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ABSTRACT

We have analysed 17 years of GPS data from 123 sites in Europe and obtained time series of the amount of Integrated Water Vapour (IWV) in the atmosphere. In total 69 sites have data from a period longer than 10 years and 35 sites have data from more than 15 years. Two different data analyses have been carried out to study the impact of the use of different models. We find that the impact is small, but the IWV results from different data analyses shall not be mixed when studying the long term stability of the IWV, e.g. when estimating trends. Using the IWV results from the analysis using the more recent models we estimate linear trends as well as the amplitude and the phase of the diurnal signal in the IWV at the different sites. The estimated trends are sensitive to different periods analysed and are comparable to the corresponding uncertainties. The estimated amplitude of the diurnal cycle during the summer varies from 0.16 kg/m\textsuperscript{2} to 1.46 kg/m\textsuperscript{2} while the peak time of the hourly IWV mean is around 18 local solar time for the most of sites.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Due to the importance of water vapour to the Earth’s climate system [1], it is of great interest to monitor the long-term change in the Integrated Water Vapour (IWV) in the atmosphere.

It is well known that the IWV can be derived from observations acquired from Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS), e.g., the Global Positioning System (GPS). The advantages of using GNSS are that the observations acquired from the ground can be made during all weather conditions, with a high temporal resolution (a few minutes), and with a high reliability.

The homogeneity of the time series of the GNSS-derived IWV is affected by data processing-related changes, i.e. inconsistencies due to updates of the reference frame and applied models, implementation of a different elevation cutoff angle, different mapping functions, and other differences in the processing strategies. Steigenberger et al. [2] found that such changes can cause inconsistencies of several millimetres in the GNSS-derived tropospheric delay time series. In order to significantly reduce these processing-related inconsistencies, a homogenous reprocessing of the whole GNSS data time series is necessary.

2. DATA ANALYSIS

A previously studied data set of 14 years of GPS measurements [3] has been extended by three years and covers the time period from 1 January 1997 to 31 December 2013. In total, 69 sites have time series longer than 10 years. These sites are located between latitudes 39 °N and 71 °N, and between longitudes –22 °E and +31 °E. In addition to this extended IWV time series the entire data set has been reprocessed. Table 1 lists the details about the two processings. Both were performed using GIPSY with the precise point positioning (PPP) strategy. Differences in the new processing compared to the earlier one are that up-to-date models are applied. The Niell mapping function (NMF) [4] was replaced by the Vienna 1 mapping function (VMF) [5], corrections for higher-order ionospheric effects was introduced [6], and ambiguities were resolved. The sites with a data coverage of more than ten years were used in the study (see

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Model & Old analysis & New analysis \\
\hline
GPS software & GIPSY v5.0 & GIPSY v6.2 \\
Mapping funct. & NMF & VMF \\
Elev. cutoff & 10° & 10° \\
Antenna PCV & ig05_1604.atx & igso8_1740.atx \\
Ambiguity res. & No & Yes \\
Ionosphere & Standard & 2nd order (IGRF) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Models used in the GPS data analyses.}
\end{table}
3. RESULTS

The mean value of the IWV, obtained from the new reprocessed data, varies over Europe which is illustrated in Figure 2. It is evident that the sites located at low latitudes have higher IWV than the ones located at high latitudes.

3.1. Comparison between the two data analyses

The difference in the IWV caused by the adoption of each of updates was investigated for two sites, Onsala and Matera, using the all 17 years data. The first line in Table 2 shows the mean IWV and the trend for the old processing. Thereafter the NMF was replaced by the VMF (2nd line), the second order ionospheric model was introduced (3rd line), and finally the ambiguity resolution was added (4th line). Both stations show similar results when comparing the IWV between the old and the new processing. Typical IWV mean differences are around 0.1 kg/m². The differences between the two processings are less than 0.04 kg/(m²·decade) in the resulted trend.

Finally we compared the amplitudes of the diurnal cycle, using data only from the summer seasons (June, July, and August), in the IWV from two data analyses (not shown). A maximum difference of 0.05 kg/m² is seen in the amplitude of the diurnal cycle while the difference in the phase is within ±2 h. In the following, all results are obtained only from the new processing.

3.2. Linear trends in the IWV

Estimated linear trends in the IWV over the time period 1997–2013 are in the range from −0.8 kg/(m²·decade) to +0.6 kg/(m²·decade), see Figure 3. These trends are presented for the 35 sites having more than 15 years of data. The uncertainties are rescaled in order to be consistent with the misfit of the model as described in [8] and are in the range 0.2–0.5 kg/(m²·decade). We note that they are comparable to the values of the trend.

In order to investigate the sensitivity of the trends to the selected time period, the trends are also estimated for each season. The trend values with their uncertainties are presented in Table 3 and in Figure 4. Large differences are noted between the seasons. The largest trends are seen during the summer seasons.

3.3. Diurnal components in the IWV

The diurnal cycle, driven by the radiation from the sun, is an expected and well known signal in the IWV. Given its high temporal resolution, GPS-derived IWV is an efficient tool to evaluate the ability of Numerical Weather Prediction Models (NWP) to simulate the diurnal cycle [9].

We investigated the diurnal signal calculating the mean IWV for each hour (local solar time). As seen in Figure 5 the variation is close to a sine function, although significant deviations are seen for the four example sites.

In order to study the stability of the diurnal signal over the years we calculated the amplitude and the phase averaged over periods of 1, 3, and 5 years. The result is shown for the same four example sites in Figure 6 by fitting a sine function to the data. It is clear that both the amplitude and the phase averaged over only 1 year are highly variable and the results starts to be stable when the averaging time is longer.

Figure 7 summarise the results of the amplitude of the diurnal signal for all 69 sites with data covering at least 10 years. The estimated amplitude is varying from 0.16 kg/m² to 1.46 kg/m². In general an increase of the amplitude is seen when the latitude decrease.
Figure 3. Linear trends estimated for the IWV for the period 1997–2013 (a) and their respective uncertainties (b). The IWV value is colour coded in the unit kg/m²·decade).

Figure 4. Linear trends estimated for the IWV for the different seasons for period 1997–2013, spring (MAM) (a), summer (JJA) (b), autumn (SON) (c) and winter (DJF) (d). The IWV value is colour coded in the unit kg/m²·decade).
Figure 5. The diurnal amplitude in the IWV presented as hourly means.

Figure 6. The amplitude and the phase of the diurnal cycle estimated for the summer months (JJA) and averaged over 1, 3, and 5 years for the same four example sites as in Figure 5.
Table 2. Impact on the IWV at Onsala and Matera due to model changes in the analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Onsala Mean</th>
<th>Onsala Trend</th>
<th>Matera Mean</th>
<th>Matera Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kg/m$^2$</td>
<td>kg/(m$^2$·decade)</td>
<td>kg/m$^2$</td>
<td>kg/(m$^2$·decade)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMF</td>
<td>14.01</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>15.71</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMF</td>
<td>14.10</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>15.65</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMF + ION2</td>
<td>14.10</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>15.63</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMF + ION2 + AMB</td>
<td>14.09</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>15.62</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Estimated amplitudes of the diurnal cycle in the IWV at the 69 sites with more than 10 years of data.

Figure 8. The peak of the hourly mean of the IWV in local solar time (same sites and time periods as in Figure 7).

Figure 8 depicts the peak time of hourly IWV mean. A trend is seen when we study the Scandinavian peninsula. The IWV peaks in the afternoon in the west and in the early night along the east coast.

4. CONCLUSION

We have compared the results obtained from two different data analyses in order to study the impact of the use of different models. We find that the impact is small, but the IWV results from different data analyses shall not be mixed when studying the long term stability of the IWV, e.g. when estimating trends.

Thereafter, we estimated linear trends and the diurnal cycle of the IWV from the analysis using the more recent models. The linear trends, estimated from the 35 sites having more than 15 years of data, show comparable values to their corresponding uncertainties which are rescaled in order to be consistent with the misfit of the model. In addition, clear differences are seen for the trends estimated for four difference seasons.

The estimated amplitude of the diurnal cycle is varying from 0.16 kg/m$^2$ to 1.46 kg/m$^2$ for the summer months (June, July, and August). The peak time of the hourly IWV mean is around 18 local solar time for most of the sites and it shows a systematic variation from west to east of the Scandinavian peninsula.
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Table 3. Linear trends in the IWV for sites with more than 15 years of data for the different seasons and over all. The sites are sorted by increasing latitude.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Latitude °N</th>
<th>Longitude °E</th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Autumn</th>
<th>All data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>kg/(m² decade)</td>
<td>kg/(m² decade)</td>
<td>kg/(m² decade)</td>
<td>kg/(m² decade)</td>
<td>kg/(m² decade)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRUS</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.34</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.33</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.43</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOSG</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>−0.54 ± 0.44</td>
<td>−0.82 ± 0.55</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.49</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.48</td>
<td>−0.11 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOR1</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.30</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.38</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.37</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONSA</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>−0.44 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.31</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS0</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>−0.46 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.33</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JON0</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>−0.49 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOR0</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>−0.87 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.34</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.44</td>
<td>−0.10 ± 0.46</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAN0</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>−0.63 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.30</td>
<td>−0.02 ± 0.33</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOV0</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>−0.59 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.33</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAR0</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>−0.57 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.31</td>
<td>−0.05 ± 0.34</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METS</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>−0.71 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.43</td>
<td>−0.43 ± 0.44</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.42</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR6</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>−0.45 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEO0</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>−0.53 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.27</td>
<td>−0.38 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVE0</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>−0.44 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.28</td>
<td>−0.22 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUN0</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>−0.39 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.32</td>
<td>−0.12 ± 0.33</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOEN</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>−0.67 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.34</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.54</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.38</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAAS</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>−0.53 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.45</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.43</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OST0</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>−0.22 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.29</td>
<td>−0.12 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UME0</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>−0.26 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.34</td>
<td>−0.18 ± 0.34</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REYK</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>338.0</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.28</td>
<td>−0.13 ± 0.30</td>
<td>−0.67 ± 0.31</td>
<td>−0.81 ± 0.32</td>
<td>−0.30 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOFN</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>344.8</td>
<td>−0.06 ± 0.29</td>
<td>−0.80 ± 0.34</td>
<td>−1.20 ± 0.43</td>
<td>−0.94 ± 0.42</td>
<td>−0.78 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILO</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>−0.23 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKE0</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>−0.24 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.23</td>
<td>−0.14 ± 0.33</td>
<td>−0.17 ± 0.35</td>
<td>−0.04 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARJ0</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>−0.02 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.18</td>
<td>−0.03 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVE0</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIR0</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.19</td>
<td>−0.30 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


