually characterized by a single source on the upstream end, supplying a network of feeders on the downstream end. Protection for this conventional distribution system assumes a radial system such that the grading of the protection starts from the downstream end through to the upstream end. F. Katiraei et al [27] say that the impacts of DG can be local (e.g., feeder or substation) or system wide, depending upon the degree of DG penetration levels. The nature of these impacts can be steady-state or dynamic. With the connection of solar PV (and other DG sources) in the network, according to both [27] and [28] the system may lose its passive, radial nature and therefore, protection coordination may not hold.

References [5], [26], [25], [29], [30] [31] and [32] highlight impacts due to the presence of DG in a distribution system. The preceding sections explain these impacts.

## 2.8.1 Increased Fault Current

Figure 2.9 shows a distribution feeder with DG on the upstream of the R3 and a downstream fault. The fault current through R3 is a sum of the grid current and the PV unit current. The fault current is greater than that which passes through R3 in the absence of DG. This condition is not protected against in a traditional distribution system. The fault current has increased as seen by R3. In this case, coordination between R3 and upstream relays may be lost.

#### 2.8.2 Reduced fault Current

When a generator is added along a feeder, the fault current at the beginning of the feeder is reduced for a downstream fault. Considering the relay R1 at the start of the feeder in Figure 2.9. The relay will fail to operate when the fault current falls below the relay settings in the case were the PV unit is contributing a high enough fault current. This situation is aggravated for long feeders where the fault current for a remote fault is low already. For definite-time overcurrent, protection will not operate for certain faults, while inverse-time relays will take long to clear the fault [31].



Figure 2.9: Circuit to illustrate increases/reduced fault current

#### 2.8.3 Blinding of protection

Considering Figure 2.10, R1 may not detect a downstream fault if the fault current contribution from the PV is high. The level of current through R1 may reduce to a level below the pickup value



Figure 2.10: Distribution feeder with PV generator

#### 2.8.4 Unwanted operation/Nuisance tripping

For a fault on a feeder other than where the generator is connected, the breaker on the feeder where the generator is connected may result in unwanted operation due to fault current contribution by the PV generator [31]. Figure 2.11 shows a circuit where the fault is on a feeder other than the one on which a PV unit is connected. When a fault occurs, the DG will contribute to the fault current and a reversed fault current will be experienced through relay R3. The relay may trip the healthy feeder in a case of sympathetic tripping.


Figure 2.11: Distribution system with PV on feeder other than where fault is

#### 2.8.5 Non-controlled islanding

One of the more serious consequences of introducing DGs to the network is the concept of unintentional or non-controlled islanding [14]. This is a situation where a portion of the network which has a DG is disconnected from the rest of the network. As highlighted in [31], non-controlled islanding can be initiated by a fault on the feeder and the upstream fuse or breaker opens and also by the opening of an upstream breaker or fuse without any fault present in the system.



Figure 2.12: Fault current contributions from the grid and a PV unit connected to a feeder

A generator connected through a power electronics converter normally contributes too small a current to a fault for the overcurrent protection to detect it. In Figure 2.12 above, the fault current contribution by the grid,  $I_{grid}$ , is sufficient to be detected by R1 and trip the associated breaker while that by the PV,  $I_{PV}$ , is insufficient to be detected. The PV unit will continue feeding the fault. The fault may either clear by itself if the current becomes too small or the fault current may be maintained. When the fault current is maintained, the generator will go into non-controlled islanding. The balance between generation and the load in non-controlled islanding will determine the voltage and frequency magnitudes [31].

# 2.8.6 Unsynchronized Reclosing

This explanation is a continuation of the explanation in section 2.8.5 after the PV unit has islanded. Automatic reclosing is often used with overhead feeders. From Figure 2.12 the CB at R1 will be reclosed after a certain time interval, with the DG still connected while the fault is cleared. This connects two systems that are out of synchronism, having different frequencies, voltages and phases. This leads to equipment damage due to the large currents that will be experienced [31].

# 2.9 Proposed Solutions

Research has been going on to find effective solutions to the aforementioned problems. Various literature propose several new approaches to solve the overcurrent coordination problem. This section highlights the various proposed solutions.

# 2.9.1 Increased Fault Currents

To solve the problem of increased fault currents, the following approaches have been considered:

- Tang et al. [33] proposes using a fault current limiter (FCL) to limit the impact that DGs have on relay protection coordination during a fault. A fault limiter limits the DG current during a fault and allows normal current flow when there is no fault [33]. Fault limiters are devices that have low impedance that produce no action during normal operation [34]. When a fault occurs, the FCL device acts quickly to insert a high impedance in series with the distribution network. This limits the fault current to a preset value [33].
- Another approach is suggested by Khederzadeh [35]. It involves using a thyristor-controlled series capacitor (TCSC) as an FCL to restore the original relay settings. The TCSC in this case operates in the fault current limiting mode to limit the DGs fault current contribution without disconnecting the DG during a fault.

# 2.9.2 Reduced Fault Currents

This can be mitigated for using FCL as described above. The FCL limits the fault current that the PV unit contributes to the fault. This causes the upstream relay to detect the right level of fault currents.

# 2.9.3 Blinding of protection

This can be solved by FCL and under voltage protection. Additionally, the method of obtaining new relay coordination status can be used.

This is an approach in which mathematics and computer-based methods are used to find new relay coordination methods for distribution networks with DG [34].

Zayandehroodi et al. [34] identify the following three methods in this category:

- adaptive protection scheme for distribution networks with DG,
- multi-agent protection scheme for distribution networks with DGs and

• expert system for protection coordination of distribution networks with DGs.

Adaptive protection is a new concept. This is the ability of a protection system to respond to changing power system conditions by automatically altering its operating parameters to provide reliable relaying decisions [34].

Brahma and Girgis [36] came up with an adaptive protection scheme that involves dividing the network into zones. Each zone to have a balance of load and DG. The main relay is computerbased, with capabilities for high processing power, large memory and communication capabilities. The relay can sense a fault, determine the fault type and the associated zone. It would then isolate the affected zone.

#### 2.9.4 Reverse Fault Current

This problem is solved using directional overcurrent relays. An approach for protecting meshed distribution systems with DGs was proposed by [37] in which dual setting directional over-current relays (DOCRs) are used. They are equipped with two inverse time-current characteristics. Their settings will depend on the fault direction. They have different settings for forward and reverse directions. The protection coordination problem is formulated as a nonlinear programming problem whose objective is to minimize the overall operating time of the relays during both primary and back-up operation.

#### 2.9.5 Current-only Directional Overcurrent Relay

In reference [38], the authors indicate that the use of non-directional relays requires a number of relays and increases the complexity in the coordination settings. Using directional overcurrent relays reduces the number of non-directional relays and the complexity of relay settings is reduced. Traditional directional overcurrent relays however, have a voltage sensing element, that is, use voltage polarization [38] to detect the direction of the fault. They require both current and voltage measurement. For smart grids, more of these relays have to be used, making cost an important factor. The authors of [38] propose a novel current-only direction principle.



Figure 2.13: Overcurrent relay: forward (F) and reverse (R) fault

Where  $I_{pre}$  is pre-fault current,  $I_{fwd}$  is fault current in the forward direction, S is the source, G is the grid, R is the fault location in the reverse direction, F is the fault location in the forward direction and  $I_{rev}$  is fault current in the reverse direction.

The principle of the current-only directional overcurrent relay is described in [38] from Figure 2.13. For an upstream or reverse fault (R), the fault current from the grid to the fault point is

$$I_{rev} = \frac{V_G}{Z_{GR}} \tag{2-1}$$

Where,  $V_G$  is the grid voltage,  $Z_{GR}$  is the impedance between the grid (G) and the fault location (R) For a forward or downstream fault (F), the fault current flowing from the source (S) to the fault

$$I_{fwd} = \frac{V_S}{Z_{SF}} \tag{2-2}$$

Where,  $V_S$  is the source voltage,  $Z_{SF}$  is the line impedance between source (S) and fault location (F)

The pre-fault current from the source (S) to the grid (G) is given by

location (F) is

$$I_{pre} = \frac{V_S - V_G}{Z_{SG}} \tag{2-3}$$

The total post fault current  $I_R$  that the relay senses for a reverse fault (R) is

$$I_R = I_{pre} - I_{rev} = I_{pre} - \frac{V_G}{Z_{GR}}$$
(2-4)

Similarly, the total post fault current  $I_F$  sensed by the relay for the forward fault (F) is

$$I_F = I_{pre} + I_{fwd} = I_{pre} + \frac{V_S}{Z_{SF}}$$
(2-5)

So at the occurrence of a fault, the current changes from  $I_{pre}$  to either  $I_R$  or  $I_F$  depending upon whether it is a reverse fault or forward fault. The current changes by  $-I_{rev}$  or  $I_{fwd}$ . These shortcircuit current phasors have mutually opposite signs due to equations (2-4) and (2-5) and the impedances  $Z_{GR}$  and  $Z_{SF}$  being imaginary and with negative imaginary part. This implies that compared to the pre-fault current,  $I_{pre}$ , the post-fault current ( $I_R$  and  $I_F$ ) will have a phase change that depends upon the fault direction. This angle will therefore indicate the fault direction. This makes it possible to determine the direction of the post-fault current as compared to the pre-fault current only [38]. It therefore does not require bus voltage measurement.

#### 2.9.6 Islanding Detection

Non-controlled islanding is supposed to be detected and the generating units disconnected in the islanded portion. This must be done in the shortest time possible. Reference [14] states that the DER must be disconnected for any of the following conditions:

- The voltage and frequency being out of the contractual range;
- One or more phases of the transmission network is missing;
- Before the first reclosure in cases of automatic reclosure.

There are various ways in which this can be done, though there is no islanding detection technique that is recognized as truly efficient [14]. According to [14], three techniques identified as state of the art can be used to detect unintentional islanding, namely: Passive protection, Active protection and Network communication based protection.

Figure 2.14 graphically shows the various types of protections that can be used to detect unintentional islanding.



Figure 2.14: Techniques to detect unintentional islanding.

In this thesis, we consider only under voltage, rate of change of frequency (ROCOF) and direct transfer trip (DTT) protections as the anti-islanding protections.

#### Under/over voltage protection

When the portion of the network with distributed generation is isolated, the generation sees it as a change of load and therefore network parameters change [14]. These network parameters are voltage and frequency. The level of unbalance determines the level of these parameters. When there is an unbalance between the load and generation, the under/over voltage protection may operate. Reference [6] states that this method provides an acceptable level of protection for small

units and it may fail if the change in load can be compensated for by the control system of the DG since it will keep the frequency and voltage level almost constant.

#### Rate of Change of Frequency (ROCOF)

Unbalance in the system between generation and load leads to a deviation of the system frequency from the normal. When the generation is greater than the load, the frequency will be above the rated frequency (over frequency) and if the generation is lower than the load, then an under frequency situation will obtain. The larger the larger the unbalance, the greater is the rate at which the frequency changes. This rate of change can be used to speed up the islanding decision [14].

According to [6], a ROCOF relay defines the rate of change of frequency using the swing equation below:

$$\frac{\Delta f}{\Delta t} = \frac{\Delta P. f}{2. H. G} \tag{2-6}$$

Where,  $\Delta P$  = Change in power output, f =system frequency, H = Inertia constant of DG system, G =Rated capacity of DG system

The main objective of ROCOF is to trip the breaker if the rate of change of frequency exceeds a certain preset value longer than a set period. It will continuously monitor the voltage waveform and determine any fluctuations. The settings are chosen such that it will respond only to those fluctuations to do with loss of grid (LOG) and the DG in island operation mode.

#### Direct Transfer Trip

DTT is another method that can be utilized to prevent unintended islanding. This utilizes the signal from the line protection or breaker open status in the network to initiate a tripping of the DG.



Figure 2.15: Typical arrangement for direct transfer trip

Figure 2.15 illustrates the DTT arrangement. When there is a fault on the feeder indicated, the protection or breaker open status will be communicated to the PV unit. This enables the PV unit to be disconnected from the system. This is an efficient method though the cost of providing communication may be so high. Further, the network arrangement may require the transfer trip to be supplied from more than a single location, which complicates the scheme and increases the cost further [14].

Problem	Solution	Protection Schemes
Non-controlled islanding	Passivelocalbasedmeasurement schemesActive detectionNetworkcommunicationbased protection	Under/Over Voltage Under/over frequency ROCOF Voltage vector shift Reverse reactive power flux Reverse active power flux (ROCOP) Rate of change of active power Reactive power export error Fault level measurement System impedance monitoring Direct transfer trip Comparison of rate of change of frequency protection (COROCOF)
Unsynchronized Reclosing	Anti-islanding protection	The same as for non- controlled islanding
Increase of fault Current	Fault Current Limiter	TCSC Resistive FCL Inductive FCL
Reverse Current	Directional Overcurrent	Dual Setting Overcurrent Relays Current-only Directional Overcurrent Relays
Ineffectiveness of line reclosing after a fault	Anti-islanding detection	
Blinding of protection.	FCL Under voltage protection	FCL Under voltage Protection
False tripping of feeders	Directional Relays	Directional Relays
Nuisance tripping of protection devices		Directional Relay
Reduced Fault Current	Fault Current limiter	TCSC Resistive FCL Inductive FCL

Table 2.1: Summary of impacts and solutions

# 2.10 Effect of impedance on relay response



Figure 2.16: Distribution System with solar PV and fault location downstream

In the scenario presented in Figure 2.16, there will be fault current contributions from the grid as well as the PV unit. Figure 2.16 can be reduced to its Thevenin equivalent circuits as shown in Figure 2.17 for the case without and with solar PV in order to analyze the current contribution. This helps determine the current through the breaker at 3 for a three phase fault.



Figure 2.17: Thevenin equivalent circuit for Figure 2-17 without PV (left) and with PV (right)

Considering the figures above, let:  $I_{relay}$  be the fault current through R3  $I_{fault}$  be the fault current at the fault point  $V_{th}$  be the thevenin equivalent voltage  $Z_s$  be the impedance between the grid and the PCC  $Z_s$  be the impedance between the grid and the PCC  $Z_f$  be the impedance between the PCC and the fault point  $Z_{PV}$  be the impedance between the PV unit and the PCC

For the case without solar PV,

$$I_{relay} = I_{fault} = \frac{V_{th}}{Z_s + Z_f}$$
(2-7)

When the impedance to the fault is zero,

$$I_{relay} = I_{fault} = \frac{V_{th}}{Z_s}$$
(2-8)

For the case with solar PV,

$$I_{relay} = \frac{I_{fault} * Z_{PV}}{Z_{PV} + Z_S}$$
(2-9)

When there is impedance between fault point and point of common coupling (PCC),

$$I_{fault} = \frac{V_{th}}{\frac{Z_s * Z_{PV}}{Z_S + Z_{PV}} + Z_f}$$
(2-10)

When the impedance between PCC and fault location is zero,

$$I_{fault} = \frac{V_{th}}{\frac{Z_s * Z_{PV}}{Z_S + Z_{PV}} + 0}$$
(2-11)

Resulting in

$$I_{relay} = I_{fault} = \frac{V_{th}}{Z_s}$$
(2-12)

Equations (2-8) and (2-12) for the case without and with solar PV are the same. The fault current through the relay at 1 will be the same if the impedance is zero between the PCC and the fault. However, as the length of the line is increased, there will be a current division that will affect the relay at 1.

As the length of the line is increased, the relay becomes less sensitive to detect faults and therefore takes longer to trip for faults or may not trip at all. This condition is known as protection underreach, which is a condition whereby the relay does not operate under fault conditions. This depends upon the level of PV penetration and the impedance between PCC and the fault.

# 2.11 Battery Energy Storage Systems

PV systems, particularly stand-alone, have storage systems to supply the required energy during variations of PV generation [16]. There is need for storage systems in solar PV generation in order to introduce stability in the system and arrest energy fluctuations. Solar energy is intermittent and unpredictable and therefore, there is fluctuation in the energy supplied [39]. Energy storage enables the system to maintain a consistent energy balance. Energy is stored in times of excess supply and used when there is a deficit. Energy storage improves the operation of the power system [40].

Battery Type	Advantages	Disadvantages	
Lead-Acid	Familiar Most matured battery technology Cheapest within the cell battery group	Highmaintenancerequirements.Low energy density.Low power density.Short cycle life.Environmental hazards.Highvoltagedischarging.	
Sodium-Sulphur (NaS)	High Energy Density High Power Density Mature Technology High efficiency	Expensive Requires high operating temperature.	
Vanadium Redax (VRB)	Used in large applications High Power Density High energy Density	Early-stage technology. Expensive technology. Rugged standardization	
Zinc-Bromine (Zn-Br)	Used in large applications High Power Density High energy Density	Early-stage technology. High cost of maintenance. Toxic components. Fast corrodible components.	

Table 2.2: List of available battery types and their advantages and disadvantages

Lithium-Ion (Li-ion)	High power density.	Relatively new technology.
	High energy density.	Very expensive technology.
	High efficiency of almost 100%	Toxic
Nickel-Cadmium (Ni-	Mature technology.	Expensive
Cd)	High mechanical resistance.	Toxic
	High energy density.	
	Long cycle life.	
Nickel-Metal-Hydride	Mature technology.	Expensive technology
(NIMH)	High mechanical resistance.	
	High energy density.	
	Long cycle life.	
	Lower number of toxic	
	components	

3

# Development of Devices and Network Models in PSCAD

This chapter discusses the development of the network models and device models in PSCAD software environment.

# 3.1 PSCAD Simulation Software

Power Systems Computer Aided Design (*PSCAD*<sup>®</sup>) is the simulation software used in the thesis/study. It is developed by Manitoba HVDC Research Centre, a division of Manitoba Hydro International Ltd. PSCAD is a graphical user interface to the Electromagnetic Transients including DC (EMTDC) electromagnetic transient simulation engine [41]. It is a powerful tool that enables the user to schematically construct a circuit, run a simulation, analyze the results, and manage the data in a completely integrated, graphical environment. It further has online plotting functions, controls and meters, which enable the user to alter system parameters while running a simulation. The effects of this alteration can be viewed while the simulation is in progress. It has a comprehensive library of pre-programmed and tested simulation models, which comprise simple passive elements and control functions, more complex models, such as electric machines, full-on FACTS devices, transmission lines and cables. Custom models can be built for models that do not exist. These may be constructed by putting together existing models to form a module, or by constructing models from scratch in a flexible design environment.

The study is carried out on two networks: the CIGRE LV network and a typical MV network in Sweden.

#### 3.2 CIGRE Network

The CIGRE network is a European LV distribution network benchmark. It is a 20/0.4 kV distribution system fed from a 400 kVA, 20/0.4 kV transformer. Solar PV, wind energy and BESS are integrated into the system. Solar PV is put into the system at nodes C and D rated 3 kW and 4 kW respectively, while BESS is at nodes A and B rated 35 kVA and 25 kVA respectively. They are interfaced to the grid through DC-AC inverters. A wind turbine rated 5.5 kW is at node E. There are loads at nodes C, D, E, R11 and R17. These loads are unbalanced. This network is modelled using PSCAD simulation software using data from [42]. Figure 3.1 shows a single line diagram of the CIGRE network. The description of the model is given in the following section.



Figure 3.1: CIGRE LV distribution network

#### 3.3 Model of the CIGRE Network in PSCAD

This section describes the modelling of the different components of the network. In addition to the general network components, models were built for the solar photovoltaic system, wind generating system and battery energy storage. The approach taken in building the different models is described in the following sections.

#### 3.3.1 Network Components

A three phase, 20kV ideal voltage source is used to represent the grid and a 20/0.4 kV transformer is used to step down the voltage to 400V.

The cables between the various nodes (connection points) are represented using pie sections. The parameters are as shown in appendix A. PSCAD has pie section modules and various line parameters can be adjusted using a drop down menu shown in Figure 3.2. The capacitances are not included in the original network due to the short lengths of the cables, however, in this network, PSCAD default capacitance values are used.



Figure 3.2: Pie section drop down menu

#### 3.3.2 Inverter Modelling in PSCAD

Solar PV and BESS are connected to the system using a DC-AC inverter. The inverter topology is the same for all systems in this model. Figure 3.3 shows the basic inverter topology used. It is a three phase inverter which has six switches that are used to chop the direct current (DC) voltage.



Figure 3.3: Inverter topology

The switches used in the inverter are IGBT's due to their quick switching speed. A snubber circuit is connected in parallel with the switch which helps to suppress large voltage spikes from being imposed across the switch. The values for the snubber resistance and capacitance are the default values in PSCAD. An anti-parallel diode across the switch conducts current when the voltage reverses across the switch. This was used to convert the PV array output from direct current (DC) form to the alternating current (AC) form to be able to tie the PV system to the utility grid. In the

PSCAD model, the inverter consist of the Firing Pulse Generator and the Three Phase Inverter Bridge.

The signals IGBT1, IGBT2, etc. shown in the inverter schematic in Figure 3.3 are IGBT gate signals which are generated by the Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) circuit. The PWM signals are used to turn the switches on and off to create the desired output.

Three sine waves which are used as reference signals are created by the sine function block as depicted in Figure 3.4. After creating these references, they are compared with a 1 kHz triangular carrier wave in a driving block which will finally turn the IGBTs on and off depending upon whether *Vref* is greater or lesser than *Vtri* (Figure 3.5).



Figure 3.4: Sine reference generation circuit in PSCAD



Figure 3.5: Firing pulse generator

#### 3.3.3 Filter Modelling

The AC being injected by the inverter into the grid is not purely sinusoidal due to its high content of harmonics. Therefore, there is need to include a low pass filter that will filter out these harmonics in order to have a high quality AC into the grid. It is advantageous to use a high switching frequency

in PWM because the harmonic content of the unfiltered signal occurs around the switching frequency, which is usually much higher than the fundamental. This makes it possible to use smaller filters. The PWM inverter in this model is designed to have a carrier frequency of 1 kHz and a fundamental frequency of 50 Hz. The chosen values for the inductor and capacitor for the LC filter are 200 uH and 7 mF respectively. The LC line filter is shown in Figure 3.6.



Figure 3.6: LC Line filter in PCAD

#### 3.3.4 Solar Photovoltaic System

Two solar PV systems are designed, rated 3 and 4 kVA. They use the same inverter topology as described in section 3.3.2.

The solar PV array is built using the PV source generator in PSCAD. In the PSCAD master library, there is an inbuilt model of a solar panel component. The solar panel model has the necessary PV cell characteristics. The model is shown in Figure 3.7. When the component parameter menu is open, the user can adjust the PV cell and PV array properties. This is done by selecting drop down menus shown in Figure 3.8.



Figure 3.7: PV solar panel component in PSCAD

PV array parameters	
🗄 24 😁 🖆 🐖 🤝	
<ul> <li>General         PV array name (optional)         Number of modules connected in series per a             Number of module strings in parallel per array             Number of cells connected in series per module             Number of cell strings in parallel per module             Reference irradiation             Reference cell temperature             Graphics Display         </li> </ul>	PVarray1 rray 1 / 1 le 1 1000 25 industry
General Ok Cancel	Heln

Figure 3.8: PV array characteristics drop down menu

In this model, all default solar cell parameters are used. For the array parameters the default values for the reference solar irradiation, and the reference cell temperature are not adjusted. Other parameters were adjusted according to Table 3.1.

Table 3.1: PV	array	parameters
---------------	-------	------------

PV array parameter	Quantity
Modules connected in series	12
Module strings in parallel	3
Cells connected in series per module	24
Cell strings in parallel per module	3
Short circuit current at reference conditions per cell	0.0025 [kA]
Power output, voltage level	4kVA, 144V

The PV array produces the above quantity at a reference radiation and cell temperature of 1000W/m<sup>2</sup> and 25<sup>o</sup>C respectively.

#### 3.3.5 DC Link Capacitor

The PV array and the inverter are bridged by the DC link capacitor. This capacitor has three functions: to minimize the voltage ripple across the PV terminals, alternate every half cycle to act as a source or sink to create a balance of power on the DC bus and also act as a source of reactive power. A capacitor value of 15[mF] is used in the model.

#### 3.3.6 Overcurrent Protection Modelling

The overcurrent protection is modelled using an overcurrent element which is found in the PSCAD library. This an inverse time overcurrent relay 51 which is based on IEEE standard C37.112-1996. It is a current operated relay that produces an inverse-current characteristic by integrating a function of current with respect to time.

The operating characteristics are governed by the following equations:

$$t_{trip} = TD\left(\frac{A}{M^P - 1} + B\right) + K \tag{3-1}$$

And

$$t_{reset} = TD\left(\frac{t_r}{1 - M^q}\right) \tag{3-2}$$

Where,  $t_{trip}$  is the trip time in seconds,  $t_{reset}$  is the reset time in seconds, M is the  $I_{input}/I_{pickup}$ ( $I_{pickup}$  is the relay current set point),  $t_r$  is the reset time (for M = 0) and A, B, p, q constants to provide selected curve characteristics

In the relay drop down menu, various selections can be done, Figure 3.8 indicates this. We have selected explicit Data Entry which is pre-selected to a moderately inverse overcurrent relay. The parameters are as shown in Figure 3.9. These determine the relay curves.

The input to the relay is a measured current signal which can be in per-unit or actual current in kilo-Amps. The measurement is done by the multi-meter we have included on various branches of the circuit. This eliminates the need to use CTs in our model. When the current is higher than the pick-up current, the relay outputs a trip, otherwise the relay resets.

Figure 3.11 shows the complete setup of the overcurrent protection system. It includes a measured current signal, overcurrent relay, a mono-stable and a circuit breaker which is in the main circuit. The mono-stable holds the output signal from the relay for a preset time, which in this case, is enough to keep the breaker open if a trip signal has been issued by the overcurrent relay.

Inverse Time Over Current Rel	ay ^	🖳 Inverse Time Over Curre	ent Relay X
Explicit Data Entry	~	Main	~
81 21 🕾 🕄 🛷 🐲		9:: A	
<ul> <li>General</li> <li>Trip Characteristic Constant (A)</li> <li>Trip Characteristic Constant (B)</li> <li>Trip Characteristic Constant (K)</li> <li>Trip Characteristic Exponent (p)</li> <li>Reset Time (tr)</li> <li>Reset Exponent Constant (q)</li> </ul>	0.0521 0.113 0 0.02 5.4 [s] 2	General Data Entry Format Resettable ? Pickup Current Time Dial Setting	Explicit No 10.0151 0.04
General		General	
<u>O</u> k <u>C</u> ancel	Help	<u>O</u> k <u>C</u> a	ncel <u>H</u> elp

Figure 3.9: Relay Characteristic

Figure 3.10: Relay Menu



*Figure 3.11: Overcurrent protection arrangement* 

In order for the relay to operate as expected, under its settings, values of pickup current and time dial settings are entered. Pick up current throughout the system was initially set to 200% of the full load current. Time dial settings were input as the actual operating time of the protection system. All primary protection was set to operate after 0.04 seconds after the fault. Subsequent protection operated 0.3 seconds later if the primary protection failed.

There is also a control algorithm that is implemented to control the operational status of the breakers.

Figure 3.12 shows the complete CIGRE low voltage network in PSCAD including distributed generation (DG).



Figure 3.12: CIGRE Network Model in PSCAD

#### 3.4 Typical Medium Voltage Network in Sweden

The MV network used here is supplied from a 20kV substation and contains 30 buses. 13 buses were used in this study due to limitation of the number of electrical nodes in the version of PSCAD used. For purposes of this study, arbitrary three phase loads were added at different buses as shown in Figure 3.13. The PV system was added at bus A. Initial capacity of solar PV system was 4kW but this was gradually increased to determine the level that disrupts the proper functioning of the protection system from that without the distributed generation. A schematic diagram of the network used is shown in the Figure 3.13 below. Using given data in appendix B and the arbitrary loads, the network was modelled in PSCAD as shown in Figure 3.14. Due to limited number of nods we have in the software used, the extent of the model is up to bus X only. The actual network has more buses.



Figure 3.13: Typical MV network

#### 3.4.1 Model of the typical Medium Voltage Network in PSCAD

All system components were modelled as described in section 3.3 for the CIGRE network. Cables were modelled using pie sections with values of section lengths, resistances and reactance as shown in Table B. 1 in the Appendix. The default capacitive reactance values were used as they were assumed to have negligible effect on the overall reactance. The solar PV, battery energy storage, dc link capacitor, inverter, filter and protection system were modelled as in the CIGRE network to output values suitable for the MV system.



Figure 3.14: Typical MV network as modelled in PSCAD

4

# Impacts of PV on distribution system protection

This chapter discusses the study cases, simulation set ups and results of the simulations. It also presents the impacts of PV on distribution system protection after analyzing the results.

#### 4.1 Description of case studies



Figure 4.1: Methodology of study

After the system was modelled, studies were carried out to ascertain the impacts that solar PV imposes on protection coordination in a distribution network.

In the studies, the methodology adopted was to simulate two different cases and compare the results. The first case was simulation without any solar PV integrated, the second case was simulation with solar PV integrated. The results obtained were then analyzed by comparing the two cases. In this instance, fault current levels, fault current directions, and nuisance tripping were examined. The discrepancies between the two cases show the impacts that are as a result of integration of solar PV into the distribution grid.

After examining the results, solutions are proposed and simulations were carried out again to see if the impacts have been mitigated by these.

#### 4.2 Simulation Setups

Simulations for both the CIGRE and a typical MV networks are carried out.

The simulations were first of all done without any solar PV added to get the load currents. Three phase to ground faults were simulated to study the fault current levels. Solar PV was then added to the circuit and then similar faults were simulated and various fault currents recorded.

#### 4.3 CIGRE Network Simulations



Figure 4.2: CIGRE Network Upstream Faults



Figure 4.3: CIGRE Network Downstream Faults

The simulations on the CIGRE network were carried out according to the following cases:

#### 4.3.1 CIGRE Network Base Case: No PV

This simulation was done according to Figure 4-1 and Figure 4-2. The PV was not connected. The procedure is as follows:

- Run the simulation without solar PV
- Simulate three phase to ground faults at buses C, R17, D, E and B11 respectively
- Record fault currents at the different buses
- Determine whether or not R3 trips

#### 4.3.2 Results and discussion of the Simulation

Table 4.1: Base Case Simulation Results for CIGRE Network

Fault Location	Location	Fault Current (kA)	R3 Trips(Yes/No)
С	С	0.647	-
	Line P3-P4	0.665	-
	Line P2-P3 (R3)	0.66	Yes
	Line B1-P1	0.674	-
	R1	0.678	-
R17	Line P4-R17	0.914	-
	Line P3-P4	0.913	-
	Line P2-P3 (R3)	0.919	Yes
	Line B1-P1	0.929	-
	R1	0.934	-
D	Line P3-D	0.947	-
	Line P3-P4	N/A	-
	Line P2-P3(R3)	0.95	Yes
	Line B1-P1	0.962	-
	R1	0.965	-
Е	Line P2-E (R2)	1.138	-
	Line P3-P4	N/A	-
	Line P2-P3(R3)	N/A	No
	Line B1-P1	1.153	-
	R1	1.157	-
B11	Line P1-B11	1.808	-
	Line P3-P4	N/A	-
	Line P2-P3(R3)	N/A	No
	Line B1-P1	N/A	-
	R1	1.842	-

From table Table 4.1 above, for upstream faults at buses B11 and E, the relay did not issue a tripping command, this is the expected operation of the protection.

#### 4.3.3 Simulations with PV connected

The aim is to ascertain whether R3 would mal-operate to give a nuisance tripping for upstream faults. This simulation is according Figure 4-2 and Figure 4-3.

Solar PV is connected at bus D. The PCC being at P3.

- Connect solar PV to the system
- Run the simulation.
- Initiate a three phase to ground fault on the upstream.
- Record fault currents
- Observe if R3 responds to the fault by tripping the breaker.
- 4.3.4 Results and discussion of the simulation

Fault Location	Location	Fault Current (kA)	R3 Trips(Yes/No)
С	С	0.645	-
	Line P3-P4	0.638	-
	Line P2-P3 (R3)	0.66	Yes
	Line B1-P1	0.675	-
	R1	0.681	-
R17	Line P4-R17	0.926	-
	Line P3-P4	0.909	-
	Line P2-P3 (R3)	0.916	Yes
	Line B1-P1	0.928	-
	R1	0.959	-
D	Line P3-D	0.953	-
	Line P3-P4	0.006	-
	Line P2-P3(R3)	0.946	Yes
	Line B1-P1	0.959	-
	R1	0.961	-
Е	Line P2-E (R2)	1.159	-
	Line P3-P4	N/A	-
	Line P2-P3(R3)	0.418	Yes
	Line B1-P1	0.76	-
	R1	0.762	-
B11	Line P1-B11	1.826	-
	Line P3-P4	N/A	-
	Line P2-P3(R3)	0.38	Yes
	Line B1-P1	0.37	-
	R1	1.492	-

Table 4.2: CIGRE Network Upstream Fault Results

It can be observed by comparing Table 4.1 and Table 4.2 that when PV is present, R3 trips for upstream faults at buses E and B11. This is an unwanted tripping as R3 is meant to respond to downstream faults only. For downstream faults, the relay operated as expected.

# 4.4 Typical Medium Voltage Simulations

#### 4.4.1 Base Case Simulation: No PV

This simulation is run without PV connected to the network. The procedure for this is as follows:

- Run the simulation without PV connected
- Initiate faults at buses A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H and X respectively.
- Observe the time it takes R3 to trip.
- Note down the various fault currents
- Record the time it takes for the breaker to trip.

#### 4.4.2 Upstream Simulations

This simulation is according to Figure 4.4.



Figure 4.4: MV Network Upstream Simulations

There are two cases to be investigated here: (1) to investigate unwanted tripping and (2) to investigate undesired islanding.

Unwanted operation

The aim is to ascertain whether R3 would mal-operate to cause an unwanted tripping for a fault on the upstream of the PV unit.

The steps to carry this out are as follows:

- Connect solar PV to the system starting at a low level of penetration like 2.3%.
- Run the simulation.
- Initiate a three phase to ground fault on the upstream at bus AC.
- Observe if R3 responds to the fault by tripping the breaker.

- If not, increase the level of PV penetration and observe.
- Note down the level at which R3 will eventually trip.
- For a nuisance tripping, similar steps are taken but there is no fault initiation carried out.

#### Undesired islanding

The aim is to investigate whether or not the PV unit would island or continue feeding into the fault in the event that R2 fails to operate and R1 operates. If the relay at 3 trips, we would have undesired islanding for the PV generator.

#### 4.4.3 Downstream Fault simulations

Figure 4-5 defines the scenario for the simulation with the downstream faults. Two cases are investigated in this scenario, low fault current contribution by PV and high fault contribution by PV.



Figure 4.5: MV Network Downstream Simulations

Low Fault Current Contribution

The aim is to determine the effect that increased levels of solar PV below the hosting capacity have on relay tripping times. The procedure is as follows:

- Solar PV is connected at Bus A and initially set at 2.3% penetration level. The penetration level being defined as the power contributed by the PV unit against the maximum power demand of the system.
- A three phase to ground fault is initiated at 0.6s on bus X.
- The time it takes R3 to trip is recorded.
- Solar PV will be increased in steps until 30% and the procedure above followed.
- The tripping times recorded with inclusion of solar PV are compared to the trip time without solar PV. The deviations are recorded as the effect that solar PV has on the overcurrent protection coordination.

#### High Fault Current Contribution

This is a high fault current contribution case where the solar PV penetration is 30% or more. The aim of this case is to determine the hosting capacity. The simulations are done according to Figure 4-4. The following steps are used to achieve the result:

- The simulation is then run with solar PV penetration set at 30% (from the point reached at in the previous simulation).
- A three phase to ground fault is initiated at 0.6s at bus X.
- It is noted and recorded whether or not R3 trips for downstream faults.
- Solar PV penetration is increased until a point where R3 does not trip anymore for downstream faults.
- The level just before the protection is blinded is the theoretical hosting capacity as regards protection. This is the level of PV that can be added before protection is blinded.

# 4.5 Results and discussions from of the MV network case

Results, observations and analysis are presented. Tables Table 4.3 and Table 4.4 show the results that have been obtained in the simulation. The rest of the tables are found in appendix C. Observations and analysis are in the preceding sections.

#### 4.5.1 Base Case: No PV

It was observed that the R3 was tripping at 0.748s. The tripping time is therefore 0.148s since the simulation is initiated at 0.6s.

#### 4.5.2 Upstream fault

#### Unwanted operation

It is observed from Table 4.3 that R3 trips when the level of solar PV penetration is at about 10% or more. This is because at this level, the PV unit is contributing sufficient fault current above the relay settings. This scenario was not accounted for in the original coordination. The original coordination was for a radial network without solar PV.

#### Undesired Islanding

For this simulation, R3 was tripping causing the PV unit to island.

Power cont	tribution		
Grid (MW)	Solar PV (MW)	Trip (Yes/No)	% of solar penetration
7.375	0.1739	No	2.3
7.319	0.2073	No	2.8
7.169	0.5155	No	6.7
7.083	0.5664	No	7.4
6.866	0.6619	No	8.8
6.994	0.6931	No	9.0
6.934	0.7193	No	9.4
6.901	0.7334	No	9.6
6.925	0.7487	No	9.8
6.835	0.7555	No	9.9
6.916	0.7698	Yes	10.0
6.878	0.7703	Yes	10.1
6.781	0.8103	Yes	10.7
6.772	0.8236	Yes	10.8
6.65	0.9785	Yes	12.8
6.012	1.518	Yes	20.2

Table 4.3: Upstream fault simulations, fault location at bus AC

# 4.5.3 Downstream fault with high fault current contribution from PV

The following observations can be made from this simulation:

- From Table 4.4, when the solar PV penetration reaches a level of between 30-40%, it has significant impact on the protection coordination.
- The Trip times increase tremendously above 40% penetration.

• As shown in Table C.4 at penetrations above 62%, the relay R3 does not trip at all for downstream faults. 62% is the theoretical hosting capacity in this case. The longest tripping time before the relay is blinded to faults on the downstream is about 1.54s after fault initiation.



Figure 4.6: Plot of trip time with and without solar PV up to hosting capacity

Grid power	Solar PV	Trip time with solar PV	% of solar
(MW)	(MW)	(s)	penetration
2.2	5.395	Did not trip	71.0
2.42	5.185	Did not trip	68.2
2.469	5.072	Did not trip	67.3
2.737	4.911	Did not trip	64.2
2.892	4.759	1.54	62.2
2.919	4.705	1.413	61.7
3.12	4.511	0.931	59.1
3.936	3.719	0.425	48.6
3.992	3.573	0.416	47.2

Table 4.4: Downstream, high fault current contributions, fault location at bus X

4.008	3.591	0.413	47.3
4.466	3.19	0.334	41.7
4.971	2.725	0.282	35.4
5.183	2.455	0.263	32.1
5.415	2.262	0.249	29.5
5.675	2.014	0.23	26.2
5.856	1.803	0.23	23.5
6.103	1.541	0.211	20.2
6.444	1.198	0.202	15.7
6.616	0.9723	0.202	12.8
7.023	0.504	0.181	6.7
7.191	0.3416	0.181	4.5
7.508	0.1772	0.181	2.3

4.5.4 Downstream with low fault current contribution from PV The following observations can be made from this simulation:

- R3 trips for faults on the downstream and the PV unit islands.
- The tripping times increase from 0.148s when no PV is connected to maximum 1.54s until hosting capacity is reached for the case when PV is connected at A.

4.5.5 Variation of fault currents with varying fault locations

Figure 4.7 to Figure 4.9 show the variation of fault currents at different locations. It is seen from Figure 4.7 that the grid fault current reduces with connection of solar PV, the further the fault downstream, the lower the fault current contribution from the grid. This reduced fault current causes longer tripping times.



Figure 4.7: Variation of grid and fault currents with faults downstream, PCC at A, PV at 30% penetration



Figure 4.8: Variation of grid and fault currents with faults downstream, PCC at E and PV at 30% penetration



Figure 4.9: Variation of grid and fault currents with downstream fault, PCC at X and PV at 30% penetration

The fault current contribution by the grid is given by (2-9). The higher the impedance between the grid and the PCC, the lesser is the contribution by the grid. So as the location of the PV unit is changed further downstream, its contribution to the fault increases while that for the grid reduces. With this scenario, the actual fault current at the point of fault will be higher while the fault currents seen by relays upstream before the PCC are lower. This difference in the currents cause overcurrent coordination problems.



Figure 4.10: Coordinated for fault currents at relay R3 vs. fault currents with PV upstream

Figure 4.10 above compares the fault current seen by the relay at R3 for a case where it was originally coordinated for faults without solar PV and the actual fault current with PV. It is seen

that without PV, the relay was coordinated for currents higher than the actual fault current when solar PV is connected. However, at the point of fault, the fault current are higher. This causes incoordination in the overcurrent protection. Therefore, tripping times are longer for all downstream faults.

Table 4.5 and Table 4.6 show the fault analysis with fault locations at bus A and bus X when the PCC is at bus A. It is seen from the tables that as the fault location moves further downstream, the fault current contribution from the grid reduces.

		Fault Analysis with 30% PV penetration				
		Fault location: PCC (A)				
Bus/Location	Normal Current (kA)		Fault Current (kA)		% Increase	
	Without PV	With PV	Without PV	With PV	with P v	
Grid	0.209	0.145	58.76	57.88	-1.5%	
PV	0	0.065	0	25.85	N/A	
А	0.157	0.09	58.76	57.875	-1.5%	
В	0.154	0.156	0.034	0.034	0.0%	
С	0.128	0.129	0.027	0.027	0.0%	
D	0.101	0.102	0.02	0.02	0.0%	
Е	0.076	0.077	0.013	0.012	-7.7%	
F	0.027	0.028	0.004	0.004	0.0%	
G	0.027	0.028	0.004	0.004	0.0%	
Н	0.029	0.028	0.004	0.004	0.0%	
Х	0.013	0.014	0.002	0.002	0.0%	
Z	0.027	0.027	0.004	0.004	0.0%	
AA	0.027	0.027	0.004	0.004	0.0%	
AC	0.055	0.055	0.015	0.014	-6.7%	

Table 4.5: MV fault analysis A
		Fault Analys					
		Fault location: X					
Bus/Location	Normal Cur	rrent (kA)	Fault Curre	ent (kA)	% Increase with		
	Without PV	With PV	Without PV	With PV			
Grid	0.209	0.145	8.554	5.461	-36.2%		
PV	0	0.065	0	4.104	N/A		
А	0.157	0.09	7.785	5.119	-34.2%		
В	0.154	0.156	7.904	8.11	2.6%		
С	0.128	0.129	7.894	8.71	10.3%		
D	0.101	0.102	7.885	8.7	10.3%		
Е	0.076	0.077	7.877	8.535	8.4%		
F	0.027	0.028	8.05	9.207	14.4%		
G	0.027	0.028	8.05	9.207	14.4%		
Н	0.029	0.028	8.067	8.552	6.0%		
X	0.013	0.014	8.064	8.49	5.3%		
Z	0.027	0.027	0.021	0.021	0.0%		
AA	0.027	0.027	0.021	0.01	-52.4%		
AC	0.055	0.055	0.05	0.052	4.0%		

# Table 4.6: MV fault analysis, bus X

	Upstrea	m Fault	Downstream Fault			
Network	Base Case	With PV	Base Case	Low Fault Current	High Fault Current	
CIGRE	R3 Not Tripping Expected fault current level	R3 Tripping Unwanted operation Increased R2 FL	R3 Trips	R3 Trips	R3 Trips	
MV Network	R3 not tripping Expected R2 Fault Current	R3 trips at 10% PV Penetration Increased fault current R2	R3 trips after 0.18s	R3 delay 1.54s max	R3 blinded at 62%-hosting capacity	

Figure 4.11: Summary of results

4.5.6 Summary of Impacts of Connecting Solar PV to a Distribution Network From results shown in Figure 4.11 the summary for the effects of solar PV to a distribution grid can be summarized as follows:

- When there is very little or no impedance between the PCC and the fault point, the coordination holds as seen for the simulations for the CIGRE network.
- Increased fault currents at point of fault,
- Reduced fault currents between the grid and PCC
- Loss of relay sensitivity
- Loss of coordination and longer tripping times
- Undesired tripping for faults on the upstream (With 10% penetration of solar PV)
- Blinding of protection
- Unintentional islanding

# Solutions to identified problems

This chapter presents solutions that mitigate the identified impacts and describe how the chosen solutions perform

#### 5.1 Solutions

In order to solve some of the impacts identified above, three solutions are proposed and simulated in this thesis. These are DTT, undervoltage protection and ROCOF. These methods are described in the preceding sections. Figure 5.1, Figure 5.2, Figure 5.3 and Figure 5.4 depict these schemes.

5.1.1 Direct Transfer Trip using wireless link

This scheme is used to mitigate the following impacts:

- Increased fault current
- Reduced fault current
- Unintended islanding
- Unwanted tripping
- Reverse fault current
- Unsynchronized reclosing

This is overcurrent protection which is as described in section 3.3.6, which is meant to trip the upstream breaker (and other breakers meant to provide backup) in the event of a fault. However, the overcurrent protection in this design has capability to communicate with the PV unit downstream in the event of an upstream fault. The communication system is comprised of a delay element and a transmission radio link. There is no delay set in this simulation. This signal can be transmitted through any communication method. In this design, a radio link is used. At the remote end where the PV unit is, this signal is interfaced with other PV protection signals to communicate with the inverter. The signal from the upstream overcurrent protection is received by an interfacing circuit. The description of the interfacing circuit follows in section 5.1.3. The overcurrent protection scheme with communication capability as modelled in PSCAD is shown in Figure 5.1.



Figure 5.1: Overcurrent protection with communication from upstream element

#### 5.1.2 Under voltage protection

This is intended to solve the following impacts:

- Blinding of protection
- Reduced fault current
- Unintended islanding
- Unsynchronized reclosing

Figure 5.2 shows the arrangement of this circuit. This protection monitors the voltage at the PCC and its aim is to disable the PV inverter when the voltage at the PCC is below 0.8 p.u. The voltage at the PCC is measured through the signal Vgs in the circuit arrangement. The measured signal is converted into a per unit value by a divider circuit which uses a base of 22 kV. The output is then fed as input B to the comparator. Input A to the comparator is the reference value of 0.8 p.u. Whenever the measured voltage is below 0.8 p.u, the comparator outputs a signal which disables the PV inverter. This signal is interfaced with other protection signals for the PV system.



Figure 5.2: Under voltage protection circuit

#### 5.1.3 Interfacing circuit

The interfacing circuit is shown in Figure 5.3. In this arrangement, it has two inputs (It can have more). It is essentially made up of logic circuitry. One of the inputs is from the upstream relay and the other from the under voltage protection, both as described above. The signal from the upstream relay is received through a radio receiver, the one for under voltage is hard wired. These are passed through a logic OR gate which outputs a logic 1 when either or both protections operate. The output is passed through a logic NAND gate. The other input to this gate is an enabling 1, which makes

the output of this gate dependent upon the protection signal only. Table 5.1 shows the truth table for this NAND gate.

Enabling	Protection	Output
Signal		
0	0	Х
0	1	Х
1	0	1
1	1	0

Table 5.1: NAND gate truth table

The interfacing circuit output is dependent upon the protection signal. When there is no fault in the system, there is no signal from the protection and hence the output of the interfacing circuit is a logic 1. When the protection operates, the output of the interfacing circuit is a logic 0. This output is then fed to the firing pulse circuit which is described in the following section.



Figure 5.3: Protection interfacing circuit

5.1.4 Firing pulse circuit with protection disabling signal

Figure 5.4 shows the inverter firing pulse circuit. The operation of the inverter was described in section 3.3.1. It is modified here such that AND logic gates are included on the outputs of the pulse driving circuits. These are meant to combine the protection signal and the firing pulses from the pulse driving circuits. The output from the AND gate are now the pulses that provide the firing to the IGBTs in the PV inverter circuit. The truth table that governs the output for the circuit is shown in Table 5.2.

Firing pulse	Protection	Output
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

Table 5.2: Truth table for AND gate

The inverter has two modes, normal operating mode and blocking mode. These two modes are decided by the protection. When the protection has not operated, the inverter is in the normal operating mode. When the protection has operated, the inverter is in blocked mode and it does not produce any output. Under normal operation, the firing pulse circuit will drive the inverter IGBTs only when the firing pulse circuit is firing and the interfacing is supplying a logic 1 signal, that is, when the protection has not operated. Without a fault in the system, the interfacing circuit always has a logic 1, meaning the inverter is always enabled. It's functioning entirely depends upon the pulse firing circuit. When the protection circuit operates, the interfacing circuit will output a logic 0. In this situation, whatever the output of the pulse firing circuit, the overall output to the firing circuit will be a logic 0 and the inverter will not chop the DC voltage. The inverter is therefore disabled when the protection has operated.



Figure 5.4: Firing pulse circuit to include protection signal

#### 5.1.5 Rate of Change of Frequency Protection (ROCOF)

This protection is an anti-islanding protection designed to trip the PV unit when it has islanded and the rate of change of frequency is above or below a certain threshold value. It also protects against unsynchronized reclosing. Figure 5.5 and Figure 5.6 illustrate this scheme as implemented in this project in the PSCAD/EMTDC environment.



Figure 5.5: ROCOF signal pickup



Figure 5.6: ROCOF protection

The frequency and its rate of change are measured using the arrangement as shown in Figure 5.5. The signal is then fed to the ROCOF relay. It is comprised of two comparators that enable the relay to protect for both positive and negative rate of change. The output from the comparators, through mono-stables, is fed to a logic OR gate. The output from the OR gate is then passed through a logic AND gate. The other input to the AND gate is a signal from the upstream overcurrent relay. This is an interlock to ensure that the ROCOF protection will only operate when the upstream breaker has tripped and the PV has islanded. Otherwise, it is not supposed to operate. The output from the AND gate is passed through an OR gate. This is for the purpose of interfacing breaker controls whereby the breaker is also operator controlled for the purpose of switching the PV unit on-off. The output from this final OR gate is the signal that controls the PV unit breaker.

#### 5.2 Performance of the proposed solutions

The above proposed solutions were simulated and their effectiveness in mitigating the identified impacts were ascertained.

#### 5.2.1 Direct Transfer Trip (Overcurrent protection with communication)

The following is the sequence by which this protection operates;

- A fault occurs in the upstream
- Overcurrent on the upstream detects the fault
- A signal is generated which both trips the local breaker and also communicates with the solar PV
- This protection signal turns the inverter off
- The PV unit will no longer contribute to the fault
- Only the required upstream breakers operate for the fault.



Figure 5.7: Overcurrent protection and Direct Transfer Trip signals



Figure 5.8: Upstream faulty feeder and PV current fault currents

Figure 5.7 and Figure 5.8 show the performance of the DTT scheme. At the occurrence of the fault, the overcurrent protection detects the fault (relay R2 in Figure 4.4). The relay issues a trip command

to the breaker and also issues a direct transfer trip command to the PV protection system. Figure 5.7 shows these signals as obtained in the simulation. The response to these signals is shown in Figure 5.8. The upstream breaker and the PV unit are tripped at 0.653s, eliminating any chance of relay R3 (in Figure 4.4) responding to trip the breaker, thereby islanding the PV unit.

#### 5.2.2 Under voltage Protection

The under voltage protection was simulated for downstream high and low fault current contributions. The measured voltage was obtained from the PCC.

Downstream, High fault contribution mitigation

As highlighted in section 4.5.3, at PV penetration levels of 62% and above, the overcurrent protection is blinded, it does not operate for faults at bus X. The under voltage protection mitigates this condition. Below is the sequence by which this is effected;

- A fault occurs at bus X in this case, with the PV contributing much of the fault current as in Figure 4.9.
- The original overcurrent protection does not detect the fault because the PV contributes much to the fault current as compared to the grid.
- The fault causes the voltage at the PCC to reduce to below 0.8 pu. This triggers the undervoltage protection.
- The under voltage protection disables the PV unit and therefore its contribution to the fault is curtailed.
- With the PV unit out of the system, the original overcurrent protection coordination is restored.
- When the PV unit is disabled, the whole fault current will now be supplied by the grid.
- The overcurrent protection will now operate to isolate the original fault.



Figure 5.9: Grid and PV fault currents during fault

Figure 5.9 shows that at the instant of fault occurrence (at 0.6s), both the grid and PV unit supply fault current, with the PV unit contributing much higher current. The under voltage protection responds to disable the PV unit at 0.61s. The grid fault current contributions increases and then tripped by overcurrent protection at 0.66s, since the disabling of the PV unit restores the original overcurrent protection coordination.



Figure 5.10: Under voltage Response to high fault current contribution

Figure 5.10 shows the behaviour of the under voltage protection signal in relation to the pu voltage at PCC when a fault occurs. The fault occurs at 0.6s. The pre-fault voltage at the PCC is at 1 pu (bold red curve in Figure 5.10). At the occurrence of the fault, the voltage magnitude declines and when it reaches 0.8 pu, the under voltage protection detects this situation and issues a signal (black bold curve in Figure 5.10). This signal through the interfacing circuit described in section 5.1.3 disables the PV inverter.



Figure 5.11: Inverter Instantaneous voltages after under voltage protection operation.

Figure 5.11 above shows the PV inverter instantaneous voltages from the time of the fault until it is disabled by protection. The inverter stops chopping the DC voltage from the PV after 0.67s.

Downstream Low Fault Current Mitigation

This problem as described in section 4.5.4 is mitigated by the under voltage protection. At penetrations of solar PV of above 30%, the overcurrent protection coordination is affected. The protection takes longer to trip and isolate the fault. In this case, the under voltage protection will operate to isolate the fault. The mitigation process is as described for the high fault current scenario above.

#### 5.2.3 ROCOF Protection

The protection operates as follows:

- A fault occurs on the downstream of the PV unit
- The overcurrent protection detects this fault and trips the upstream breaker, though with a delayed response
- After the upstream breaker is tripped, frequency excursions are experienced due to the imbalance created now between the PV unit power and the load
- The tripping of the upstream breaker will release the interlock for the ROCOF protection to be able to operate
- If the frequency excursions are above ±1hz/s, the ROCOF protection operates to trip the PV unit.

Figure 5.12 shows the frequency excursions and rate of change of this frequency experienced by the PV system during and after the fault. The fault is initiated at 0.6s. This causes the overcurrent protection to trip the upstream breaker. The tripping signal releases the ROCOF protection interlock, which enables this protection to operate.



Figure 5.12: Frequency excursions (above) and rate of change of frequency (below) during and after the fault

Figure 5.14 shows that at 0.6s when the fault is initiated, the grid and the PV unit feed into the fault. The overcurrent protection trips the upstream breaker at 0.674s. With the upstream breaker off, the PV unit fault current contribution increases. However, the ROCOF protection which is enabled detects the frequency excursions and trips the PV unit at 0.681s.



Figure 5.13: ROCOF and Upstream breaker signals



Figure 5.14: Upstream and PV currents show instants of fault initiation and tripping

## 5.2.4 Summary of Scenarios, Effects and Proposed Solutions

Table 5.3 below provides are summary of the simulated scenarios, the effects (or impacts) and the solutions that have been proposed to tackle the identified impacts.

Scenario Type	Effect	Proposed Solution	
		<b>P</b>	
Upstream Fault	Unintentional tripping of	Overcurrent protection with	
	feeder were PV is connected	communication link to PV unit	
	when fault is upstream		
Downstream, Low Fault	Loss of overcurrent protection	Under voltage protection,	
Current Contribution	coordination, longer tripping	ROCOF protection	
	times, Under voltage,		
	Eventual Islanding of PV unit,		
	Frequency excursions		
Downstream, High Fault	Non operation of overcurrent	Under voltage protection	
Current Contribution	protection, Under voltage		

Table 5.3: Summary of Scenarios, effects and proposed solutions

# 6

# Conclusions and Future Work

This chapter presents the conclusions that have been drawn after building models in PSCAD of the CIGRE low voltage network and a typical medium voltage network and studying the impacts associated with integrating solar on the overcurrent protection of the system. Prospects of future work in this area are also presented in order to fully understand the subject and associated mitigating solutions.

#### 6.1 Conclusions

Solar PV is an attractive form of energy that promises energy security with many environmental benefits. However, these benefits cannot be realized fully because integrating solar PV into a traditional radial distribution network poses a number of challenges.

This thesis developed distribution models in EMTDC/PSCAD including inverse-time overcurrent protection. Solar PV was added and its impact on overcurrent protection coordination was investigated. These impacts as seen from the simulations, depend upon the location of the PV unit and the penetration level. The location of the fault also determines the type of impact that is experienced by the system. The level of solar penetration at which these impacts manifested for faults on the downstream is about 30% and above, while on the upstream they manifested at about 10%.

From the study, the following conclusions can be made:

- When solar PV is present in a distribution grid, false tripping of feeders nuisance tripping of protective devices blinding of protection, increase or decrease of fault current levels and unwanted islanding are some of the impacts that are experienced by the grid. These impacts are observed at solar PV penetration levels of 30% or more. Tripping times increase for faults.
- Fault current levels increase/decrease. At the point of the fault, fault currents increase due to the fact that all sources are contributing to the fault current, however, on the upstream of the PV unit, the fault currents reduce. This is because the PV unit is now contributing a part of the fault current.
- The theoretical hosting capacity in terms of protection was determined to be about 62%.
- Protection against unintended islanding proved adequate in solving some of the identified impacts. The following solutions have been found in this thesis to be effective: Direct Transfer Trip, under voltage protection and ROCOF protection.

### 6.2 Future Work

The main focus in this thesis was to study the steady state impacts of solar PV on the protection system of the CIGRE and a typical medium voltage distribution networks. In this case, variation of solar insolation was not considered. The study can be extended to look at the following:

- Variation in power production by solar.
- The effects on other protection schemes other than overcurrent protection.
- Meshed networks with other types of DERs.
- Optimal location of solar PV

## References

- [1] Wikipedia, "Growth of photovoltaics," [Online]. Available: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Growth\_of\_photovoltaics. [Accessed 1 June 2016].
- [2] Clean Teachnica, "Solar Power," Clean Technica, [Online]. Available: http://cleantechnica.com/solar-power/. [Accessed 8 2 2016].
- [3] Wikipedia, "Growth of photovoltaics," Wikipedia, [Online]. Available: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Growth of photovoltaics. [Accessed 8 2 2016].
- [4] International Electrotechnical Commission, Market Strategy Board, "Grid Integration of large-capacity Renewable Energy sources and use of large capacity Electrical Energy Storage," International Electrotechnical Commission White Paper, Geneva, 2012.
- [5] J. P. Lopes, N. Hatziargyriou, J. Mutale, P. Djapic and N. Jenkis, "Integrating distributed generation into electric power systems: A review of drivers, challenges and opportunities," *Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 77, no. 9, pp. 1143-1238, 2007.
- [6] S. Chowdhury, S. Chowdhury, C. F. Ten and P. A. Crossley, "Islanding Protection of Distribution Systems with Distributed Generators - A comprehensive Survey Report," in Power and Energy Society Meeting - Conversion and Delivery of Electrical Energy in the 21st Century, 2008.
- [7] L. Hewitson, M. Brown and B. Ramesh, Practical Power System Protection, Newness, 2004.
- [8] North American Reliability Corporation, Protection System Maintenance and Testing Standard Drafting Team, *PRC-005-2 Protection System Maintenance supplementary Reference*, Princeton, 2009.
- [9] IEEE, *IEEE 100, The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms,* Seventh ed., IEEE Press, 2000.
- [10] J. M. Gers and E. J. Holmes, Protection of Electricity Distribution Networks, Third ed., The Institution of Engineering and Technology, pp. 61-79.
- [11] P. M. Anderson, Power System Protection, IEEE Press, 1999, pp. 201-240, 249-257.
- [12] [Online]. Available: http://www.electrical4u.com/types-of-electrical-protection-relays-orprotective-relays. [Accessed 14 April 2016].

- [13] IEEE, "IEEE Std 242-2001," *IEEE buff book*, 2001.
- [14] Joint Working Group B5/C6.26/CIRED, "Protection of Distribution Systems with Distributed Energy Resources," March 2015.
- [15] M. C. B. Ravindranath, Power System Protection and Switchgear, New Delhi: New Age International (P) Ltd., 2005.
- [16] N. M. Pearsall and R. Hill, "Photovoltaic Modules, Systems and Applications," in *Clean Electricity from Photovoltaics*, World Scientific, 2001, pp. 688-689.
- [17] G. Knier, "NASA Science News," NASA, 2002. [Online]. Available: http://http://science.nasa.gov/science-news/science-at-nasa/2002/solarcells/. [Accessed 2 February 2016].
- [18] C. Honsberg and S. Bowden, "Semiconductor Materials," PVEducation.org, [Online]. Available: http://pveducation.org/pvcdrom/pn-junction/semiconductor-materials. [Accessed 2 February 2016].
- [19] "Introduction to Photovoltaics," Solar-Facts, [Online]. Available: http://www.solar-facts.com/panels/. [Accessed 2 February 2016].
- [20] M. D. Archer and R. Hill, Clean Electricity from Photovoltaics, World Scientific, 2001.
- [21] Solar facts, "solar-facts," Solar-Facts, [Online]. Available: http://www.solar-facts.com/panels/. [Accessed 23 January 2016].
- [22] A. Brown, S. Muller and Z. Dobrotkova, "Renewable Energy: Markets and Prospects by Technology," International Energy Agency Information Paper, 2011.
- [23] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 21 on Fuel Cells, Photovoltaics, Dispersed Generation, and Energy Storage, *IEEE Recommended Practice for Utility Interface of Photovoltaic (PV) Systems*, IEEE, 2000.
- [24] B. Weller, C. Hemmerle, S. Jakubetz and S. Unnewehr, Photovoltaics: Technology, Architecture, Installation, Basel: Birkhäuser, 2012.
- [25] Solar Direct, "Solar Direct," [Online]. Available: http://www.solardirect.com. [Accessed 23 January 2016].
- [26] H. Häbelin, Photovoltaics System Design and Practice, Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, 2012.

- [27] F. Katiraei and J. R. Aguero, "Solar PV Integration Challenges," *IEEE power & energy magazine*, no. 63, 2011.
- [28] A. Girgis and S. Brahma, "Effect of Distributed Generation on Protective Device Coordination in Distribution System," in *Large Engineering Systems Conference*, Halifax, NS, 2001.
- [29] M. T. Doyle, "Reviewing Impacts of Distributed Generation on Distribution System Protection," in *Power Engineering Society Summer Meeting*, 2002 IEEE, Chicago, 2002.
- [30] H. Zayandehroodi, A. Mohamed, H. Shareef and M. Mohammadjafari, "Impact of distributed generations on power system protection performance," *International Journal of Physical Sciences*, vol. 6, no. 16, pp. 3999-4007, 2011.
- [31] M. Bollen and F. Hassan, Integration of Distributed Generation in the Power System, 1st ed., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2011.
- [32] CIGRE Task Force, "Benchmark Systems for Network Integration of Renewable and Distributed Energy Resources," CIGRE, Berlin, July 2009.
- [33] G. Tang and M. R. Iravani, "Application of a Fault Current Limiter To Minimize Distributed Generation Impact on Coordinated Relay Protection," in *International Conference on Power Systems transients (IPST'05)*, Montreal, Canada, 2005.
- [34] Z. Hadi, A. Mohamed, H. Shareef and M. Mohammadjafari, "A Comprehensive review of protection coordination methods in power distribution systems in the presence of DG," *Electrical Review*, no. 8, 2011.
- [35] M. Khederzadeh, "Application of TCSC to Restore Directional Overcurrent Relay Coordination in Systems with Distributed <generation," in *10th International Conference on Electricity Distribution*, Prague, June, 2009.
- [36] S. M. Brahma and A. A. Girgis, "Development of Adaptive Protection Scheme for Distribution Systems With High Penetration of Distributed Generation," in *IEEE transactions* on Power Delivery, 2004.
- [37] H. H. Zeineldin, H. M. Sharaf, D. K. Ibrahim and E. E.-D. A. El-Zahab, "Optimal Protection Coordination for Meshed Distribution Systems With DG Using Dual Setting Directional Over-current Relays," *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 115-123, January 2015.

- [38] A. Ukil, B. Deck and V. H. Shah, "Smart Distribution Protection using Current-Only Directional Overcurrent Relay".
- [39] G. Coppez, S. Chowdhury and S. P. Chowdhury, "The Importance of Energy Storage in Renewable Power Generation: A Review," in *Universities Power Engineering Conference (UPEC), 45th International*, Cardiff, 2010.
- [40] J. Paska, P. Biczel and M. Klos, "Technical and Economic Aspects of Electricity Storage Systems Co-operating with Renewable Energy Sources," in *Electrical Power Quality and Utilization, 2009. EPQU 2009. 10th International Conference*, Lodz, 2009.
- [41] Manitoba HVDC Research Centre, *User's Guide on the use of PSCAD*, Manitoba Hydro International Limited, 2010.
- [42] "Benchmark Systems for Network Integration of Renewable and Distributed Energy Resources," CIGRE, 2009.
- [43] R. Messenger and A. Abtahi, Photovoltaic Systems Engineering, Third Edition ed., Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2010.
- [44] W. El-khattam and T. S. Sidhu, "Resolving the impact of distributed renewable generation on directional overcurrent relay coordination: a case study," in *Renewable Power Generation*, *IET*, 2009.
- [45] J. Keller and KropBoski, "Understanding Fault Characteristics of Inverter Based-Distributed Energy Resources," NREL, January 2010.
- [46] S. VOIMA, K. KAUHANIEMI and H. LAAKSONEN, "Novel Protection Approach for MV Microgrid," in 21st Conference on Electricity Distribution, Frankfurt, Germany, June 2011.
- [47] P. P. Barker and R. W. de Mello, "Determining the Impact of Distributed Generation on Power Systems: Part 1 - Radial Distribution Systems," 2000.
- [48] T. Basso, "IEEE 1547 and 2030 Standards for Distributed Energy Resources Interconnection and Interoperability with the Electricity Grid," NREL, December 2014.
- [49] "IEEE 1547.6-2011 Recommended Practice for Interconnecting Distributed Resources with Electric Power Systems Distribution Secondary Networks," IEEE, New York, 2011.

# Appendix A

### A. CIGRE network Data

Line	Node	Node	R'ph	X'ph	R'o	X'o	1
Segment	from	to	$[\Omega/km]$	$[\Omega/km]$	$[\Omega/km]$	$[\Omega/km]$	[m]
1	R1	R2	0.163	0.136	0.490	0.471	35
2	R2	R3	0.163	0.136	0.490	0.471	35
3	R3	R4	0.163	0.136	0.490	0.471	35
4	R4	R5	0.163	0.136	0.490	0.471	35
5	R5	R6	0.163	0.136	0.490	0.471	35
6	R6	R7	0.163	0.136	0.490	0.471	35
7	R7	R8	0.163	0.136	0.490	0.471	35
8	R8	R9	0.163	0.136	0.490	0.471	35
9	R9	R10	0.163	0.136	0.490	0.471	35
10	R3	R11	1.541	0.206	2.334	1.454	30
11	R4	R12	0.266	0.151	0.733	0.570	35
12	R12	R13	0.266	0.151	0.733	0.570	35
13	R13	R14	0.266	0.151	0.733	0.570	35
14	R14	R15	0.326	0.158	0.860	0.630	30
15	R6	R16	0.569	0.174	1.285	0.865	30
16	R9	R17	1.541	0.206	2.334	1.454	30
17	R10	R18	1.111	0.195	1.926	1.265	30

## Table A.1: Data for the CIGRE LV network

## Table A.2: Loads of European LV distribution network benchmark

Node	Maximum Demand of Each	nand of Each Contribution of group to max	
	Consumer Group S <sub>max</sub>	feeder demand $S_C$	Factor
	[kVA]	[kVA]	
R11	15	5.7	0.85
R15	72	57	0.85
R16	55	25	0.85
R17	15	5.7	0.85
R18	47	25	0.85
I2	70	70	0.85
C12	20	11	0.85
C13	8	4.4	0.85
C14	25	13.8	0.85
C17	16	8.8	0.85
C18	8	4.4	0.85
C19	25	13.8	0.85
C20	20	11	0.85

# Appendix B

# B. Data for a typical MV distribution network in SWEDEN

From	То	Length	Cable type	$R(\Omega)$	$X(\Omega)$
		(m)	51		
Substation	А	1175	AXCE3*1*240/35	0.15274	0.12919
A	В	638	AXCE3*1*150/25	0.13406	0.07421
В	С	403	AXKJ3*185/50	0.06445	0.03923
С	D	200	AXKJ3*185/50	0.03197	0.01946
D	Е	354	AXKJ3*185/50	0.05664	0.03448
Е	F	890	AXKJ3*185/50	0.1424	0.08668
F	>	467	AXKJ3*185/50	0.07466	0.04544
>	G	6	AXLJ	0.00075	0.00032
			TT3*1*240/35		
G	>	7	AXLJ	0.00086	0.00037
			TT3*1*240/35		
>	>	300	AXCE3*1*150/25	0.06296	0.03485
>	>	142	AXKJ3*185/50	0.02272	0.01383
>	>	403	Axclight3*240/25	0.05043	0.03802
>	Н	6	AXLJ-TT	0.00073	0.00031
			3*1*240/25		
Н	>	9	AXLJ-TT	0.0011	0.00047
			3*1*240/25		
>	>	361	AXLJ3*240/35	0.04694	0.02836
>	>	503	AXLJ3*240/35	0.06536	0.03949
>	>	209	AXLJ3*240/35	0.0272	0.01643
>	Ι	6	AXLJ-TT	0.00078	0.00033
			3*1*240/25		
I	>	6	AXLJ-TT	0.00078	0.00033
			3*1*240/25		0.05.005
>	>	714	AXLJ3*240/35	0.09277	0.05605
>	J	467	AXLJ3*240/35	0.06071	0.03668
J	>	498	Axclight-O	0.06226	0.04694
			113*240/25	0.00410	0.0005
>	>	32	AXCE3*1*240/35	0.00413	0.0035
>	>	63	AXLJ3*240/35	0.00813	0.00491
>	K	9	AXLJ-TT	0.00115	0.00049
		6	3*1*240/25	0.000==	0.00046
K	>	6	AXKJ3*1*240/35	0.00075	0.00046
>	>	647	AXLJ3*240/35	0.0841	0.05081
>	Ĺ	98	AXLJ3*240/35	0.01268	0.00766
L	>	94	AXLJ3*240/35	0.01222	0.00738

Table B.1: Line parameters of a typical medium voltage network

>	>	585	AXLJ3*240/35	0.07608	0.04596
>	М	5	AXLJ-TT	0.0006	0.00026
			3*1*240/25		
М	>	4	AXLJ-TT	0.00055	0.00023
			3*1*240/25		
>	>	503	AXLJ3*240/35	0.06544	0.03594
>	>	472	AXLJ3*240/35	0.0613	0.03703
>	N	258	AXCEL3*240/35	0.03348	0.02427
N	>	280	AXCEL3*240/35	0.03635	0.02635
>	0	407	Axclight-O	0.05086	0.03835
			LT3*240/25		
0	>	410	Axclight-O	0.05121	0.03861
			LT3*240/25		
>	Р	664	AXCEL3*240/35	0.08628	0.06255
<u>P</u>	>	25	AXCE3*1*50/16	0.01613	0.00348
>	Q	137	AXCE3*1*50/16	0.08742	0.01888
Q	>	19	AXCE3*1*50/16	0.01203	0.0026
>	>	56	AXCE3*1*50/16	0.03603	0.00778
>	>	436	AXLJ3*240/35	0.05672	0.03427
>	>	499	AXLJ3*240/35	0.06483	0.03917
>	R	218	AXLJ3*240/35	0.02839	0.01715
R	>	765	AXLJ3*240/35	0.0994	0.06005
>	>	570	AXCE3*1*240/35	0.07404	0.06262
>	S	12	AXCE3*1*240/35	0.00151	0.00128
Р	Т	1217	AXCE3*95/25	0.38954	0.13385
0	U	544	Axclight-O	0.3487	0.06836
			LT3*50/16		
K	>	8	AXCE3*1*50/16	0.00499	0.00108
>	>	61	AXLJ3*240/35	0.00792	0.00478
>	>	29	AXLJ-TT	0.00361	0.00154
			3*1*240/25		
>	>	466	AXKJ3*95/25	0.14912	0.0571
>	>	7	Axclight-O	0.00089	0.00067
	**	-	113*240/25	0.00040	0.00=1=
>	V	76	Axclight-O	0.00949	0.00715
			113*240/25	0.000	0.00004
K	>	6	AXCE3*1*50/16	0.0039	0.00084
>	>	5/7	AXLJ3*50/16	0.24122	0.03907
>	W	567	AXLJ3*50/16	0.36314	0.05882
Н	>	5	AXLJ-TT 0.00058 0		0.00025
		722	<u>3*1*240/25</u>	0.00150	0.0005
>	>	733	Axclight3*240/25	0.09158	0.06905
>	>	567	AXLJ3*50/16	0.07093	0.05348

>	Х	6	AXLJ	0.00073	0.00031
			TT3*1*240/35		
Х	>	5	AXCE3*1*50/16	0.00314	0.00068
>	>	60	Axclight3*50/16	0.03846	0.00754
>	>	505	Axclight3*50/16	0.32358	0.06344
>	Y	1038	Axclight3*240/25	0.1298	0.09787
E	>	254	AXCEL3*240/35	0.03298	0.02391
>	>	3	AXLJ	0.00041	0.00018
			TT3*1*240/35		
>	>	3	AXLJ	0.00041	0.00018
			TT3*1*240/35		
>	Z	149	ACEL3*240/35	0.01931	0.014
Z	AA	214	AXKJ3*185/50	0.03429	0.02087
A	AB	358	AXCE3*1*150/25	0.07524	0.04165
AB	AC	457	AXCE3*1*150/25	0.09589	0.05307
AC	AD	334	Axclight3*240/25	0.04169	0.03143

# Appendix C

	Fau	lt Analysis wi	th 30% PV penet	ration		
Fault location: B						
Bus/Location	Normal Cur	rent (kA)	Fault Curre	nt (kA)	% Increase with	
	Without PV	With PV	Without PV	With PV	- PV	
Grid	0.209	0.145	34.45	28.88	-16.2%	
PV	0	0.065	0	12.9	N/A	
А	0.157	0.09	34.43	28.85	-16.2%	
В	0.154	0.156	34.43	41.71	21.1%	
С	0.128	0.129	0.029	0.028	-3.4%	
D	0.101	0.102	0.021	0.021	0.0%	
Е	0.076	0.077	0.014	0.013	-7.1%	
F	0.027	0.028	0.005	0.004	-20.0%	
G	0.027	0.028	0.005	0.004	-20.0%	
Н	0.029	0.028	0.005	0.004	-20.0%	
Х	0.013	0.014	0.002	0.002	0.0%	
Z	0.027	0.027	0.004	0.004	0.0%	
AA	0.027	0.027	0.004	0.004	0.0%	
AC	0.055	0.055	0.027	0.029	7.4%	

# Table C.1: Typical MV network fault analysis at B

	Fau	lt Analysis wi	ith 30% PV penet	ration		
		Fault	location: C			
Bus/Location	Normal Cur	rent (kA)	Fault Curre	ent (kA)	% Increase with	
	Without PV	With PV	Without PV	With PV	- PV	
Grid	0.209	0.145	28.07	22.9	-18.4%	
PV	0	0.065	0	10.23	N/A	
А	0.157	0.09	28.04	22.86	-18.5%	
В	0.154	0.156	28.04	33.047	17.9%	
С	0.128	0.129	28.04	33.04	17.8%	
D	0.101	0.102	0.022	0.022	0.0%	
Е	0.076	0.077	0.014	0.013	-7.1%	
F	0.027	0.028	0.005	0.004	-20.0%	
G	0.027	0.028	0.005	0.004	-20.0%	
Н	0.029	0.028	0.005	0.005	0.0%	
Х	0.013	0.014	0.002	0.002	0.0%	
Z	0.027	0.027	0.004	0.004	0.0%	
AA	0.027	0.027	0.004	0.004	0.0%	
AC	0.055	0.055	0.031	0.034	9.7%	

# Table C.2: Typical MV fault analysis C

	Fau	lt Analysis wi	ith 30% PV penet	ration	
		Fault	location: D		
Bus/Location	Normal Current (kA)		Fault Current (kA)		% Increase with
	Without PV	With PV	Without PV	With PV	PV
Grid	0.209	0.145	25.71	22.65	-11.9%
PV	0	0.065	0	10.12	N/A
A	0.157	0.09	25.67	22.61	-11.9%
В	0.154	0.156	25.67	32.688	27.3%
С	0.128	0.129	25.67	32.681	27.3%
D	0.101	0.102	25.67	32.679	27.3%
Е	0.076	0.077	0.014	0.014	0.0%
F	0.027	0.028	0.005	0.005	0.0%
G	0.027	0.028	0.005	0.005	0.0%
Н	0.029	0.028	0.005	0.005	0.0%
Х	0.013	0.014	0.002	0.002	0.0%
Z	0.027	0.027	0.004	0.004	0.0%
AA	0.027	0.027	0.004	0.004	0.0%
AC	0.055	0.055	0.032	0.033	3.1%

# Table C.3: Typical MV fault analysis D

	Fau	lt Analysis wi	ith 30% PV penet	ration	
		Fault	location: E		
Bus/Location	Normal Current (kA)		Fault Current (kA)		% Increase with
	Without PV	With PV	Without PV	With PV	PV
Grid	0.209	0.145	22.5	19.169	-14.8%
PV	0	0.065	0	8.562	N/A
А	0.157	0.09	22.47	19.13	-14.9%
В	0.154	0.156	22.47	27.659	23.1%
С	0.128	0.129	22.46	27.649	23.1%
D	0.101	0.102	22.45	27.644	23.1%
Е	0.076	0.077	22.45	27.638	23.1%
F	0.027	0.028	0.005	0.005	0.0%
G	0.027	0.028	0.005	0.005	0.0%
Н	0.029	0.028	0.005	0.005	0.0%
Х	0.013	0.014	0.002	0.002	0.0%
Z	0.027	0.027	0.005	0.005	0.0%
AA	0.027	0.027	0.004	0.004	0.0%
AC	0.055	0.055	0.036	0.039	8.3%

# Table C.4: Typical MV fault analysis E

	Fau	lt Analysis wi	ith 30% PV penetr	ration	
		Fault	location: F		
Bus/Location	Normal Current (kA)		Fault Current (kA)		% Increase with
	Without PV	With PV	Without PV	With PV	PV
Grid	0.209	0.145	18.33	13.981	-23.7%
PV	0	0.065	0	6.245	N/A
А	0.157	0.09	18.29	13.938	-23.8%
В	0.154	0.156	18.29	20.16	10.2%
С	0.128	0.129	18.28	20.145	10.2%
D	0.101	0.102	18.27	20.134	10.2%
Е	0.076	0.077	18.26	20.123	10.2%
F	0.027	0.028	18.24	20.109	10.2%
G	0.027	0.028	0.005	0.005	0.0%
Н	0.029	0.028	0.005	0.005	0.0%
Х	0.013	0.014	0.002	0.002	0.0%
Z	0.027	0.027	0.008	0.008	0.0%
AA	0.027	0.027	0.007	0.008	14.3%
AC	0.055	0.055	0.039	0.043	10.3%

# Table C.5: Typical MV analysis F

	Fau	lt Analysis wi	ith 30% PV penet	ration	
		Fault	location: H		
Bus/Location	Normal Current (kA)		Fault Current (kA)		% Increase with
	Without PV	With PV	Without PV	With PV	PV
Grid	0.209	0.145	10.577	6.721	-36.5%
PV	0	0.065	0	5.061	N/A
А	0.157	0.09	10.258	6.773	-34.0%
В	0.154	0.156	10.604	11.389	7.4%
С	0.128	0.129	10.594	11.379	7.4%
D	0.101	0.102	10.585	11.37	7.4%
Е	0.076	0.077	10.526	10.723	1.9%
F	0.027	0.028	9.778	10.504	7.4%
G	0.027	0.028	9.778	10.504	7.4%
Н	0.029	0.028	9.766	10.504	7.6%
Х	0.013	0.014	0.003	0.002	-33.3%
Z	0.027	0.027	0.02	0.021	5.0%
AA	0.027	0.027	0.021	0.02	-4.8%
AC	0.055	0.055	0.0497	0.05	0.6%

# Table C.6: Typical fault analysis H