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Abstract

Wind energy, the world’s fastest growing renewable energy technology, is developing towards a major utility source. Turbines are growing in size and are located in more remote sites, sometimes even offshore, to benefit from better wind conditions. These developments help to maximize the output per turbine but come with challenges for operation and maintenance (O&M). Unexpected failures result in longer downtimes and consequently higher revenue losses. Hence, maintenance management promises considerable cost saving potential and the analysis of data form the turbine inbuilt supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) system can effectively support maintenance decisions.

This thesis aims to investigate possibilities to utilize SCADA data for early failure detection in critical wind turbines (WTs). Therefore, a condition monitoring approach is further developed and applied. The method uses artificial neural networks to model target parameters under normal operating conditions and analyzes deviations from the measured values with the help of statistical tools, such as the Mahalanobis distance (MHD) measure. In order to increase the robustness and accuracy of the approach, the development of several data pre-processing methods is presented. Two different anomaly detection philosophies are investigated by building two different models. A gearbox model which is monitoring local variables to indicate component malfunctions and a power model which is predicting the turbine’s power output to indicate problems form a system’s perspective.

Based on the available data both monitoring approaches were applied to investigate gearbox failures for indirect drive WTs and generator bearing failures for direct drive WTs. Furthermore, the power model was found to be an effective method for ice detection on WT blades. The successful detection of gearbox anomalies long before a final component breakdown is presented. However, the model was not able to detect all gear-related problems investigated. It was concluded that the availability of parameters which are potentially affected by component malfunctions play a decisive role in this approach. The power model application showed that a different anomaly detection approach might be better suited for the investigated cases. However, this approach is well suited for the detection of icing and recommendations for further studies are derived.

Keywords: Artificial neural networks (ANN), condition monitoring, supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA), failure detection, wind power, gearbox monitoring, turbine monitoring, icing detection
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Preface

The Swedish Wind Power Technology Centre (SWPTC) is a research centre for design of wind turbines. The purpose of the Centre is to support Swedish industry with knowledge of design techniques as well as maintenance in the field of wind power. The research in the Centre is carried out in six theme groups that represent design and operation of wind turbines; Power and Control Systems, Turbine and Wind loads, Mechanical Power Transmission and System Optimisation, Structure and Foundation, Maintenance and Reliability as well as Cold Climate.

This Master’s Thesis was performed within the main project in Theme group 5.

SWPTC’s work is funded by the Swedish Energy Agency, by three academic and thirteen industrial partners. The Region Västra Götaland also contributes to the Centre through several collaboration projects.
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## Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANN</td>
<td>Artificial Neural Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBM</td>
<td>Condition Based Maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDF</td>
<td>Cumulative Distribution Function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Condition Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COE</td>
<td>Cost of Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBF</td>
<td>General Boundary Filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMA</td>
<td>Levenberg-Marquard Algorithm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAE</td>
<td>Mean Average Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHD</td>
<td>Mahalanobis Distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>Mean Square Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;M</td>
<td>Operation and Maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDF</td>
<td>Probability Density Function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>Root Mean Square Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Study Case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCADA</td>
<td>Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>Wind Turbine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Wind energy is currently the fastest growing renewable generation technology and is an important pillar for the transition to more sustainable energy systems in many countries. The global generation capacity reached 370 GW in 2014 which allows a supply of nearly 5% of the world’s electricity demand [1]. In Europe wind is the leading technology in terms of new power capacity installations, far ahead of conventional. Today approximately 10% of the European electricity consumption is generated by wind power and this share is expected to further grow in the coming years [2]. In other words, wind power is developing towards a major utility source.

With this massive penetration wind energy has to compete with various generation technologies and cost of energy (COE) has become an important issue. Therefore, different developments to cut down generation cost can be observed in recent years. Turbine size is increasing steadily to maximize each turbine’s output. In addition, the turbines are erected at sites with best possible wind conditions which are more and more often found in remote locations, onshore or even offshore. These trends come with new challenges in O&M. Due to difficult logistics unexpected failures can be costly to repair and lead to long turbine downtimes, entailing production losses, which can have a significant impact on the economics of a project [3].

Hence, maintenance management promises considerable cost saving potential and has received increasing attention in recent years. Efforts have focused on early failure detection in critical components of the WT; see for example [4, 5, and 6]. Condition monitoring (CM) concepts provide valuable information and can contribute significantly to increasing turbine reliability. Hence, a smart integration of CM information in the O&M strategy, resulting in so called condition based maintenance (CBM), can help to minimize O&M costs. Among the different CM approaches analysis of SCADA data with appropriate algorithms has shown promising results [4, 7].

The intention of this thesis is to contribute to early failure detection by analyzing data from the turbine’s SCADA system. Therefore, the approach presented in [4] will be further developed and applied to critical WT components.
1.2 Task Description

Wind industry has seen rapid growth in recent years with countries striving to have more sustainable energy sources in the electric power system. One of the obstacles for the growth of wind industry is high maintenance cost and long downtimes for WTs, especially for offshore wind farms [8]. Hence, focus on early detection of failure of critical components in the WT and condition based maintenance has increased in recent times. Traditional condition monitoring using vibration signals has proven to be a useful tool for monitoring the health of components. Furthermore, use of information rich Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) data has received increased attention in recent years. This thesis aims to contribute to early failure detection by analyzing data from the turbine’s SCADA system.

Within the framework for a wind power maintenance management tool, a methodology based on artificial neural networks for anomaly detection in gearboxes was presented in [4]. The gearbox is a critical component of the WT in terms of reliability and the approach has to be further developed and applied to new turbine data in study cases. Moreover, the project will analyze the potentials of monitoring the overall turbine performance to detect degradation in one of the subcomponents. In particular, the detection of generator bearing failures in direct drive turbines is investigated.

1.3 WT Data and Project Partner

This master’s thesis project was carried out in cooperation with Stena Renewable as an industrial partner. Stena Renewables operates multiple wind farms in Sweden and provided data extracted from their SCADA systems. Moreover, Stena Renewable contributed to the project through their expertise in wind farm O&M. The outcome of the project relies both on the correct application of appropriate methods as well as the quality of the input data. Thus the most promising data sets were carefully selected. With the analysis of the provided data, we hope to be able to contribute to the understanding of the recorded problems, as well as an early detection of future failures.

In addition, SCADA data was provided from a WT manufacturer for different failure cases. Unfortunately not much additional information regarding the turbine’s condition and maintenance activities was available for these data sets. However, the data has been investigated and conclusions were drawn when possible.
2 Theoretical Background

This chapter provides the theoretical background knowledge which is required to understand and critically discuss the analysis conducted within this master’s thesis. Therefore, the first chapter gives an introduction into WTs and the relevant components followed by the chapters focusing on reliability and maintenance in WT. Furthermore, the concept of neural networks, the statistical tools used within this thesis and the approach for anomaly detection in WT are presented. References are given, when a more detailed explanation would exceed the scope of the chapter.

2.1 Wind Turbines and SCADA

WTs have long been used to utilize the kinetic energy of the wind. Nowadays mainly three bladed horizontal axis WT are used for power generation. The turbines consist of typical sub components, which are briefly described below (based on [9]):

- **Rotor**: consists of usually three blades flanged to the hub, which is mounted on the front end of the rotor shaft outside the nacelle. The rotor converts the kinetic energy of the wind into mechanical energy and transmits the rotation to the shaft.

- **Mechanical Drive Train**: describes all rotating mechanical components in between the rotor hub and the generator. Its design can vary significantly depending on the turbines drive concept. Direct drive turbines are able to operate without the most complex drive train component, the gearbox, but come with special requirements for the generator. The drive philosophy also influences the shaft bearing concept.

- **Electrical System**: Covers all components for the conversion of the mechanical into electrical energy with the generator as the main component. Conventional synchronous and asynchronous generators can be found in WT depending on the grid connection concept. A common configuration is a synchronous generator in combination with a converter, which decouples the generator and from the grid.

- **Nacelle**: protects the whole drive train and the electrical system against environmental impacts. Can be turned by the yaw system so that the rotor is always facing the main wind direction. Furthermore, the nacelle contains various auxiliary systems such as brakes, cooling system or measuring equipment to ensure a safe operation.
- **Tower:** The whole previously described configuration is mounted on top of a tower to benefit from higher wind speeds above ground.

Figure 2-1 shows the typical arrangement of the described components.

![Diagram of a typical wind turbine](image)

*Figure 2-1: Cut-away view of a typical wind turbine (adopted from [9])*

### 2.1.1 The SCADA system

Contrary to conventional power plants, WT’s are unmanned and often situated in remote locations. Nevertheless, a wind power plant also needs to be controlled and monitored. Therefore, the turbines are equipped with monitoring and data evaluation systems, so called Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) systems. On one hand SCADA enables to remote control the power plant. Turbines can be switched on or off, power output can be curtailed and the power factor adjusted if necessary. On the other hand the SCADA system collects measurements of various sensors placed all over the WT. Technical parameters, such as bearing and lubrication oil temperatures, electric quantities and power output are measured as well as environmental parameters like wind speed, wind direction or ambient and nacelle temperature. In fact, each WT manufacturer has an individual concept of how to set up the SCADA system of their turbines. Figure 2-2 gives an overview over the basic measurements typically collected.
Figure 2-2: Measurements available in a typical SCADA system [4]

Although highly individual, all of them have in common that large quantities of data are extracted and stored in databases. Modern turbines store hundreds of data points every ten minutes, which leads to a tremendous amount of data over the years. A complete yearly SCADA data set of one of the turbines analyzed in this thesis, for example, contained more than half a million single measurements. Extracting them from the database for analysis can be time-consuming work, depending on the user-friendliness of the interface and the available hardware.

The collected measurements give an insight into the turbine’s instantaneous operating conditions and thus enable remote turbine monitoring. The SCADA system is, for instance, able to automatically generate alarms and warnings, if a parameter exceeds a pre-selected threshold value. However, the information about turbine condition which is hidden in SCADA data is not fully utilized by turbine operators nowadays. This is partially due to the fact that the system indicates impending failures too late and generates a vast number of alarms and warnings giving operators a hard time to distinguish between serious and negligible error messages [4]. Nevertheless, information from SCADA data can be extracted using more advanced mathematical and statistical methods.

2.1.2 Gearbox

A gearbox is typically used to increase the rotational speed of a WT’s rotor in order to utilize it for a higher speed electrical generator. Modern gearboxes can perform gear ratios of more than 1:100 and lose only a few percent of the transmitted power [9]. There are two main forms of toothed-wheel gearboxes: parallel-shaft systems and the technically more advanced planetary gearing. WT systems generally require multiple stage gear systems and combined planetary-parallel-system can be found (compare Figure 2-3). The integrated planetary solution shows clear advantages in size, mass and relative cost.
and is thus superior in large WTs. Nevertheless, cheap parallel-shaft solutions, which are widely available from different manufacturers, are often preferred in small turbines [9].

Figure 2-3: Schematic structure of a three stage planetary gearbox typically used in WTs [4]

Like in other gearbox applications, WT gearboxes contain a gear oil system to ensure lubrication and steady temperatures of gears and bearings. Therefore, the multiple circuit system is equipped with heat exchangers for cooling at high temperatures and heating at low temperatures. It is controlled based on the gear oil temperature, which is usually measured in the oil sump and recorded by the SCADA-system. Furthermore, oil purity is an important factor for the service life of a gearbox and automated oil filtering is implemented in most gearboxes. Nevertheless, the gear oil is usually subject of regular inspections and has to be replaced during the lifetime of a gearbox [9].

Despite experience of almost two decades of WT technology, gearboxes are still a major source for turbine failures (compare 2.2.1). Due to difficult dynamic operating conditions and the high number of operating hours throughout a turbine’s lifetime gearbox dimensioning is a challenging task. Especially gearbox bearings, the gearwheels and the lubrication system are subjects of concern [8]. Unforeseen repairs or replacements of bearings, which sometimes necessitate the disassembly of the entire turbine, can be very expensive. Therefore, vibrations, temperatures and oil quality of roller bearings are normally subjected to online condition monitoring in modern turbines (compare 2.2.3) [9]. Moreover, the SCADA-system usually records gearbox bearing temperatures depending on the manufacturer’s practice, the turbine generation and the requirements specified by the operator.
2.2 Reliability and Maintenance in Wind Turbines

As shown in the previous sections, WTs contain conventional components and subassemblies of mechanical-electrical energy conversion, such as a shafts, bearings, gearboxes and generators. Like other technical systems, they have to undergo regular service to guarantee their correct operation. Nevertheless, maintenance is particularly important for a wind power plant, because WTs have to stand harsh environmental conditions where component failures can have a decisive impact on a project’s economic success. The following sections will provide information about the reliability of modern turbines and highlight the current state-of-art in WT O&M.

2.2.1 Wind Turbine Reliability

Once a WT is commissioned it has to operate properly for a design lifetime of at least 20 years. Unlike other technical systems the turbines operate for several thousand hours each year while being exposed to a wide range of wind speeds and temperatures, including extreme weather situations such as storms, lightning strikes and hail [9]. In fact, the site location has a significant impact on turbine reliability through the prevailing climate [10]. These rough environmental conditions result in heavy dynamic loads, making WT components prone to fatigue failures. In consequence, reliable turbine design and operation is a challenging task [9].

On a system level, reliability is often characterized by turbine availability $A$ which is calculated by dividing the mean time to failure $MTTF$ through the sum out of $MTTF$ and the mean down time $MDT$ (compare equation 2-1)

$$A = \frac{MTTF}{MTTF+MDT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-1)

Despite the rough operating conditions average availability of today’s onshore turbines is usually above 95 % [11]. However, this high availability can only be guaranteed by a costly maintenance organization [12].

When analyzing turbine reliability in greater detail, it has been observed that some components of a WT fail more frequently than others, indicating that they are particularly sensitive. The frequency of a specific failure’s occurrence is typically reported as its average failure rate $f_{afr}$ as failure per turbine and year. Therefore, the absolute number of failures $n_i$ which occurred in a specific component is summed up over a certain period and then divided by the observation time $T$ in turbine years (compare equation 2-2) [13].

$$f_{afr} = \frac{\sum n_i}{\sum T}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-2)
However, reliability of a turbine cannot be judged by looking at the failure frequency only, because the measure does not indicate the severity of a failure. Therefore, the average downtime $t_{adt}$ per failure caused by a specific component is calculated by summing up the individual downtimes $d_i$ and dividing them by the total number of observed failures $n_i$ (compare equation 2-3) [13]. The result is a measure for the average severity and production loss related to a certain component’s failure.

$$t_{adt} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-3)

Both measures, the average failure frequency of a component and the average downtime of such a failure, are combined to calculate the average annual downtime caused by the turbine component, which indicates the severity of a failure and corresponds to the lost revenue due to a malfunction. This number is suggested as an indirect indicator for the economic damage of a failure, in case no financial information is available [5].

In this thesis, data presented in [14] containing data for more than 620 turbines between 1997 and 2005 as well as data from a database containing 28 additional WT's with more actual data was used for the analysis of turbine reliability. Together, the data represents almost 3200 years of turbine operation. All of the turbines are located in Sweden and their size ranges from several hundred kW up to multiple MW. The results are presented in Figure 2-4 in form of average number of failures per turbines and year grouped by components and their subsequent average downtimes:

*Figure 2-4: Average number of failures per turbine and year by component and the resulting downtimes*

The highest failure rate can be found in electrical components, the control system, including sensors, and the hydraulic system. However, these failures can often be
fixed by a simple restart of the turbine system whereas other components cause much longer downtimes due to repair work and maintenance logistics. Breakdowns of main turbine components can lead to standstill periods of several weeks. That is why particularly gearbox failures cause long downtimes even though their average failure rate is not exceptionally high.

It has also been observed, that the majority of a turbine’s annual downtime is caused by failures of few components. The failures were primarily related to gearboxes, electric systems, the blade/pitch- and the yaw system which account for more than 60% of annual turbine downtime (compare Figure 2-5). Therefore, they are identified as critical for system reliability and the economic success of a wind project.

![Figure 2-5: Contribution of each component to the annual turbine downtime](image)

Publications presenting data on WT field failures show similar results and thus draw similar conclusions regarding component reliability (compare [12, 13, 15, 16, 17], and [8]).

### 2.2.2 Maintenance Management in Wind Turbines

Reliability problems in WTs can lead to high cost for operators. Component degradation and failures can result in severe performance degradation, costly repair or replacement actions and long turbine downtimes. These risks can be a serious threat to the economic success of a wind project. That is why especially small and medium size WT operators outsource maintenance and are willing to pay insurance premiums to maintenance specialists, who then guarantee certain turbine availability. However, O&M cost can account for up to 20 % of a wind project’s total COE and influences the measure in different ways, as can be seen in equation 2-4 [3].
ICC represents the initial capital cost, usually the most important factor in the equation, which is multiplied with the fixed charge rate (FCR) and added to the levelized replacement cost (LRC), which is determined by turbine reliability. Moreover, reliability influences the COE directly through O&M costs as well as indirectly by affecting the Annual Energy Production (AEP), which can be severely affected by failure caused downtime. Therefore, reducing reliability related costs shows great overall cost reduction potential and maintenance management aims to determine the optimal maintenance strategy to minimize these costs [3].

In maintenance management two main strategies can be distinguished and goal of intelligent maintenance management is to identify a cost optimal strategy between those two traditional approaches [7] (compare Figure 2-1).

**Figure 2-1: Costs associated with traditional maintenance strategies (Adopted from [7])**

- Corrective, sometimes also called reactive maintenance is a run to failure concept. Maintenance actions are initiated after failure occurrence and detection. Thus, cost of repair is potentially high as only minimal failure prevention efforts are made. Also, this concept can lead to long turbine downtimes, in case components with a long lead time need to be replaced. However, a corrective maintenance approach allows utilizing the component lifetime to its maximum.

- Preventive maintenance on the other hand intends to prevent an equipment breakdown through regular scheduled maintenance or condition based mainte-
nance (CBM) actions. CBM is a subcategory of preventive maintenance which takes additional information about the turbine components into account. With the knowledge about the component’s condition actions can be initiated to mitigate the consequences of a failure even before failure occurrence. Therefore, it is necessary to detect the change in machinery condition on time and to be able to interpret the observed change correctly [18]. However, preventive maintenance aims for a reduction of repair cost which is partially compensated by the increasing prevention efforts.

### 2.2.3 Condition Monitoring in Wind Turbines

For successful maintenance management, information about the turbine condition is essential. Based on that, the appropriate maintenance actions can be arranged. Traditionally, the information was acquired through manual onsite inspections. However, with the increasing number of installed turbines in remote sites frequent inspections becomes more challenging and expensive. Therefore, new CM-strategies are developed, combining new sensor technology with online of offline data analysis. Table 2-1 gives an overview of traditional and state-of-the-art condition monitoring approaches and their potential applications in WTs based on [7]. Furthermore, selected techniques are introduced in the following paragraphs.

**Table 2-1: Overview of CM techniques applied in WTs based on [7]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring Approach</th>
<th>Detectable Failures</th>
<th>WT subsystem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual Inspection</td>
<td>Cracking, Adjustment Error, Spalling, Fire</td>
<td>Rotor Blades, Tower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acoustic Emission</td>
<td>High Vibration</td>
<td>Drive Train</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature Measurement</td>
<td>Lubrication Problems, Bearing Damages, Bad Connections</td>
<td>Drive Train, Electrical System, Generator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermography</td>
<td>Bearing Damages, Winding Damage, Broken Sensors, Electrical Problems</td>
<td>Drive Train, Electrical System, Generator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibration Analysis</td>
<td>Defects in Rotating Elements</td>
<td>Rotor Drive Train, Tower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil Analysis</td>
<td>Oil Leakage, Lubrication Problems, Braking in Teeth</td>
<td>Gearbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain measurement</td>
<td>Fatigue Information, Crack Information, Deterioration</td>
<td>Rotor Blades, Shaft Tower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Signal Analysis</td>
<td>Displacement, Eccentricity of Wheels, Rotor Assymmetries</td>
<td>Drive Train, Generator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Temperature Monitoring:** A standard approach for WT CM, which can be conducted with thermometers as well as infra-red thermography. It is one of the most popular CM tools applied in WTs. As every component has a maximum operational temperature which is usually exceeded only in case of abnormally high friction, it is a reliable criterion for failure detection. Furthermore, tempera-
tures are rather slow changing measurements due to the thermal inertia of the components. This can be an advantage when analyzing data with a low sample rate, for example 10 minute average values stored in a SCADA system. For temperature this can be a sufficient resolution for condition monitoring. On the other hand, slow changing measures have only limited value in early failure prediction because they simply indicate a failure too late. Nevertheless, temperatures are often used as a secondary criterion in case, for example, the vibration monitoring shows an alarm.

- **Vibration Monitoring:** One of the well-established technologies for rotating machinery is the analysis of vibration signals, since changes in mechanical equipment can lead to abnormal vibration signals long before a failure occurs. The vibration signals, recorded by different sensors, are usually transformed into a frequency domain and then analyzed. In WT vibration analysis is applied to monitor shafts, bearings, gearboxes and blades. Shortcomings of this technology are the requirement of additional equipment and difficulties in detecting low-frequency faults.

- **Oil Analysis:** Another broadly applied monitoring technique, especially in turbines with gearboxes. As shown in 2.2.1, gearboxes are especially critical in terms of reliability and therefore gear oil analysis commonly used for gearbox monitoring, as it is the only method for detecting cracks inside the gearbox. Usually the oil’s viscosity, oxidation, water content, particles and temperature are recorded either through offline-sample analysis or online monitoring. Even though modern on-line sensing methods, such as electromagnetic, flow or pressure-drop and optical debris sensing, are available, offline sample monitoring is often used due to the high cost for the online equipment.

- **Strain and Optical Monitoring:** Recently, strain measurement and optical fiber monitoring for WT structures has received increasing attention as the fatigue loads the turbine is exposed to can be estimated. The measurements of strain gauges, which can be placed randomly on the structure, are processed with the help of finite element method to monitor the effects of the high dynamic loads. However, strain gauges are not very long lasting and these techniques require expensive measurement equipment. New approaches try to connect available SCADA-data measurements and short term strain measurements to extrapolate strain estimations. Such applications might help the technology to a broader application in the future [19].

The technologies presented in the previous paragraphs are mainly used to monitor a specific subsystem within the turbine. Other approaches widen the balance limits and aim for monitoring the global WT system. Different mechanical and electrical faults for
example lead to disturbances in the mechanical as well as in the electrical energy flow. Consequently mechanical torque oscillation can also be detected on the electrical side of the power train through power signal analysis. That way blade or rotor imbalances can be detected. A comparably simple method is the monitoring of process parameters. There, the values and relationships of temperatures, power, wind and rotor speed or blade angles are compared with specifications and limits determined by manufacturers. For this kind of analysis for example SCADA-signals can be used. More advanced approaches based on parameter prediction and trending are not common today.

However, the importance of condition monitoring is expected to further increase in the future, due to the earlier mentioned developments in the wind industry. The more mature the new techniques become, the cheaper their application gets. Also, the cost of condition monitoring can be compensated with lower premiums for insurances rewarding such systems [9] Developing towards more reliable, cost effective, integrated and smart solutions condition monitoring is about to become an integral part of modern maintenance strategies [7]).

2.2.4 SCADA based CM using Normal Behavior Models

Today’s turbines are not necessarily equipped with sensors for stress, vibration or power analysis, but with numerous units collecting data for the SCADA system (compare 2.1.1). The SCADA system collects information about the turbine key features, which can be analyzed for condition monitoring purposes. Thus, the analysis of SCADA data can be a cost effective integrated way to monitor several critical components of a WT [5].

Different techniques, ranging from simple threshold checks to complex statistical analyses are used to detect anomalies. A comprehensive overview of publications and their proposed methods to analyze SCADA data for CM of WTs is provided by [20]. A common approach is the application of normal behavior models. Based on inputs extracted from SCADA data the model should be able to predict a target parameter under normal operating conditions. For anomaly detection the real time signal is compared with the estimated model output. The success of the approach is determined by the accuracy of the developed model. Here artificial intelligence methods have proven to be a sufficient tool for modelling complex systems, such as WT components [21]. Among different approaches neural networks showed particularly good results and were successfully applied in WT fault detection [22].
However, the utilization of SCADA data for CM comes with some challenges. Since the SCADA system was not originally designed for CM, not all parameters for a full turbine CM are available. Also, the data rate of 10 minute average values is too slow for some condition monitoring techniques [7]. Moreover, it can be difficult to trace back an anomaly in the data to its origin. Therefore, it is important to understand a failure’s specific impact on SCADA data. This knowledge can be achieved either through the analysis of data along with maintenance reports or with the help of data mining approaches, depending on data availability [21]. Nevertheless, exploitation of SCADA data for WT condition monitoring has successfully been demonstrated in several studies; see [4, 5, 6, 21, 23, 24 and 25].

2.3 Artificial Neural Networks

Artificial neural networks (ANN) are a concept of computing inspired by the biological structure brain. In analogy an ANN is able to acquire knowledge in a learning process. After training it can recall the learned patterns and input/output relations. Since the training data presented to the ANN can be theoretical, experimental empirical or a combination of these, ANNs can be used for a broad range of applications [26]. Moreover, the network is able to generalize its knowledge to a certain extent and apply it to new input data it has never seen before. This makes it a powerful tool, well suited to model real world non-linear systems in engineering and science [27]. For problems, which are too complex for an analytical approach, ANNs can deliver an almost perfect approximation based on the experience drawn from the training data. However, this lack of analytical background comes with difficulties in explaining and judging the ANN’s output [26]. Even though the ANN is a black box model, it was demonstrated to be a useful tool in various applications [27]. The following sections give a general introduction into structure and functionality of ANNs based on [28].
2.3.1 Building blocks of the Artificial Neural Network

The fundamental information processing unit of an ANN is called a neuron. A neuron generates an output based on its input signals and consists of three basic elements: A set of synapses, an adder and an activation function (compare Figure 2-2).

![Figure 2-2: Model of a neuron [4]](image)

Synapses are characterized by a weight or strength, which is determined during model training. A neuron’s input signal \( u_j \) at synapse \( j \) is multiplied with the synaptic weight \( w_j \). Subsequently, it is added to all other weighted input signals and a fixed bias value \( b \) by a linear combiner (compare equation 2-5). This sum \( v_j \) is input for the activation function \( \Phi \) which determines the neuron’s output then (compare equation 2-6).

\[
v_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_j w_j + b \quad \text{(2-5)}
\]
\[
y = \Phi(v) \quad \text{(2-6)}
\]

There are two different types of activation functions: Threshold and sigmoid functions. A threshold function is discontinuous and can assume a value of either 0 or 1 whereas a sigmoid function can assume any value between 0 and 1. Sigmoid functions are well balanced between linear and nonlinear behavior and the most common activation functions used in neural networks. Their shape can be influenced by variation of the slope parameter \( a \). Note that the sigmoid function becomes a threshold function for an infinite \( a \) (compare equation 2-7). Figure 2-7 shows the corresponding graph for different shape parameters.

\[
\Phi(v) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-av}} \quad \text{(2-7)}
\]
Neurons can be arranged in different architectures depending on the network’s purpose. A single-layer network, as the name suggests, consists of only one single layer of neurons which directly connect inputs and outputs. Multi-layer networks on the other hand contain one or more hidden layers. Outputs of the previous layer are used as input for the next layer. The elements of those layers, the hidden neurons, cannot be directly seen from either input or output of the network. Through hidden layers the network is able to model the higher order non-linearity in the input output relationship.

In general, feed-forward and recurrent networks can be distinguished. In contrary to a feed-forward network a recurrent network has at least one feedback loop. Through feedback loops, non-linear dynamic behavior can be implemented and the performance of a network can be improved significantly. Figure 2-8 shows examples of different network structures.

Figure 2-7: The sigmoid function plotted with varying shaping parameters

Figure 2-8: Examples for different ANN architectures [4]
Neural network design is a challenging task, because of the lack of well-developed theory for network optimization. An architecture which is able to predict with accuracy must be found through experimental studies for a specific case. Two approaches are common to find the optimal network structure. The first option is to start with an oversized network and remove synapses or entire neurons, if they are not active or carry only little weight. Starting with a small network and increasing the number of neurons until satisfactory solutions are achieved is the second option. Both approaches include a trial and error to find the network, which suits the application best. However, when modelling real world non-linear relationships generally two hidden layers lead to sufficient results [4].

2.3.2 Network Training Methods

ANNs are intelligent systems, which are able to learn from their environment. Knowledge about input/output relations is acquired through a learning process and stored in form of a network’s synaptic weights. After a successful training the ANN is able to use this information to interpret and predict parameters in consistence with the outside world. Depending on the network’s purpose, it can be trained for different tasks, such as pattern association, pattern recognition, function approximation or control purposes. There are two conceptual different learning methods for ANN training: supervised and unsupervised learning.

Supervised Learning

In supervised learning input/output examples are presented to the network. The training data contains labeled data sets. Input parameters represent different environmental conditions and output parameters their desired network responses. A vector of input variables is presented to the network and its actual response is compared with the optimal response of the training data set. In an iterative process, the difference between actual and desired response is minimized by adjusting the synaptic weights. Through this process of error-correction learning, knowledge which was previously stored in the predefined training data is transferred to the network. A scheme of supervised learning is displayed in Figure 2-3.

Within supervised learning two classes of training methods are distinguished: batch and online learning, in batch learning all training data samples are presented to the network simultaneously, what is called an epoch. Multiple epochs are generated through random shuffling for feedforward networks and through splitting for recurrent networks to also train the weight of the feedback-synapsis. Once the performance shows no further improvement, the training is finished. Through this parallel learning process, batch learning is fast and ensures convergence to a local minimum. However, achievement of a global minimum is not guaranteed. Online learning on the other hand optimizes the syn-
aptic weights sample by sample. Once all samples have been presented to the network, one epoch is completed. Here the number of training epochs is also based on the performance improvement from epoch to epoch. Online learning is slower than batch learning but simpler to implement and more responsive to redundancies.

Figure 2-3: Scheme of supervised learning [4]

Unsupervised Learning
In case no labeled examples of the function to be learned by the network are available, unsupervised learning can be conducted. During the learning process a task independent measure of the desired network quality is optimized using competitive learning rules to adjust the synaptic weights. Consequently the network becomes tuned due to statistical regularities of the input data.

Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm
There are multiple algorithms available to optimize the synaptic weights during model training. Within this thesis the Levenberg-Marquardt training algorithm (LMA) was used due to the fact that it is Matlab’s fastest and at the same time most accurate algorithm for networks of up to a few 100 weights [29]. The LMA updates the synaptic weights according to equation 2-8.

\[ \Delta w = (H + \lambda I)^{-1} g \] (2-8)

The regularization parameter \( \lambda \) is used to combine Newton’s method (for \( \lambda = 0 \)) and Gradient descent method (for \( \lambda I \) overpowering \( H \)) for a fast convergence. \( H \) is the approximated Hessian matrix, \( I \) the identity matrix with the same dimensions and \( g \) the gradient vector of the cost function \( Y(w) \) (compare equations 2.9 – 2.11).

\[ H(w) = \frac{\partial^2 Y(w)}{\partial w^2} \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{\partial F(x(i);w)}{\partial w} \right] \left[ \frac{\partial F(x(i);w)}{\partial w} \right]^T \] (2-9)
\[ g = \frac{Y(w)}{\partial w} \]  
\[ Y(w) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (d(i) - F(x(i); w))^2 \]  (2-10)  (2-11)

\{x(i), d(i)\}_{i=1}^{N} is the training sample and the approximating function \( F(x(i); w) \) represents the network. For additional information about optimization algorithms for network training refer to [28].

### 2.3.3 Application of Artificial Neural Networks in Wind Turbines

ANNs have the ability to model very complex non-linear relations and are therefore well suited for applications in WT's. They are mainly used to analyze the large sets of measurements from CM-sensors or the SCADA system. Also, they are applied to predict or optimize the power output and give information about turbine or component condition. Some of these approaches are highlighted in the following paragraphs.

An approach for optimizing the power factor and production of a WT was presented by [30]. A control approach based on different data mining algorithms was generated to optimize settings of the blade pitch and yaw angle. ANNs with different configurations were tested against a classification and regression tree as well as a support vector machine regression. The ANN based model showed the best results and it was shown that information drawn from historical SCADA data can significantly improve a turbine’s power output.

A methodology analyzing SCADA data with four data mining algorithms to predict turbine failures was presented in [31]. Here the turbine’s power curve was modelled by each of algorithm and used to determine turbine health. Failures were classified by occurrence, severity and the specific fault. The model was able to detect failures in advance and the approach using ANNs was identified as the best. A similar team consecutively used ANN’s for normal behavior modelling of bearing temperatures in WT [32].

An intelligent system for predictive maintenance for WT monitoring was subject of [33]. Within this framework multilayer perceptron ANNs were used to create normal behavior models for failure detection. This knowledge captured by the networks was then combined with a fuzzy expert system for fault diagnosis and maintenance optimization for WT’s. Based on this, an on-line health condition monitoring tool, called SIMAP was developed and its application was presented for WT gearbox monitoring. Following a similar method, an ANN based normal behavior model for gearbox- and generator bearing temperatures was developed and presented in [21]. Gearbox bearing temperature and generator winding temperature were predicted and used for fault detection.
A comparative analysis of neural network and regression based condition monitoring approaches for WT fault detection is conducted in [22]. The developed models are applied to five real measured faults. The comparison between the approaches reveal that ANN based models are best suited for failure detection, because they give earlier and clearer indication of damages. Moreover, it was realized, that the investigated bearing failures were easier to detect than the stator anomalies. The same authors describe the development and application of a method combining ANN based normal behavior models and fuzzy logic in [23] and [34]. Such an adaptive neuro fuzzy inference system allows implementation of expert knowledge in addition to ANN data analysis. A large number of normal behavior models is developed using 33 SCADA standard signals. The comparison with an ANN model shows that the selected approach has advantages in model training speed and fault diagnosis can be conducted using the fuzzy interference system.

2.3.4 Neural Networks in MATLAB

Within this thesis, the numerical computing environment MATLAB was used for data processing and the ANN based analysis. Therefore, the WT data, which was extracted from the SCADA-system in the txt-format, was converted into csv-files and then imported into the MATLAB environment for processing and analysis. The following sections give a quick overview of the features and inbuilt functions used within this thesis.

MATLAB offers a so called Neural Network Toolbox, which contains functions and apps for ANN-modelling and application. The program provides a graphical user interface which facilitates model design and training through visualization and predefined figures. However, all implemented functions can also be manually called and modified within a MATLAB-script.

The toolbox supports different supervised and unsupervised network architectures, ranging from relatively simple feedforward networks to complex dynamic or pattern recognition networks and thus allows choosing the most suitable configuration for the specific application. Also, several training algorithms are implemented, including gradient descent methods, conjugate gradient methods and the LMA. Moreover, the toolbox features various pre- and post-processing tools [35].

Throughout the thesis the software was found to be a useful tool for data processing and neural network analysis. The wide range of implemented functions facilitates the application of complex mathematical concepts significantly. However, using these pre-defined functions for a complex analysis still requires a complete understanding of the theoretical background, to be able to appropriately assess and judge the corresponding outcomes. The current and the following chapter should be seen in this context.
2.4 Statistical Background

Statistics help us to understand and learn from data with the ultimate goal to translate
data into knowledge [36]. Within this thesis, large data sets are analyzed with the help of
statistical tools to gain knowledge about the condition of technical components of a
WT. The statistical tools which are hereby applied will be introduced in the following
sections.

2.4.1 Basic Statistical Measures

The following paragraphs give a short introduction of the statistical standard measures
which are used in this thesis either directly or as an input for more advanced analysis. If
not referenced otherwise, the explanations are based on [36].

*Mean Absolute and Mean Square Error*

For model performance evaluation two measures are used in this thesis: the mean abso-
lute error (MAE) and the mean squared error (MSE); both are commonly reported num-
bers in the evaluation of time series prediction [37]. The MAE is calculated as the aver-
age deviation of the predicted variable from the target value without taking their dire-
tion into account (compare equation 2-12) and it provides a vivid indication of the mod-
els quality. The MSE, however, is the most common performance function used to train
neural networks [29] and calculated as shown in equation 2-13. Both equations are used
for model assessment where \( f_i \) represents the model’s output and \( y_i \) the actual target
measurement for the time step \( i \) for a total number of \( n \) time steps.

\[
MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f_i - y_i| \quad (2-12) \quad \text{and} \quad MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (f_i - y_i)^2 \quad (2-13)
\]

*Variance and Standard Deviation*

When the variability of a parameter is analyzed it is usually reported as a deviation from
the mean. Hereby the average of the squared deviation from the mean is called variance
\( s \) (compare equation 2-14). Since the variance uses squared units it is much easier to
interpret its square root, the standard deviation (compare equation 2-15).

\[
s = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \quad (2-14) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n-1}} \quad (2-15)
\]

In both equations \( n \) represents the number of points and \( \bar{x} \) is the mean of the sample \( x \). Looking at equation 2-15, it is obvious, that the larger the standard deviation, the higher
is the variance.
Covariance and Correlation

Also, the association between variables is of interest, especially when explanatory variables are required in modelling. The so called covariance and the correlation describe the strength of the linear association between two quantitative variables. The covariance can be calculated with equation 2-16. For multidimensional parameter associations, the covariance matrix is a helpful tool, where matrix element of position m,n is \( \text{cov}(m,n) \).

\[
\text{cov}(x, y) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{N}
\] (2-16)

\( N \) represents the number of points and \( \bar{x} \) and \( \bar{y} \) are the means of the samples x and y. The indicator commonly used to assess parameter relations is the correlation coefficient \( r \), which is the normalized covariance. The correlation coefficient can be calculated by equation 2-17.

\[
r = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}
\] (2-17)

Here, \( N \) is the total number of elements, and \( \sigma_x \) and \( \sigma_y \) are the standard deviations and \( \bar{x} \) and \( \bar{y} \) the means of the samples x and y. The correlation coefficient shows the following properties:

- \( r \) is always in the range of -1 to +1 and the stronger the linear association, the closer it is to the absolute value of 1.
- A negative \( r \) indicates a negative and a positive \( r \) a positive association.
- \( r \) has no unit and is identical, not matter which one is the explanatory and which the response variable.

In case two signals are strongly associated but shifted relatively to each other, caused by a delay for example, a simple correlation analysis might not be able to detect the relation. Therefore, the correlation between two signals is calculated while one signal is shifted step-by-step relative to the other. This so called cross-correlation analysis allows identifying correlations even if the signals are shifted and is widely used in signal analysis.

2.4.2 Distributions

When analyzing the outcome of a model not only the absolute values, but also the frequency of occurrence of these values can be important. A variable’s probability distribution gives answers to both questions. This information can be used to separate more frequent regular outcomes from rare irregular ones, for example by defining a threshold.
based on a value’s frequency of occurrence. The theoretical background of distributions used within this thesis is explained in the following sections based on [38] and [36].

The probability distribution of a variable is typically specified by a probability density function (PDF), which determines the probability that a variate takes the value $x$ (compare equation 2-18). It is practical to normalize the PDF with the total area under the curve. Then the area under the curve above any particular interval corresponds to the intervals probability of occurrence and total area below the curve equals a probability of 1. The integration of the PDF results in the cumulative distribution function (CDF) (compare equation 2-19). The CDF represents the probability that the variable takes a value less than or equal to $x$.

$$f(x) = Pr[X = x]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-18)

$$F(x) = Pr[X \leq x]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-19)

Visualization of a variable’s distribution can be done with the help of histograms or by an approximated continuous distribution functions. Within this thesis the normal distribution and a two parameter Weibull distribution were used.

**Normal Distribution**

The normal distribution is the most important distribution in statistics, partially because many variables appear to be normally distributed by nature but mainly because of the central limit theorem. It says that the sampling distribution of the mean becomes approximately normal even if the original variable was not normally distributed. The normal distribution is characterized by a symmetric, bell-shaped curve and can be described with two parameters – the mean $\mu$ and the standard deviation $\sigma$ (compare equation 2-20).

$$f(x) = \exp \left( \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-20)

![Figure 2-9: Normal distribution with different parameter configurations](image-url)
One of its important characteristics is that the probability of occurrence within any number of standard deviations from the mean is identical for all normal distributions. Also, it describes the distribution of continuous, random variables. Therefore, the error is often assumed to be normally distributed in modelling applications.

**Weibull Distribution**

Another widely applicable distribution is the Weibull-distribution. It plays an important role in reliability and it is also used to describe site wind resources. The Weibull is a flexible distribution and its shape can be influenced by the shape parameter \( \gamma \), its location parameter \( \mu \) and its scale parameter \( \alpha \) (compare equation 2-21) [38]. In case the location parameter equals zero (\( \mu=0 \)) it results in the two parameter Weibull distribution used in this thesis (compare Figure 2-10). Also, it includes the Extreme Value Distribution (\( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \gamma = 1 \)) as well as the Rayleigh distribution (\( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \gamma = 2 \)) as special cases [38].

\[
f(x) = \frac{\gamma}{a} \left(\frac{x-a}{a}\right)^{(\gamma-1)} \exp\left(\frac{x-a}{a}\right)^\gamma \quad x \geq \mu; \gamma, \alpha > 0
\]  

(2-21)

The CDF for the two parameter Weibull distribution can be calculated following equation 2-22.

\[
F(x) = 1 - \exp^{-\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\gamma} \quad x \geq \mu; \gamma, \alpha > 0
\]  

(2-22)

Within this thesis the parameters of the Weibull distribution function are estimated using the MATLAB inbuilt function `wblfit`, which uses the maximum likelihood method for approximation. The parameters are then inputs for the MATLAB function `wblcdf`, which calculates the CDF function based on the PDF-parameters.

![Figure 2-10: Weibull distribution with different parameter configurations](image)
2.4.3 Mahalanobis Distance

The anomaly detection methodology applied in this thesis is based on the MHD and a good comprehension of the measure is therefore useful (based on [39]). The MHD is a unit less, multidimensional distance. It is calculated similarly to the better known Euclidean distance but takes the covariance of its values into account which allows capturing the correlation between the variables (compare equation 2-23).

\[ MD_i = \sqrt{(x_i - \bar{x}) C_x^{-1} (x_i - \bar{x})^T} \quad \text{for } i=1 \text{ to } n \quad (2-23) \]

Here, \( X_i = [X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n] \) is the \( i \)th vector from a total of \( n \) observations and \( \bar{x} \) is the vector of its means.

The graphical interpretation of the MHD in a two-dimensional variable space shows elliptic lines representing equivalent MHDs from the sample center. The shape of the ellipses is influenced by the correlation between the variables (compare Figure 2-11).

![Figure 2-11: Mahalanobis distances based on a sample (white) with its center (red)](image)

Figure 2-11 shows relative MHDs based on a basis sample (white data points). It can be observed, that the distance measure reacts much more sensitive to data points which are not ‘in line’ with the basis sample. This feature makes the MHD useful for outlier detection, where it was successfully applied in many fields.
2.5 Gearbox Condition Monitoring Approach

Successful condition monitoring using normal behavior models consist of two main parts. Firstly, a model is required that is able to predict the target variable with high accuracy. And then an approach has to be developed which is able to reliably distinguish model inaccuracies and abnormal conditions. Among others, a promising approach for condition monitoring based on SCADA data was presented in [4] (compare 2.3.3). Because the present thesis aims to further develop and apply this approach, it will be introduced more detailed in the following sections.

2.5.1 Gearbox Model

The present approach uses a NARX ANN to model the normal behavior of gearbox bearing temperatures. The ANN contains 20 neurons with sigmoid activation functions in the hidden and one neuron with a threshold function in the output layer. The temperature of the monitored bearing is modelled using the five input parameters displayed in Table 2-2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANN Type</th>
<th>NARX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>Hidden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurons</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation Function</td>
<td>Sigmoid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inputs</td>
<td>Power, Rotor RPM, Nacelle Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs</td>
<td>HSS Bearing Temperature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also an automated approach for training data selection is presented in [4] to prevent over fitting and speed up the training process. This training data selection procedure, however, was not followed in this thesis, as over fitting did not occur and moderate training times were achieved. Moreover, a basic pre-filtering was conducted, which was found to be crucial to prevent false network training and thus was extended in the present work.

2.5.2 Anomaly Detection Approach

One of the challenges in the application of ANNs for condition monitoring is the appropriate judgement of model output. When is a prediction error due to inaccurate modelling and when does a deviation from the measured value indicate a component failure? As the ANN lacks of physical understanding of the modelled component, this questions,
has to be answered with the help of statistical tools. Therefore, the RMSE and the Mahalanobis distance were compared in [4], in which the latter was found to be the more robust and thus the more adequate measure to detect malfunctions in WT components.

For calculating the MHD during condition monitoring stage the data set containing the SCADA-measurements of the target variables and the corresponding model errors are combined (compare equation 2-24). Afterwards their MHD values are calculated using equation 2-25, where $\mu_{\text{ref}}$ is the mean error during training and $C_{\text{ref}}$ is the covariance matrix for the healthy data during model training.

$$X_{CM} = [\text{Error}_{CM}, \text{Target}_{CM}]$$

$$MD_{CM} = \sqrt{(X_{CM} - \mu_{\text{ref}}) C_{\text{ref}}^{-1} (X_{CM} - \mu_{\text{ref}})^{-1}}$$

### Threshold Definition

To decide whether a data point is reflecting abnormal behavior, an appropriate threshold value has to be defined. As a prerequisite, the training data of the normal behavior model has to be free of failures and represents the healthy component condition. Under that assumption it can be concluded that errors during model training are due to inaccuracies of the ANN model. This information is taken into account, when deciding the threshold value for anomaly detection. That’s why the threshold value is calculated based on the model errors during training stage and data points in monitoring stage which show a high MHD compared to the MHDs obtained during training stage can be labeled as outliers.

The MHD values during the healthy turbine state, namely during network training, is calculated using equation 2-26 and 2-27. $\text{Error}_{TR}$ represents the model’s training errors and $\text{Target}_{TR}$ the SCADA measurements of the target parameter during the training period.

$$X_{\text{ref}} = [\text{Error}_{TR}, \text{Target}_{TR}]$$

$$MD_{\text{ref}} = \sqrt{(X_{\text{ref}} - \mu_{\text{ref}}) C_{\text{ref}}^{-1} (X_{\text{ref}} - \mu_{\text{ref}})^{-1}}$$

$$f(\text{MDcmstage}) < 0.01$$

The distribution of the MHD values during training was found to be accurately represented by a two-parameter Weibull probability distribution function (compare 2.4.2). Hence any data point during condition monitoring stage is defined as an outlier,
if the occurrence of its MHD in a healthy turbine is less than 1% (compare equation 2-12) [4]. In addition, gearbox-related SCADA alarms where taken into account, to judge the turbine condition.

2.5.3 Anomaly Detection Application

The presented approach was applied to a turbine with a gearbox bearing failure in [4] which was detected several days before the vibration monitoring alarm which lead to an inspection where the failure was discovered. For anomaly detection the MHD was averaged over three days and then compared to the calculated threshold, since the MHD reacts much more sensitive to outliers than for example the RMSE. The averaging ensured that the threshold is only violated in case of high MHD-values over a longer period and thus it can be concluded that the health of the monitored component is seriously affected. Therefore, false alarms are based on model errors are excluded which increases the robustness of the approach. Figure 2-12 shows the development of the averaged MHD-measure and the threshold value in a successful failure detection case presented in [4].

![Figure 2-12: The averaged MHD violates the threshold several days in advance to a gearbox bearing failure in [4]](image-url)
3 Model Development

Against the presented background this thesis aims to further develop and apply the anomaly detection methodology introduced in chapter 2.5. Therefore, the following chapters describe the general model development process followed within this thesis and explain its subtasks. Within the chapters 4 and 5 the described approach is applied for CM of WTs.

3.1 Model Development Process

The process of developing an ANN based normal behavior model can be divided into multiple subtasks which together represent an iterative development process. Before a first model training the input and output parameters have to be selected according to the desired application. Moreover, a suitable ANN architecture has to be specified. Lastly, a data pre-processing approach has to be developed, to enable appropriate model training. After completing these tasks the model can be trained and the result should be verified during a testing and validation process, where the model is applied to healthy and faulty WTs. When developing an ANN, it can be difficult to find the optimal network configuration for a specific application, since the performance depends on all the previously described factors and processes. Thus, finding a suitable ANN for an engineering application is always an iterative process, where the pre-training configurations are varied until a sufficient result is achieved (compare Figure 3-1) [26]. The following sections describe the general approaches followed by this thesis in the development of the ANN based normal behavior models.

![Figure 3-1: Schematic flow chart of the iterative model development process](image-url)
3.2 Parameter selection

The selection of appropriate input and output parameters is an essential part of ANN development. In a first step the target parameter has to be selected. Potential component failures should manifest themselves in the chosen measurement, to enable failure detection. This shows the importance of target parameter selection for successful anomaly detection. In many cases there is only little choice because of the limited availability of measurements addressing the malfunction. In fact, the applicability of the approach often depends on the availability of potential target measurements.

The selection of input parameters, on the other hand, is more complex. Relevant input parameters have to be chosen in a way, so that the model is able to predict the target parameter under normal operating conditions with sufficient accuracy. This ensures a detectable deviation between the model output and the actual parameter measurement during a malfunction in the corresponding component. In contrast to the target parameter selection there usually is a big number of potential input measurements to choose from. Here, the physical relations between the turbine components which result in correlations between the corresponding parameters play a key role. However, only few works have considered correlations between parameters of the SCADA system at the stage of parameter selection [24]. In this thesis a comprehensive study of the correlations between component related parameters has been conducted. Figure 3-2 shows the correlation coefficients between selected parameters. Data representing almost 10 WT years has been analyzed and the results have been taken into account when selecting the model inputs.

Figure 3-2: Correlation matrix between different SCADA-parameters

Average Correlation Between SCADA-Parameters

WTG19_Generator Bearing Temp. Avg. (1)
WTG19_Generator Phase1 Temp. Avg. (2)
WTG19_Generator RPM Avg. (3)
WTG19_Hydraulic Oil Temp. Avg. (4)
WTG19_Gear Bearing Temp. Avg. (5)
WTG19_Gear Oil Temp. Avg. (6)
WTG19_Nacelle Temp. Avg. (7)
WTG19_Rotor RPM Avg. (9)
WTG19_Ambient Temp. Avg. (9)
WTG19_Ambient WindSpeed Avg. (10)
WTG19_Grid Production Power Avg. (11)
When choosing the model inputs and outputs, two main objectives were considered. Firstly, the performance of the normal behavior model was optimized to achieve a sufficient accuracy and secondly, the model has to correctly indicate failures as well as prevent false alarms during the application stage. Both conditions were evaluated in the validation process described in chapter 3.6.

It has been realized that the choice of input parameters should not be based on statistics only. Even though an input parameter with a high correlation to the target parameter will probably result in a performance improvement, it can lead to problems in anomaly detection. This is especially critical if two parameters show high correlation and similar behavior in case of a component failure. Due to the high correlation the input parameter is likely to get highly weighted during model training. Thus the parameter will have a big influence on the model output and improve the model’s performance significantly, since it gives a clear indication of target parameter. In case of a failure however, this results in a ‘correct’ prediction of the abnormal target parameter behavior, which is then labels as ‘normal’. Figure 3-3 gives an example of such a case. Hence, the turbine’s physical system relations have to be taken into account during the selection process to avoid such model behavior.

![Figure 3-3: Example for ‘correct’ prediction of abnormally high bearing temperature by normal behavior model due to incorrect choice of input parameters](image)

### 3.3 Model Architecture

As mentioned earlier, there is no established standard method for neural network design and thus a suitable and stable network has to be found in a trial and error process (compare 2.3.1). After defining the input and output parameters, which in engineering applications are often defined by the technical problem itself, a network topology has to be determined [26]. Within this thesis, the model architecture was selected based on find-
ings of related projects. In [4] a NARX network with 20 hidden neurons was successfully applied for detection of a gearbox failure (compare 2.5.1). The same configuration was found to be sufficient in [40] where parametric studies were carried out to find the best model architecture for modelling the power output of a turbine. This is why this configuration was chosen for both models presented later within this thesis. Table 3-1 sums up the selected ANN topology.

Table 3-1: ANN architecture specification for all developed models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANN Type</th>
<th>NARX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>Hidden Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurons</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation Function</td>
<td>Sigmoid Threshold</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4 Data Pre-Processing

After successful determination of model architecture and parameters, the network needs to be trained. To build a functioning normal behavior model, the training data presented to the ANN has to represent normal operating conditions of the turbine. This is especially important since the synaptic weights are decided solely based on the training data, without any physical understanding of the system. If a model has been trained with erroneous data, it might not be able to identify abnormal behavior as such and thus fail its purpose.

Unfortunately, data extracted from SCADA system is usually not ‘clean’. Malfunctions in the SCADA communication system, sensor or signal processing errors and standstill during maintenance and repair actions lead to missing and faulty data points, hidden in the large data sets. Also it cannot be guaranteed, that the complete data set selected for training does not contain any traces of minor errors during this period. To make sure that the ANN training is not distorted by such measurements, faulty data is removed from the training data set by applying an initial data screening and filtering process.

In general, it was realized that SCADA systems form different manufacturers report the measurements with variable reliability. Some systems reported more than 95 % of the yearly operational data points correctly, whereas in others only around 50 % of the data sets were complete. This also depends on the recording philosophy. Some systems keep recording measurements, when the turbine is out of operation, others do not. However, sufficient model training was found to be possible also in cases with only half of the training subsets available, provided that the training data set covers the whole range of normal operation throughout the application period.
Since the data pre-processing is model specific, it is described in the corresponding model sections (compare chapter 4.1.2 and 5.1.2).

### 3.5 Model Training

Model training is a crucial factor for the successful application of ANN based normal behavior models, since the application performance highly relies on the training data presented to the net. The data pre-processing ensures that unhealthy data is removed from the training sets. However, it is not guaranteed that the training data covers the full range of normal operating conditions. This is particularly important because at presence ANNs are not good at extrapolating information beyond the training domain [26]. On the other hand, too much training data leads to extensive training times and overfitting, which again results in a decrease of the models application performance. This is why it is important to select appropriate training periods.

#### 3.5.1 Training Period and Turbine Individual Networks

For sufficient model training, it is very important, that the training data presented to the network covers the complete scope of the relevant parameters as well as their combinations and patterns for healthy turbine behavior. For the turbines located in Sweden, distinctive seasonal variations of operating parameters, especially temperatures, were observed (compare Figure 3-4). Consequently, training data representing the period of a whole year was used to train the networks, if available. 70% out of this data is used for model training, 15% for testing and 15% for an initial validation.

![Figure 3-4: Turbine specific behavior profile of gear bearing temperatures throughout a year [4]](image)

Figure 3-4 also explains why it was decided to train one individual model for each turbine instead of developing one general model which can be applied to several turbines.
It can be observed that the same parameter, in this case a gear bearing temperature, shows significantly different behavior from turbine to turbine, even though all of them are located in the same geographical area and are facing similar environmental conditions. Therefore, individual models can approximate the selected operational parameters more precisely and thus are better suited for accurate normal behavior modelling. Individual turbine behavior can be modelled with the help of ANNs by training the network with data from a particular turbine, resulting in a unique, turbine specific model.

3.5.2 ANN Training

The LMA, which is used within this thesis to train the ANNs, starts model training with a random initialization of synaptic weights, which are then optimized (compare chapter 2.3.2). This means that networks which are exposed to the same training data sets get slightly different synaptic weights assigned during the training process. In general, this is not a problem, since the differences are marginal, but it is possible that the training process gets stuck in a local minimum which leads to a relatively bad performing model. In order to prevent this, n-number of ANNs are trained with the same input data and the model with the best performance is consecutively chosen. This ensures that the model, which will later be applied for anomaly detection does not show particularly bad performance. Within this thesis, the number of trainings to choose the best ANN from was arbitrarily chosen as three. However, a larger number can be chosen but at cost of computation time.

3.5.3 Inconsistencies in ANN Training

The random initialization of the synaptic weights at the beginning of the training process leads to a unique ANN at the end of each training session. The best-of-three-trainings practice, described in the previous paragraph, excludes the possibility of an unusually bad training result. It has been observed that different trainings lead to networks which model the target parameter with only small variations, if the application input is in the range the network has been trained for (compare top chart Figure 3-5). Nevertheless, the random synaptic weight initialization can cause problems in anomaly detection stage. In case data is presented to the network it has not seen during training, which is a plausible scenario in case of a malfunction, since the network has been trained with healthy turbine data only, the ANNs might have weaknesses in extrapolating beyond the training domain. That can lead to model responses, which differ significantly from training to training in case of a malfunction (compare bottom chart Figure 3-5).
This behavior can lead to inconsistent results in anomaly detection, since the model’s response to unknown data can vary. Especially the dates of first alarms ahead to a failure can vary for different model trainings. Since time of failure detection is an important criterion for successful CM, a more steady approach was developed, which allows reproducible results. In the training-process 100 ANNs, instead of one, are trained with identical data. Later on during the application process, all these 100 ANNs are used for the anomaly detection and the average MHD of all 100 models is calculated to judge the component condition. This approach for the model structure is visualized in Figure 3-6.

**Figure 3-6: Structure of Model Training and Application**
Following this methodology, model training becomes a computationally intensive task. 300 network trainings, 100 times the best of three, have to be conducted before the application. The approach resulted in training times of several hours for the ANNs applied in this thesis. Nevertheless, it was decided to be worth the effort, due to the increased model quality and the fact that this is a nonrecurring process, which has to be performed only once, before model application.

### 3.5.4 Lag and Normalization Consideration

Signals in WT's have usually different time constants to respond to certain operational events. A ramp up of the wind speed, for example, results in an increased power production as well as a higher loading of mechanical components, such as bearings and gears. These increases in loading leads to higher temperatures within these components, which are detected by the corresponding SCADA-sensors. However, the temperature rise will follow the production increase with a certain delay; due to the component’s thermal inertia [25]. When using feed-forward networks to model normal behavior this has to be taken into account by implementing appropriate time lags between the input signals. These lags can be identified by analyzing the cross-correlation between the input parameters. This analysis has been conducted for the present models but did not result in an improvement of the model’s performance. It is concluded, that the recurrent network used in this study is able to account for delays through the implemented feedback loop.

Moreover, [26] points out that normalization of data presented to the network can significantly increase the training speed. Therefore, training was conducted with all parameters normalized to values between 0 and 1 using equation 3-1:

\[
X_{\text{norm}} = \frac{x_{\text{abs}} - x_{\text{abs min}}}{x_{\text{abs max}} - x_{\text{abs min}}}
\]  

(3-1)

With parameter normalization indeed higher training speeds were achieved. However, due to the decrease in performance the ANN is trained and applied to absolute values.

### 3.6 Model Evaluation and Validation

Within the iterative development process the model is tested and evaluated after each ANN training to judge the effects of the previously conducted adjustments. During training, the model errors have been minimized for the training data set and the outputs are usually close to the measured values of the target parameter. However, the network’s generalization abilities have to be tested by applying it to data never seen before [26]. Therefore, each model is applied to a healthy turbine data set to assess the model performance under normal operating conditions. Furthermore, it is also applied to a faulty turbine data set, to test the failure detection ability. Only if both validations where
conducted with sufficient results, the model is applied to study cases with this configuration.

### 3.6.1 Training Evaluation

The training data set itself is divided into three subsets. 70% of the data was used for actual model training, meaning optimizing the synaptic weights of the ANN. The second subset, representing 15% of the training data, is called validation subset. Its error is monitored during the training process and once the performance decreases the model training is stopped. The remaining 15% of the data is the so called test data set. Since the ANN hasn’t seen this data during training, it can be used to judge the networks generalization abilities [29].

During ANN training a problem called overfitting can occur. The network memorizes the training examples and the training error is driven to a very small value. However, the ANN is not able to generalize well which leads to bad performance in case it is presented to new data. If the test error increases significantly before the validation error during training, overfitting might have occurred. Therefore, the errors are monitored to ensure that no overfitting occurs [29].

Also, the training errors and their distribution have been analyzed. In case a model fits the data correctly, a random and therefore normal distribution of the model errors can be observed. Hence, it can be concluded that the model fits the data well if the errors are normally distributed [38]. Therefore, histograms of the training errors are plotted and evaluated.

### 3.6.2 Healthy Turbine Application

There are two main reasons for applying the trained model to a completely healthy turbine. The first one is to test its generalization abilities, when it is exposed to data it has not seen before and the second one is, to ensure that the anomaly detection approach does not cause false alarms.

The trained model is applied to a healthy turbine for a full year, consecutive to the training period. Filtering processes are conducted for training as well as the application data to ensure failure free data sets. Afterwards the trained ANN is applied to the unseen data set, which consists of only healthy data. Several conclusions can be drawn from the results. Firstly, the model performance is evaluated by calculating the MSE and the RME over a full year of application. The errors give an indication of how precise the model is able to predict the target parameter. The relative changes of errors were used to evaluate the impact of a model modification.
Secondly, the model is tuned to avoid false alarms. Therefore, the anomaly detection approach is applied to the healthy turbine (compare 2.5.3). The MHD-measure is sensitive to outliers and is therefore well suited for their detection. However, it is desired to prevent false alarms caused by inaccurate model prediction instead of a component fault. This is why the MHD-measure is averaged over a certain time period, which will damp the absolute MHD value in case the high MHD measure was caused by inaccurate modelling. However, if the high MHD-value was caused by a component malfunction the MHD will show high values over the whole period and thus indicate a failure despite the averaging. The model presented in [4] averaged the MHD over a period of three days (compare 2.5.3). Since the models presented in this thesis predict the target parameter with a higher accuracy, an averaging period of only one day has been found to be sufficient. This higher resolution provides comparable model robustness but allows earlier alarms compared to the three day average. Moreover, it was decided to neglect days where there is, in total, less than 6 hours of measurements available, since this was considered to be insufficient information to judge the turbine condition.

3.6.3 Faulty Turbine Application

It has to be noted, that it is not always the most accurate model which delivers the best results for anomaly detection. A model with a great performance and causing no false alarms is worth nothing if it cannot detect component failures as desired. That’s why the model application to a faulty WT data during validation is the most sensitive part. Provided the model was successfully validated with the healthy turbine, it can be used for failure detection in case the failure is detected ahead of its occurrence.
4 Gearbox Model

As shown in chapter 2, gearboxes are among turbine’s most critical components in terms of reliability. Therefore, successful gearbox condition monitoring can be beneficial for turbine operators. The existing model presented in chapter 2.5.1 was able to predict a gearbox bearing failure in advance. However, this model was not directly applicable to the turbines of Stena Renewables because input parameters are required, which were not available in their SCADA-data system. Thus the current model was modified and further developed accordingly. Its development and the application are presented in the following sections.

4.1 Model Development and Training

In the previous chapter (compare chapter 3) the general model development process, which is followed in the development of all subsequently presented models, was introduced. In order to correctly interpret the results of the case studies presented later on, it is necessary to specify the individual model configurations, which is the subject of the following subchapters. Firstly, the selected input and target parameters will be discussed. Then, the conducted data processing will be described in detail. Finally, after presenting its validation results, the developed gearbox model will be applied to different study cases in chapter 4.3.

4.1.1 Parameter Selection

The selection of input and output parameters plays a crucial role in the development of the ANN as well as the anomaly detection approach. As a first step the available SCADA-data sets where screened to get an overview of the available parameters. It was realized that not all parameters used in the presented gearbox model (compare chapter 2.5) were available and thus a new input/output selection process had to be conducted. Here, the correlations between the parameters as well as their technical relations were taken into account (compare chapter 3.2). The aim of the iterative selection process was to achieve high model accuracy in combination with the models capability to successfully detect gearbox failures. These requirements lead to the final parameter configuration, which can be seen in Figure 4-1. Moreover, the following subsections describe the development process in detail.
Figure 4-1: Visualization of final gearbox model parameter configuration with inputs (blue) and targets (violet)

Target Parameters

When monitoring the condition of a gearbox, it is an effective way to monitor its bearings since the majority of gearbox failures actually come along bearing problems [8]. Even if the failure is not caused by the bearing itself, malfunctions in other gearbox components often manifest themselves as bearing damages and thus can be detected indirectly [9].

The gear oil acts as lubricant and cooling medium for gears and bearings. This means that malfunctions which are causing an increased friction between the gear wheels or the bearings will affect the gear oil temperature. Thus, selecting this parameter as a target is well suited for gearbox condition monitoring. This is why the gear oil temperature is typically recorded and automatically compared to a preset threshold within the SCADA alarm system. The advanced monitoring method developed within this thesis aims to expand the potential of this approach.

The SCADA data sets of the WT's owned and operated by Stena Renewables contain two gearbox related parameters and both were selected as input parameters: The temperature of the high speed shaft bearing and the gear oil temperature each averaged over 10 minute intervals with a resolution of 1 °C steps.

Input Parameters

The study on which parameters mainly influence the selected target parameters has revealed that high power output and rpm are likely to come with high bearing tempera-
tures. Figure 4-2 visualizes this relationship. Analogue behavior can be observed for the gear oil temperature.

![Figure 4-2: Gear bearing temperature depending on power output and rotor rpm](image)

The correlation analysis shows that there is indeed a high correlation between rotor rpm as well as power production and the target temperatures (compare Figure 4-3). The rotor rpm correlation, averaged over more than 10 turbine years, was higher than 0.8 for both target parameters and higher than 0.75 for the power output. Considering this fact, it was concluded that these are good indicators for the mechanical loading of the gearbox. For that reason they were selected as input parameters for both models.

![Figure 4-3: Gearbox related parameter correlations averaged over more than 10 healthy turbine years](image)
Figure 4-3 also shows that both target parameters are highly correlated. This suggests that using one as an input to model the other might improve the models quality, since the gear oil temperature gives a clear indication of the bearing temperature and vice versa. A parameter study, where different input configurations were investigated confirmed that. Figure 4-4 shows the relative performance of the gear bearing model averaged over 20 model trainings for different input configurations.

![Figure 4-4](image.png)

**Figure 4-4:** Relative performance gear bearing model based on the MSE for different model input configurations and indication of the model’s anomaly detection ability.

However, it is not necessarily the most accurate model that is best suited for failure detection, as Figure 4-4 shows. Even though the configuration including both gear-related parameters shows by far the best performance, it is not able to detect a gearbox failure. Through their high correlation the parameters are getting highly weighted during model training and therefore strongly influence the model output. In case of a failure both temperatures increase resulting in a 'correct' prediction of the abnormally high target temperature. Due to this behavior, the parameters cannot be used as inputs for one and another.

For the selection of the remaining input parameters the physical system behind the statistical numbers was taken into account. Nacelle and ambient temperature correlate only little with the target parameters (compare Figure 4-3), which might lead to the conclusion that they are not important for successful model application. Indeed, they seem not to contribute very much to the model’s accuracy but anyway they are of big importance for anomaly detection. Successful failure detection was possible only if both temperatures were used as model inputs (compare Figure 4-4). The reason is that all four tem-
temperatures, gear bearing, gear oil, nacelle and ambient temperature are coupled via heat exchangers and cooling fans. In addition, nacelle and ambient temperature are highly correlated (compare Figure 4-3). Therefore, a failure, which results in an increased friction within the gearbox, does not necessarily cause extreme temperatures within the gearbox. The cooling mechanisms can keep the temperatures in the regular range, but this will then disturb the normal association between nacelle and ambient temperature. It was found to be essential to include both measurements as inputs into the model.

Since the gear bearing and the gear oil temperature are closely related, the respective analysis for the gear oil model showed qualitatively identical results. Thus, the input configuration marked in Figure 4-4 was chosen for both models.

4.1.2 Data Pre-Processing

After parameter selection the data pre-processing is the next step to discuss. Several filter methods have been developed for the gearbox model to remove erroneous data from the training set and prevent false alarms due to signal errors during application stage. During the filtering process approximately 20-25 % of the data points are deleted from the selected training data set. Most of the data loss is normally caused by the general boundary filter (GBF). The GBF is responsible for removing abnormally high and low values caused by communication errors as well as the data set recorded during turbine standstill, when the turbine is not in operation. Table 4-1 gives an overview of the filters and their application.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Data Losses</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Missing Filter</td>
<td>Filter missing values</td>
<td>~ 1-5 %</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Boundary Filter</td>
<td>Filter high/low values and standstill data</td>
<td>~ 10-20 %</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Cluster Filter</td>
<td>Removes abnormal data from training set</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skip Filter</td>
<td>Filter values after missing</td>
<td>~ 1-2 %</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2-1 visualizes their impact on the training data set. Looking at Figure 2-1 it has to be noted that the skip filter does not cause a gap in the low range of the power curve. This is just a visual impression since the filter data points were added to the plot after the remaining data point and thus partially cover them. In the following paragraphs the purpose, conditions and results of the individual filters are explained in detail.
General Missing Filter

Since the model cannot be either trained or applied with incomplete data sets, the data points had to be neglected whenever one of the parameters used by the model was missing. Therefore, the general missing filter screens the subsets $x_t$ consisting of all relevant input and target parameters $x_i$ of all time steps $t$ for missing values and deletes the whole subset from the training or application data set if one of the parameters is not recorded (compare equation 4-1).

$$\text{delete } x_t \text{ if } \{x_t | x_{ti} = \text{not available}\} \quad (4-1)$$

General Boundary Filter

SCADA communication problems and sensor malfunctions can lead to unreasonable values reported by the SCADA system. The GBF aims to exclude these values from the training data set as well as from the application data set, since wrongly reported parameters should not lead to an alarm of the normal behavior model. Therefore, the relevant parameters are filtered in case they exceed the operational boundaries specified in the manufacturer’s technical documentation (compare equation 4-2).

$$\text{delete } x_t \text{ if } \{(x_t | x_{ti} > \text{upper bound } x_i) \text{ or } (x_{ti} < \text{lower bound } x_i)\} \quad (4-2)$$

Furthermore, the filtering of data subsets with a turbine production below zero guarantees that only data sets which represent the turbine during operation are taken into account. The GBF typically excludes around 10 to 20% of the initial data values, most of them based on the power filtering. The boundaries applied within this thesis are presented in in Table 4-2 and Figure 4-6 visualizes its application to a data set. It can be seen that mainly data points with a negative production are filtered.
Table 4-2: GBF-boundaries for parameters of the gearbox model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gearbox Parameters</th>
<th>Rated Value</th>
<th>Lower Bound</th>
<th>Upper Bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grid Production Power Avg.</td>
<td>[kW] 2000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor RPM Avg.</td>
<td>[rpm] 16.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear Bearing Temp. Avg.</td>
<td>[°C]</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear Oil Temp. Avg.</td>
<td>[°C]</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nacelle Temp. Avg.</td>
<td>[°C]</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Temp. Avg.</td>
<td>[°C]</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4-6: Visualization of the General Boundary Filter

General Cluster Filter

The training data sets for the normal behavior models consist of large spreadsheets containing operational data records of multiple months. Even though there was no failure documented for a specific turbine, it cannot be excluded that minor malfunctions have left their traces in the SCADA data. Since these data points do not represent normal behavior, they should be excluded from the training data. Removing these outliers from the training set can improve a model significantly, if the data is not characteristic for the problem domain [26]. Therefore, a filtering method introduced in [40] was applied in this thesis. There, outliers in the training data set are found and filtered by clustering the input data and using the MHD to define outliers.

Firstly, every training data subset is assigned to one of the clusters based on its average wind speed, ambient temperature and pitch angle (compare Table 4-3). This is conducted using MATLAB’s `clusterdata` function, which bundles data points based on their
relative Euclidean distance. Afterwards the multidimensional MHD of each subset from the cluster center is calculated (compare 2.4.3). The eight parameters taken into account can be found in Table 4-3 and Figure 4-7 visualizes the effect of the cluster based filtering.

Table 4-3: Specification of parameters for clustering of data set and parameters used for filtering with MHD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster Parameters</th>
<th>Filtering Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wind speed avg.</td>
<td>Power output avg./std.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient temperature avg.</td>
<td>Wind speed avg./std.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch angle avg.</td>
<td>Pitch angle avg./std.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rotor RPM avg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ambient temperature avg.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 4-7: Visualization of the General Cluster Filter](image)

In some of the data sets the pitch angle information was incomplete. In that case these values were not taken into account in the filtering approach and the number of clusters was reduced to 12 following the logic of [40]. Sufficient filtering results were achieved.

Finally, the distances of all data points from their cluster centers are compared and the subsets which show the top 2.5 % MHDs are considered to be outliers and are consequently removed from the data set. The condition for deleting a subset \( x_t \) is presented in equation 4-3, where \( MHD_{\alpha} \) represents the MHD of the subset from the cluster center.
and $CDF_{MHD_{xt}}$ the clusters cumulative distribution function of the MHDs, assuming a log logistic distribution.

$$\text{delete } x_t \text{ if } \{MHD_{xt} > CDF_{MHD_{xt}}(0.975)\} \quad (4-3)$$

With the help of this method abnormal data points hidden in the large training data set can effectively be identified and removed which leads to an improvement of model performance.

**Skip Filter**

When evaluating the ANN’s abilities to model the target temperatures, it has been observed that comparably big prediction errors occurred after periods where data is missing for a longer time. These data gaps can be caused either by turbine downtimes or by data filtering. However, during the first few measurements after these periods the model usually overestimated the target temperatures (compare Figure 4-8) resulting in false alarms during the application stage.

*Figure 4-8: Temperature overestimation after large data gaps*

The explanation for this phenomenon is based on the fact that all data is presented to the ANN as continuous measurements and the ANN has no indicator for the data interruptions. During the times where data is missing, the turbine is most likely out of operation and the gearbox substructures cool down over time. The turbine power output and RPM as input parameters are the model’s only indicators for the gearbox loading. In case production ramps up, the consequent temperature increase in gearbox components will follow with a delay, due to the thermal inertia of the gearbox subsystems. This has two negative effects on the model. Since the training algorithm tries to fit the training targets
as good as possible, the combination of these low temperatures and high loading indicators lead to a performance decrease in form of a slight temperature underestimation during regular operation. Moreover, the model is still not able to predict the low temperatures after turbine standstill and thus it overestimates the temperatures after these inactive periods.

As a solution to this problem a dual approach has been followed. On one hand, the TSF has been developed, which excludes the after downtime data from training and application process. This results in a better performing ANN and prevents false alarms during application stage. The filter was tuned due to analysis of the model output, which revealed that the ANN had difficulties to model the target temperatures correctly mainly during the hour following a long downtime (see Figure 4-8). Afterwards the temperatures reached the normal operation range again and the model error returned to a tolerable level. Moreover, it was decided to apply the filter only in case there was not a single measurement for a period of at least three consecutive hours. Figure 4-9 visualizes the effect of the TSF. The application resulted in a significant overall performance increase (compare Figure 4-10).

![Figure 4-9: Visualization of the Skip Filter](image)

On the other hand, an indicator for discontinuous input data has been introduced and the performance of the ANN model has been optimized in an iterative study. The general idea is to introduce an additional input signal to the ANN model. This value of the input signal is dependent on the length of missing data before the current data point. This is conducted for both the training and the application data set. For the optimization different configurations were run for ten times each and the performance was documented (compare Figure 4-10).
Figure 4-10: Performance for different configurations for the skip filter and parameter

The best average and most consistent performance were achieved with the following skip parameter configuration. The parameter was chosen to be:

- zero, if there is no gap and the previous data point is available
- one, if only the previous data point is missing which corresponds to a gap of 10 minutes
- two in case that previous to the data point there was a gap of at least three consecutive hours without an available measurement

The output analysis as well as the skip parameter optimization came to qualitatively identical results for both target parameters. This is why the both methods are applied with the same configuration to the gear bearing temperature as well as the gear oil temperature model.

4.2 Validation and Comparison

Healthy Turbine

For model development and verification the model with its presented configurations was applied to one year of operational data from a turbine without any reported failures. Figure 4-11 shows the model output in comparison to the measured values for a period of three days. It can be observed that both models are able to predict the temperatures accurately.
The ANNs were able to model the gear bearing temperature with an average MSE/MAE of 0.732/0.556 and the gear oil temperature with an average MSE/MAE of 0.956/0.580, all measures in °C. Thus, a higher model accuracy was achieved than in comparable studies, where bearing temperatures in WTs where modelled using ANNs (compare Table 4-4). The reasons for the increased accuracy lay mainly the data pre-processing and the data quality.

Table 4-4: Model performance for healthy turbine application averaged over 20 ANNs in comparison with literature values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>MAE</th>
<th>MSE</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gear Bearing Model Thesis</td>
<td>model with presented configuration</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear Oil Model Thesis</td>
<td>model with presented configuration</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear Bearing Model Zaher</td>
<td>ANN based gear bearing temperature modelling</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.51*</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear Oil Model Zaher</td>
<td>ANN based gear oil temperature modelling</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.88*</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generator Bearing Kusiak</td>
<td>ANN based generator bearing temperature modelling</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Moreover, the histogram of Figure 4-12 shows that the errors during model training are normally distributed. Hence, it was concluded, that the errors are truly random and do not show a trend or are shifted by a functional shortcoming of the model itself. Thus, the model was found to be functionally adequate. Also, the comparison between the error during model training for the training, test and validation set do not show significant differences and therefore it can be deduced that no overfitting occurred and the model shows satisfactory generalization abilities.

![Training error histogram (100 bins) for the bearing temperature (left) and the gear oil temperature (right) model](image)

*Figure 4-12: Training error histogram (100 bins) for the bearing temperature (left) and the gear oil temperature (right) model*

The last and from an anomaly detection perspective most important condition was met as well: the model did not indicate any malfunctions for the applied period. The MHD-measure never exceeds the calculated threshold value (compare Figure 4-14a/b). Hence, it can be concluded that alarms will be caused by abnormal turbine behavior rather than by model errors. Moreover, the anomaly detection approach indicates that the two gear-
related SCADA alarms do not reflect serious gearbox damages, since there is no model alarm.

![Figure 4-14b: Gear oil anomaly detection for healthy turbine](image)

**Faulty Turbine**

Furthermore, the model was applied to a turbine with reported gearbox problems to validate the anomaly detection abilities. Therefore, the turbine which is presented as study case in [4] was selected since the cause of failure events is well documented and understood. In this particular turbine a failure in the low speed shaft occurred. Temperature measurements for the damaged bearing, the high speed shaft bearing and the gear oil are available. With a slightly less aggressive filtering approach due to low data availability around the failure the results listed in Table 4-6 were achieved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LSS-Bearing Model</th>
<th>Vibration CM-system</th>
<th>Inspection</th>
<th>HSS-Bearing Model</th>
<th>Gear Oil Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The results confirm the model’s ability to detect failures in advance. The alarm was issued the same day the model in [4] detected the failure. Moreover, the importance of measurements from the damaged component itself can be seen. The models for HSS Bearing and Gear oil did not indicate an error, which enables one to localize the problem in the gearbox. However, all three models detected the final breakdown of the gearbox.
4.3  Model Application

This section presents the application of the proposed ANN model to data of turbines operated by Stena Renewables. For the selected turbines gearbox problems were recorded and thus they are well suited to investigate the model’s failure detection ability. Table 4-6 summarizes the model configuration and training specifications used for the anomaly detection.

Table 4-6: Summary of gearbox model specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NARX ANN Configuration</th>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Hidden</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activation Function</td>
<td>Sigmoid</td>
<td>Threshold</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurons</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inputs</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>Nacelle Temperature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rotor RPM</td>
<td>Ambient Temperature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs</td>
<td>Gear HSS Bearing &amp; Gear Oil Temperature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Training and Data Pre-processing

| Training Algorithm | Levenberg-Marquard |
| Training Period    | 1 failure free year of data |
| Filter Training Data | Boundary Filter Cluster Filter Skip Filter |
| Training Philosophy | 100x best of 3 trainings |

Application and Anomaly Detection

| MHD Averaging | Over one day |
| Data Sufficiency Limit | At least 6h of data over one day |

4.3.1  Gearbox Study Case 1

Case Description

In this particular turbine, which was commissioned in 2008, an IMS-bearing failure resulted in a complete gearbox failure and the gearbox had to be replaced consequently. The bearing failure was first discovered during an inspection which was conducted due to an alarm of the vibration system on the 25\textsuperscript{th} of April 2014. A crack on the inner ring of the IMS-bearing was discovered. Also, beginning of spalling was reported and a bearing replacement was recommended. However, it was decided to further operate the turbine, since long lead times would have caused production losses. The turbine was operated for more than one more month with the damaged bearing until the complete gearbox failed. Turbine operation was stopped on the 22\textsuperscript{nd} of May and the gearbox replacement was conducted from the 3\textsuperscript{rd} of June on.
Anomaly Detection

In Figure 4-15 the measured temperature values versus the ANN output for the gear bearing and the gear oil are presented for the period previous to the failures. The measured temperature does not show abnormally high values until its steep increase immediately before the complete gearbox failure. It can be observed that both models are able to predict the development of the temperatures quite accurately with two exceptions (marked in Figure 4-15). Around the 20th of March both models over-predict the temperatures for a short period and the extremely high temperatures shortly before the gearbox failure are not modelled correctly. The temperatures deviations suggest that there are periods where the turbine is not operating normally.

![Gear Bearing Model](image)

*Figure 4-15: Modelled and measured temperatures before gearbox failure*

Figure 4-16 shows the MHD-measures versus the calculated threshold in the months ahead of the gearbox failure. It can be observed that both models trigger an alarm on the 20th March due to the overvaluation. Around the period where the IMS-problems are documented neither the bearing model nor the oil model triggers an alarm. The period of the inspection can be identified due to the missing data at the end of April. The final model alarm occurred on the 22nd of May, the day when the turbine was taken out of operation. A closer look into the modelled versus the measured temperatures show, that
there is a sudden increase of both measured temperatures causing a significant deviation between the modeled and measured parameters, which manifest themselves as high MHD-values. That day also multiple gearbox-related SCADA-alarms were triggered, which was probably the reason for the turbine shutdown.

![Figure 4-16: Anomaly detection of both models before gearbox failure](image)

**Initial Alarm Analysis**

The anomaly detection plots (Figure 4-16) show a clear violation of the threshold at the end of March. As sudden as the increase occurs on the 20\(^{th}\) of March it decreases again only one day later and the following period does not show any anomalies till the IMS-bearing was discovered. To find the explanation of the high MHD value, a closer look on the modelled versus the measured gear bearing and gear oil temperatures is helpful. Figure 4-17 shows that both models overestimate the target values noticeably which causes the threshold violation.
Figure 4-17: Output versus measured temperatures during the period of model alarm

The reason for this model behavior was found in the model Input and the SCADA records during that period. It was discovered that the turbine showed a very volatile operation behavior. Within a period of 30 minutes the turbine shuts down very suddenly and then ramps up production to the rated level again (compare Figure 4-18). This behavior is observed multiple times.

Figure 4-18: Rotor RPM and power input signals and SCADA alarms during model alarm
A look into the SCADA records revealed that there were more than ten alarms caused by very high temperatures in the converter, which lead to the automatic turbine shut-downs. After a few minutes, when the temperatures returned to an acceptable level, turbine operation was started again until the high temperatures occurred again. This behavior was repeated every two hours until the operation was manually stopped by the operator. The models are not able to model predict their target parameters accurately under these circumstances, since the models weren’t trained for these abnormal input signal behavior.

Therefore, it was concluded that the initial alarm was not related to the later failure of the IMS-bearing, even though it cannot be excluded that the volatile behavior contributed to the bearing degradation. Taking the absence of gear-related SCADA-alarms and the transformer alarms into account, the operator can conclude that the alarm of the gearbox model was not connected to the gearbox itself. In fact, the model might draw an operator’s attention to the turbine and the manual operation stop with an inspection could have been conducted earlier.

**Summary and Conclusion**

Table 4-7 summarizes the failure description and the model’s anomaly detection results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Reports SC01</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25.04.2014</td>
<td>Vibration-System</td>
<td>CMS-alarm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.04.2014</td>
<td>Inspection</td>
<td>IMS-bearing damage discovered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.05.2014</td>
<td>SCADA alarm</td>
<td>Turbine operation stopped</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.06.2014</td>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>Gearbox replacement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anomaly Detection SC01</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20.03.2014</td>
<td>Bearing Model</td>
<td>Alarm caused by abnormal operation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.03.2014</td>
<td>Oil Model</td>
<td>Alarm caused by abnormal operation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.05.2014</td>
<td>SCADA</td>
<td>Alarm caused by high temperatures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.05.2014</td>
<td>Bearing Model</td>
<td>Alarm caused by high temperatures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.05.2014</td>
<td>Oil Model</td>
<td>Alarm caused by high temperatures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the presented study case the gearbox model issued an alarm due to abnormal turbine operation and finally before the complete breakdown of the gearbox. The IMS-bearing malfunction itself was not detected. It is concluded, that the bearing problems didn’t affect any of the monitored variables significantly enough to allow detection. However, the model was able to detect the severe damage of the gearbox that resulted from the IMS-bearing failure and gives an additional indicator to pay increased attention to the multiple SCADA-alarms that were triggered that day.
4.3.2 Gearbox Study Case 2

Case Description

The turbine of this study case was installed in November 2006. After 5 years of operation, from the 19th November 2011 on, the turbine was standing still although high wind speeds were measured on site. An inspection two days later revealed that the whole gearbox was completely stuck and the gearbox was completely broken. The gear oil, which was changed only 8 days before, showed severe signs of degradation. The gear wheels were damaged, the rear IMS bearing crashed and debris with tooth-pieces was found in the gearbox. The complete gearbox had to be changed in consequence.

Anomaly Detection

The measured temperatures of the gear bearing and the gear oil show similar behavior like in the previous study case. Exceptionally high temperatures occur only right before the complete gearbox breakdown. However, the comparison with the output of the normal behavior models shows a difference. The model output starts deviating from the measurements from the mid of September on (compare Figure 4-19). Both models estimate the temperatures lower than measured. The difference can be observed more clearly for the gear oil model than for the gear bearing model. In addition, the very high temperatures in the last two days are not modelled as normal behavior by the ANNs.

![Figure 4-19: Modelled and measured temperatures before gearbox failure](image)

The anomaly detection methodology helps to judge these deviations. A constantly increasing MHD measure can be observed for both models, caused by an increasing devi-
Ation between normal behavior model output and measurement. This development indicates a malfunction with a gradually increasing influence on the gearbox-system. This development peaks in threshold violations in both models. The gear oil model shows the first threshold violation on the 13th of September and the gear bearing model on the 05th of October. From October on the MHD measure lay above the threshold for most of the days in both models. Even though there is no gear-related SCADA-alarm, such model results should be taken serious and a detailed inspection should be initiated. Two days ahead of the failures the first SCADA-alarms occur together with extremely high MHD-measures indicating the near complete breakdown of the gearbox. However, the reasons for the deviation between the ANN output and the temperature measurement have to be analyzed before a final conclusion can be derived from the results.

![Anomaly detection of both models before gearbox failure in SC02](image)

**Figure 4-20: Anomaly detection of both models before gearbox failure in SC02**

**Anomaly Detection Analysis**

One potential source for ANN errors is an insufficient range of the training data. Then the ANN would not be able to predict accurately for input data exceeding the range for which it was trained. Such a situation would result in increased errors in the model and subsequently a false alarm. It is important to exclude the possibility that the temperature under estimation presented in Figure 4-18 is not due to short comings in the ANN model. Therefore, the input signals provided to the ANN model at application stage are compared to the range of input signals provided during training. In Figure 4-21 all four input signals are plotted against their training minimums and maximums.
It can be observed, that only the rotor RPM reaches values below its training minimum, but only for a few instances and in times when the model was actually not triggering alarms. Therefore it is concluded that the training data covered a sufficient range. Moreover, no strange operation behavior, as for example observed in gearbox study case 1, occurred during the condition monitoring period. It is concluded that the model alarms are indeed triggered by a malfunction in the gearbox, which lead to a system imbalance which the model detected successfully. It is assumed that the gearbox malfunction resulted in an increased friction and heat production in the gearbox. An increased activity of the cooling system would have been able to keep the temperatures within the gearbox in an acceptable range but has potentially disturbed the relation between the highly correlated nacelle and ambient temperature, since the failure was only detectable in case both parameters were selected as ANN inputs.

**Summary and Conclusion**

In gearbox study case two a successful application of the developed gearbox-model was demonstrated. This information is especially valuable, since the turbine was equipped with a vibration CM system which was not able to detect the malfunction at all. Also,
the SCADA alarms were triggered only two days before the breakdown, due to the extreme temperatures which occurred in the gearbox by that time. The model triggered the first alarm more than two months before the final gearbox collapsed, sending early signals of a malfunction. A detailed inspection at that time could have limited the failure propagation which resulted in the final gearbox breakdown. Table 4-7 summarizes the failure description and the model’s anomaly detection results:

Table 4-8: Summary of gearbox study case two

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19.11.2014</td>
<td>Turbine stuck</td>
<td>Turbine operation stopped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.11.2014</td>
<td>Inspection</td>
<td>Gearbox replacement necessary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Anomaly Detection SC02

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13.09.2014</td>
<td>Oil Model</td>
<td>First malfunction alarm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.10.2014</td>
<td>Bearing Model</td>
<td>First malfunction alarm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.11.2014</td>
<td>SCADA</td>
<td>Alarms caused by high temperatures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4 Discussion

The development and application of an ANN based normal behavior model for gear box condition monitoring was presented in this chapter. In addition to the reported information, the discussion of experiences, challenges and future work will conclude this chapter.

The presented ANNs showed good performance when modelling the target parameters. This was achieved by selecting appropriate model input parameters, suitable training configuration, quality of the provided data and last but not least the developed data preprocessing methods. The data processing can be a work intensive task, but contributes significantly to the models accuracy by identifying the data points which the ANN has problems to model and excluding them from the data set with the help of systematic rules. When it comes to failure detection based on ANN outputs, data pre-processing is a sensitive issue. Through a too aggressive approach relevant data can be deleted from the training set and potentially detectable data from the application set. An approach which is too lose, on the other hand, will have a negative impact on the models performance and make failure detection more difficult. It has to be pointed out that it can be a decisive factor for failure detection with ANN based normal behavior models.

Although efforts were made to improve the data pre-processing, not all investigated gearbox problem could be detected. From six available complete data sets only the two
presented cases showed successful failure detection. Table 4-9 gives an overview of the investigated failures and the results.

Table 4-9: Overview over investigated gearbox study cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Validation Healthy</th>
<th>Validation Faulty</th>
<th>SC01/1</th>
<th>SC01/2</th>
<th>SC02</th>
<th>SC03</th>
<th>SC04</th>
<th>SC05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSSB Model</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSSB model</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIL model</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table 4-9 it can be concluded, that the model can only detect severe gearbox failures and failures in components where an actual temperature measurement is available. This brings us to the next decisive factor for successful failure detection - the availability of suitable measurements. The presented gearbox model used a set of standard variables which are available in almost all SCADA systems of turbines with gearboxes. The model quality in terms of failure detection can be improved if more of the subcomponents were covered by SCADA measurements. This is confirmed by the model verification with a turbine with failure in the LSS bearing. In that case the HSS bearing model and gear oil model were not able to detect the LSS bearing failure, which however, was detected by the LSS bearing model (compare Table 4-9). In addition, measurements regarding the gearbox cooling system could improve the modelling of gearbox component temperatures. A more detailed thermal gearbox model can be developed using information like the flow rate of cooling mediums or fan activity. With such a detailed model it might be possible to detect failure in other parts of the gearbox via the cooling medium temperature.

On the other hand, study case two showed that the model can be very beneficial for operators. A severe gearbox failure was detected approximately two months in advance without any additional condition monitoring equipment. Thus SCADA data analysis can be a cost effective way to complement existing CM methods like vibration monitoring.
5  Power Model

In the previous chapters the anomaly detection methodology for SCADA-based condition monitoring in WTGs was introduced and applied to the gearbox. In the gearbox model component-related, local variables were monitored. In this chapter the application of the approach to a global variable, the turbines’ power production, is investigated to draw conclusions regarding system conditions. This allows a bigger spectrum of potentially detectable failures but comes with challenges in identification of their source. The model development and characteristics are described as well as the application to different failure cases and their evaluation. Challenges and chances of the approach are presented.

5.1  Model Development and Training

Modelling the power output comes with different challenges compared to the temperature predictions. This applies to the development of the ANN as well as the anomaly detection approach. In contrast to the temperatures modelled in the gearbox investigation a turbines power production is a very volatile measure and the SCADA resolution of 10 minute average values is rather low to model such a quick changing parameter. This has a major impact on the input parameter selection of the ANN.

In the development of the power model a dual approach was followed. On one hand the results presented in [40] were taken into account. There, ANN’s were optimized to model a turbines output as accurate as possible. The study achieved good results and within this thesis the utilization of the approach for anomaly detection is investigated. On the other hand, the necessary adjustments, which result from the different application purpose, were conducted following the general model development approach described in chapter 3. The process is described in the following sections.

5.1.1  Parameter Selection

As mentioned above, the target parameter of the model is the turbine’s power output. Input parameter selection was conducted based on [40]. The wind speed is essential since it defines the energy that can be converted into electricity. In addition, it was reported that adding the standard deviation of the wind speed as an input improves the model significantly. In some data sets there was no wind speed standard deviation available. In those cases the wind speed minimum and maximum were used as input variables as a substitute. In addition, the ambient temperature was taken as an input parameter since it influences the air density and therefore the convertible energy at a cer-
tain wind speed. Finally the nacelle direction can influence the power production through potential obstacles and is thus selected as last input [41]. The system frequency, which was also suggested as an input in [40], was not taken into account there was no evidence of a significant model improvement. Figure 5-1 visualizes the final parameter configuration of the power model.

Figure 5-1: Visualization of final power model parameter configuration with inputs (blue) and targets (violet)

5.1.2 Data Pre-Processing

For the power model an appropriate data processing methodology was investigated. Similar approaches like for the gearbox model were found to be suitable, but most of them had to be modified as described in the following paragraphs. For a detailed presentation of their development, please refer to chapter 4.1.2. Table 5-1 gives an overview of the applied filters.

Table 5-1: Overview of filters of the power model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Data Losses</th>
<th>Application TR Set</th>
<th>Application APP Set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Missing Filter</td>
<td>Filter missing values</td>
<td>10-50 %</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Boundary Filter</td>
<td>Filter high/low values and standstill data</td>
<td>5-20 %</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtailment Filter</td>
<td>Filter curtailment data form training set</td>
<td>&lt; 1 %</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skip Filter</td>
<td>Filter values after missing</td>
<td>1-5 %</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Cluster Filter</td>
<td>Removes abnormal data from training set</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**General Missing Filter**

For the power model investigations data subsets, where one or more of the required parameters were missing, were deleted from the training and application data. Since the power model was applied to turbines from different manufactures the amount of data losses differed significantly from turbine to turbine, depending on the reliability of the corresponding SCADA system. Some systems reported only about half of the chosen period correctly. However, ANN training was conducted with sufficient results, if the available complete data sets covered the whole range of the application data.

**General Boundary Filter**

In analogy to the gearbox model a general boundary filter was applied to exclude SCADA-communication errors form the data sets as well as ensure that the power is only modelled for time steps where the turbine is actually in operation. The selected boundary values are shown in Table 5-2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gearbox Parameters</th>
<th>Lower Bound</th>
<th>Upper Bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grid Production Power Avg.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( P_{\text{rated}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Speed Avg.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Speed Std.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Temp. Avg.</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nacelle Direction</td>
<td>-360/-720</td>
<td>360/720</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Curtailment Filter**

The most important input parameter for the power model is the ambient wind speed. Based on this measure, accompanied by the other inputs, the model is able to estimate the turbine’s power production. In some cases, however, the turbine output is deliberately limited by the operator, for example due to grid requirements. Consequently, it is not the wind alone that determines the turbine’s output and a maximum value of power production is set. Since the curtailment data-points show a power output which is below the normal power output they would decrease the models quality in normal operation prediction and are thus removed from the training data set, using the curtailment filter. Consequently, curtailment data also has to be removed from the application data-set to avoid false model alarms (compare 5.1.3). In both cases this is done by using the curtailment indicator if available. In case this data was part of the SCADA-data set, curtailment data was not excluded. Figure 5-2 visualizes the data points excluded from the training data set with the help of the curtailment filter.
Cluster Filter

The cluster filter was originally introduced in [40] to remove data which was affected by minor malfunctions from the data set (compare 4.1.2). The filter has proven to increase the model’s accuracy and is used for the power model as well.

Skip Filter

The skip filter presented in chapter 4.1.2 was originally developed to take the thermal inertia and therefore the slower developing temperature into account. However, it was realized that a modified version is able to improve the power model as well. Since the SCADA systems shows only 10 minute average values for the power output, the model had problems to predict the values in the time step before turbine operation was stopped, mainly due to low wind speeds or maintenance. The model has no indication at what time within these 10 minutes interval the turbine shut down exactly and thus these time steps were prone to show larger errors than usual (compare Figure 5-3). To exclude the possibility that these prediction error cause alarms during anomaly detection stage, one subset ahead to a shutdown of more than 30 minutes and one subset afterwards were deleted.
5.1.3 Data post-processing

In contrast to the gearbox model, post processing was conducted for the power model. This is possible because the range of a turbine’s power output is defined much more clearly than component temperatures. During condition monitoring stage significant deviation between the ANN’s output and the actual turbine power production will lead to alarms of the model. But this would be the case when, for example, curtailment is conducted. By the definition of curtailment, the power output is deliberately limited even though the turbine would produce more in normal operation. Since the power model has no indicator of such an action it would over-estimate the power output for these occasions and the model would erroneously trigger alarms. Therefore, the calculated model output was set to the power limitation, which was available for some turbines, in case it was exceeding this externally set limit. As a result, the output was also automatically set to the rated power output in case it exceeded the same which resulted in a performance improvement.

5.1.4 Model Training

The data situation for the non-gearbox turbines was less comfortable and not always data for 18 months (one year for training plus 6 months before failure occurrence) in advance to a failure was available. In those cases as much data as available ahead of the failure was taken for training. However, it was ensured that the training data was never closer than 4 months before the failure date to make sure the failure event is not part of the training data.
5.2 Validation and Comparison

The first validation of the model with the failure free turbine which is sited in Sweden showed surprising results. Even though the training error was normally distributed and model performance was comparable to those found in literature (compare Table 5-3), there were multiple threshold violations observed for the anomaly detection validation. It was realized that all of those violations occurred during winter months. A comparison between the actually measured power and the model prediction showed a significant over-estimation during these periods. It was noticed that this behavior occurred during cold periods after the turbine was standing still for a while. Figure 5-4 shows an example for this behavior. The modelled power versus the measured power for a cold period in February is displayed. It can be seen that there was a standstill period of more than 24 h with temperatures between -5 °C and 0 °C. When production ramps up after this period, there is a clear difference between the measured and the modeled power. The reason for this discrepancy is shown on the right side of Figure 5-4. The black training data does not cover the range of the application data, although it contains the data set of one full year of operation. It is concluded that the relation between the wind speed and power produced which the model has learned during the training is disturbed due to icing. This disturbance leads to consequent alarms in the model.

Based on these observations it was assumed that the deviation between the model output and the measured power was due to icing in the turbines. The investigation of all available data sets showed that this phenomenon occurred only on Swedish turbines during winter, which confirmed the icing-assumption. Based on these findings, the power curves of the Swedish turbines were analyzed and a clear difference between the summer and the winter power curve can be observed (compare Figure 5-5 left). The low
power production occurs only during periods with low temperatures even though low temperatures should come with higher production due to increased air density (compare Figure 5-5- right)

Figure 5-5: Shift of power curve with seasons (left) towards less efficient power production with lower temperatures (right).

This observation has consequences for the application of the power model to turbines in locations with a risk of icing. It was realized that in order to construct a reliable model for turbine power it is important to ensure that the abnormal operating data due to icing is removed from the training as well as the application data set. In order to validate the model, it was applied for the period of summer months only, since the validation turbine without a failure was sited in Sweden. However, the model was trained using the data from one year of operation.

Furthermore, these findings suggest that the power model is well suited for icing detection. Icing is a serious issue for wind turbines, since it can lead to significant power production losses and exceptionally high loads on the structure. Therefore the application of the power model for icing detection is discussed more detailed in chapter 5.4.

**Healthy Turbine**

As justified in the previous paragraphs, the validation was conducted for a healthy turbine, located in Sweden for the months April to October to avoid a distortion of the result by potential icing. The model’s output versus the measured value for a day during this period is shown in Figure 5-6.
The model achieved good results in comparison to similar studies (see Table 5-3). The relative error measures, which are normalized for a 100 kW turbine, show that the model performance is in the range of the literature values. To show the effect of the icing on the model performance, the error measures for the application to the whole year are listed in Table 5-3 as well. Also, model performance of a seasonal approach was investigated. Therefore a model was trained with summer data only and consecutively applied to summer data from the following year. Since this approach reached the best results among the training configurations, the development of seasonal models might be an option for future work in this field.

Table 5-3: Model performance for healthy turbine application averaged over 20 ANNs in comparison with literature values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>MAE unscaled</th>
<th>MAE scaled</th>
<th>MSE scaled</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power Model Thesis</td>
<td>Training whole year Application summer</td>
<td>29.35</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>93.17</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Model Thesis</td>
<td>Training whole year Application whole year</td>
<td>45.05</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>286.84</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Model Thesis</td>
<td>Training summer Application summer</td>
<td>26.73</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>83.65</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Model Karlsson</td>
<td>Best ANN-based power curve modelling</td>
<td>32.23</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>64.8*</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Model Schlechingen</td>
<td>Best ANN-based power curve modelling</td>
<td>32.01</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>105.8*</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*calculated from scaled RMSE

The model’s application to turbines with faults is discussed in the next chapter.
5.3 Model Application

The model presented above is applied to different failure cases. Firstly, the application to the gearbox failure case 02 presented in the previous chapter is conducted. Then the model is applied to turbines which are not sited in Sweden and showed different problems during operation. The aim is to investigate failure detectability from a system point of view with the presented approach and draw conclusions for future applications. The specifications of the power model which was applied in the case studies are summarized in the table below:

*Table 5-4: Summary of power model specifications*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NARX ANN Configuration</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>Hidden</td>
<td>Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation Function</td>
<td>Sigmoid</td>
<td>Threshold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurons</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inputs</td>
<td>Wind Speed Avg.</td>
<td>Nacelle Direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wind Speed Std.</td>
<td>Ambient Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs</td>
<td>Turbine Power Production</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Training and Data Pre-processing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trainings Algorithm</th>
<th>Levenberg-Marquard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trainings Period</td>
<td>data available before failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter Training Data</td>
<td>Boundary Filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skip Filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Philosophy</td>
<td>best of 3 trainings / seasonal if necessary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Application and Anomaly Detection**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MHD Averaging</th>
<th>over one day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data Sufficiency Limit</td>
<td>at least 6h of data over one day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.1 Power Study Case Gearbox Failure

*Case Description*

It was decided to investigate the gear failure case presented as study case two in the previous chapter with the power model. The turbine got stuck after five years of operation and the gearbox was found to be responsible for that breakdown (compare chapter 4.3.2). The impact of the icing risk on the power model application can be studied in this case because the turbine is located in Sweden. Therefore, the model was trained with a full year of healthy data and then applied for the consecutive year, where the failure occurred in the end of November.
Anomaly Detection

The familiar model application diagram shows high MHD values during the cold half of the year. Three threshold violations can be noted in February/March and one just before the gearbox breakdown in November (compare Figure 5-7).

![Graph showing anomaly detection](image)

**Figure 5-7: Power model application for anomaly detection in a gearbox failure case**

To be able to judge these model alarms, the predicted versus the measured power outputs responsible for the threshold violations are analyzed. They are shown in Figure 5-8.

![Graph showing modelled versus measured power](image)

**Figure 5-8: Modelled versus measured power for three threshold violation periods**

It can be observed that for each of the indicated malfunctions the power is predicted below the actual power output. Before the model was applied it was expected that a failure would manifest itself as a power over-estimation because it was assumed that energy which is converted into power under normal conditions is dissipated due to an increased mechanical friction in the gearbox during the failure. However, the result can be explained when looking at the training and the application data-set. Figure 5-9 dis-
plays both data sets. It can be observed, that the training data set covers a larger range of parameters than the application data set. The reason therefore is the variable power curve characteristics for the Swedish turbines. Since the model was trained to fit the magenta input data it predicts on average lower value in comparison to the black application data set which basically represents only the upper part of the training range. This is confirmed by a look on the error distribution. In comparison to the training data set it was shifted towards underestimation (compare Figure 5-10).

![Figure 5-9: Power curve of training and application dataset](image)

![Figure 5-10: Shifted errors during application](image)

**Summary and Conclusion**

This study case illustrates the challenges that come with variable ranges of parameters and the limitations of ANNs. Under these conditions it is very difficult to judge whether an alarm is issued due to abnormal turbine behavior or due to a shift in the range of parameters. A better method needs to be created to analyze the errors due to such skewed input parameters, which change the distribution of the errors from the model.

**5.3.2 Power Study Case Generator Bearing Failure**

**Case Description**

The second study case analyzes two turbines which showed generator bearing failures. Both turbines, direct drive concepts from a different manufacturer, showed both failures in the generator bearing in February 2014. More detailed information, such as the SCADA alarm records for example, were unfortunately not available. Also, there is no generator bearing measurement available. Hence the possibility of detecting irregularities by applying the power model is investigated.
The turbines come with a different SCADA system. On one hand the set of parameters is a bit different and instead of the standard deviation of the ambient wind speed the min and max values were used as a substitute. Moreover, there were many instances where the SCADA data was missing. Therefore, the skip filtering was done slightly less aggressively, removing only the data points directly before and after standstills of more than three hours instead of 30 minutes. Lastly, these turbines do not report a curtailment parameter in their SCADA system. Thus, it was not possible to reliably exclude the curtailment data from the input and target sets. Moreover, the two turbines show consistent power curves throughout the year. The anomaly detection results are presented next.

**Anomaly Detection**

![Anomaly Detection Graph](image)

*Figure 5-11: MHD measure for both turbines until failure occurrence*

The anomaly detection plots look very similar for both turbines. The MHD measure says constantly beneath the threshold until about one month before the failure. Multiple MHD peaks can be observed, each resulting in a threshold violation. It looks like a clear failure indication and the amplitude of the peaks is surprisingly high. A closer look into the data behind the peaks and the measured versus the modelled power output explain the sudden increase of the MHD.
On the left side of Figure 5-12, the training power curve in relation to the data points causing the high MHD values are shown. The location and arrangement of these data points immediately remind of how curtailment manifests itself in the power curve, with distinctly lower power output than the wind conditions would allow. On the right side of Figure 5-12 the real measured turbine output in comparison to the modeled output is presented. This behavior is observed for all the MHD peaks. It strongly suggests that the power output was limited by an external source. Since there is no further information or a curtailment indicator available, it is assumed, that the MHD peaks were caused by manual power limitation.

**Summary and Conclusion**

From the behavior of both turbines it can be concluded that they are located on the same site. The fact that the model alarms were triggered at the same dates confirms this. Since there is no additional information available it can only be speculated whether the near failure forced the operator to limit the power output, the power output limitation caused the bearing failures through the volatile operation mode or both events have nothing to do with each other. However, it is conspicuous that the failures occurred at the same time for both turbines after being exposed to several of those sharp limitations of the power output. To reliably judge these outcomes, further information, for example the SCADA records, are required.

**5.4 Discussion**

The results from model validation and the study cases of Swedish turbines show that the power approach is able to detect icing. Therefore, the training data should cover one whole year, preferably where only little icing occurred. The data points in the training set which are affected by the icing, will be filtered by the cluster filter, since they show
a clearly different relation between wind speed and power output. Consequently, the model will issue alarms in application stage if the power output deviates from the model output due to icing. This can be beneficial for operators, since icing is not easily detectable and can negatively influence a turbine’s performance and health. In fact, these results reveal that the power model is able to detect performance degradations due to changes in the aerodynamic profile of the blade. This could mean that major blade failures, which have a corresponding impact on the aerodynamic blade performance, could be potentially detected by the power model as well. However, this conclusion has to be verified through further investigations.

The application of the power model in study cases has also shown that modelling a global variable for component monitoring comes with several challenges. In general, it can be said that additional information complementing the SCADA data itself is strongly required for an appropriate judgement of the model output. This is also true for the gearbox approach, but tracking the failure origin is much more challenging for the power approach, since there are much more potential sources from different parts of the turbine that can make the measured power output deviate from the modelled one. Without additional information it is very difficult to draw conclusions about the origin of the deviation. Furthermore, the application of the power model to the Swedish turbines showed the shortcomings of the presented method in terms of operating conditions that deviate from the training year.

Also, the applied anomaly detection approach was originally developed to detect irregularities in a local variable on which a failure has a relatively large impact. Consequently the power model is able to detect anomalies with a comparable big influence on the power output, such as for example icing. However, the method seems to be less suited for indicating malfunctions that have only a small impact on power output but could result in detectable energy losses over time. In other words, the power dissipated in a bearing or a gear failure might be too small to be detected with the current approach, especially when taking into consideration that the model’s mean absolute error is around 30 kW (compare chapter 5.2). Therefore, an approach is suggested which identifies deviations in the cumulated energy production over time.

It was shown, that the model’s error is normally distributed in the training year. This means that a sum over all errors will always oscillate around zero in the training year. It is assumed that a failure in one of the turbine components can disturb this balance, leading to a small shift of the failure distribution, which can be made visible by accumulating the errors. They would not sum up to zero but to a value below. However, it has been observed that such a shift can also be caused by operating conditions that deviate from the training year. Thus, a trend in the cumulated error could result from the operating conditions and cannot automatically be interpreted as sign of malfunction. But if
data from multiple turbines from the same site is available, their cumulated errors can be compared, provided that they were trained with data from the same year. If the comparison of the cumulated errors reveals that one turbine behaves differently than the majority it can be concluded that energy is somewhere dissipated, where it shouldn’t and thus an inspection can be initiated. This approach is not applicable in case the power curves of the turbines are influenced by, for example icing, as it was the case for the Swedish turbines. Thus, it was not able to modify this method, but the approach seems promising and could help to identify failures with the help the power model.
6 Closure

This final chapter gives an overview of the thesis outcome, and wraps up the lessons learned during the master’s thesis project. Various conclusions regarding the requirements and the key challenges for successful SCADA-based CM can be drawn from the conducted analyses. They are presented and discussed in the following paragraphs. Moreover, the method and outcomes are critically discussed to present a complete picture of the presented approaches. Finally, ideas for future work or further development in the investigated field are presented.

6.1 Summary

To investigate the possibilities of SCADA data usage for component condition monitoring in WTs, an ANN based normal behavior model was developed and applied to six different gearbox failure cases. Two successful cases of failure detection were presented, one indicating a malfunction long before the final component breakdown. This shows that the analysis of SCADA data can be a helpful tool in component condition monitoring.

In addition, the same methodology was applied to monitor a turbine by its performance. The model was applied to two direct drive turbines with generator bearing failures. Model alarms can be observed before failure occurrence, but more information complementing the SCADA data is necessary to appropriately judge the model alarms. Moreover, the model was found to be an effective tool for ice-detection on turbine blades.

6.2 Discussion and Conclusions

Firstly, it has to be noted that for every investigation of SCADA data it is the data itself that is the most decisive factor. Through the available parameters, the available failure cases and additional information data determines the analysis like no other factor does. In addition, the success of a CM approach relies on the data handling and the correct application of the appropriate methods.

Based on the available data, the developed models were successfully applied for condition monitoring of WT components. The gearbox model was able to trigger alarm long before the final component breakdown. This information can draw the operator’s attention to a developing malfunction and an appropriate maintenance strategy can be followed. However, it has to be mentioned that the model was not able to issue alarms for
all available failure cases, but the method offers a cost effective supplement to existing CM systems. Also, the power model can deliver useful information about turbine condition. Especially its application in the field of icing detection was discovered. The investigations revealed challenges of the approach, but also showed promising promise potentials.

From a methodical point of view, it has been realized that the appropriate definition of training and application data is a crucial point of the presented CM approach. Especially since ANN output highly relies on the training input data. Firstly, training data has to be free of failures so that the model is able to emulate normal operating conditions accurately. Filtering approaches can be developed to ensure failure free training data, but at the same time the whole range of normal operating conditions has to be covered to avoid false alarms. This balance can be tricky to achieve and well suited data selection and processing is the key. Defining the appropriate range of training data was found to be much easier for the local variables than for the global power output, because local variables are influenced by a limited amount of factors which can be overseen during the selection process.

Data selection is not only critical for the training data set, but also the application data has to be screened for data that would cause false alarms, such as for example SCADA-communication errors. Moreover, any normal operation data that the model was not trained for can be pro-actively excluded from the application set. An example would be excluding curtailment data from the application data set. But this requires in depth knowledge about the system since potential operating conditions which are not covered by the training data have to be identified and excluded proactively. In addition, this comes with the risk of excluding data that contains detectable traces of malfunctions. To sum it up, the strong dependence of ANNs on the training data causes challenges in the field of anomaly detection.

Furthermore, the availability of potential input and target parameters was identified as a central point. This applies especially when modelling a local variable for component monitoring. In general, it can be said that the more component related parameters available, the higher the chances to detect a component failure. More parameters simply increase the probability that a malfunction manifests itself detectably in one of the additional measurements. It was observed that SCADA systems are using several measurements for an internal monitoring approach which generates alarms and warnings based on a comparison between the actual measurement and pre-set threshold. However, some of these parameters were not extractable from the system. The availability of these measurements would truly increase the possibilities for component monitoring. The development of SCADA-systems in recent years shows a trend towards a wider range of measurements which comes with new chances for SCADA based monitoring approach-
In addition, technological development has made it possible to store and analyze big data without any major investments in the hardware infrastructure. The use of cloud storage and parallel computing approaches can enable the storage and analysis of increased amounts of data with multiple new measurements.

It was noticed that it is essential to have as much information as possible complementing the raw data. Information about the turbine, its operating conditions and its failure case is crucial when developing the anomaly detection approach. A close cooperation with the operator is required and insights into maintenance SCADA records and maintenance reports are very helpful. If this information is missing, it is difficult to judge the model outcome and no effective feedback for a model adjustment is possible.

The exchange with operators has shown that there is a general interest in investigating SCADA data for condition judgement. But generalization and commercialization of such approaches is challenging due to several factors. Firstly, each turbine shows individual operational behavior and thus it is difficult to develop a general model, which can be applied to all turbines. Here lays the strength of the ANN application. Models can adapt individual turbine behavior quite easily by using the turbines data for training. Nevertheless, the application of one model to different turbines is still not easily possible in many cases. The work with SCADA systems from different operators has shown that there is no specification of a standard set of measurements recorded by every turbine. As long as this is the case, the CM based on SCADA will stay a highly individual discipline and the development of generally applicable models is very difficult.

However, the positive results which were achieved, especially of the gearbox model, show that operators can benefit from analyzing the SCADA data of their turbines. This analysis does not aim to replace any of the existing CM systems but it is a cost effective and elegant way to complement them. Further research and a parallel development of the SCADA systems can help to realize the potentials of this approach. Such SCADA analysis can definitively help operators to cope with the big amount of alarms and warnings of standard SCADA systems. The models can indicate which ones to prioritize and which ones to ignore. At the end of the day it is the operator’s duty to decide whether to initiate maintenance actions or not and SCADA based models can support them.

### 6.3 Future Work

Based on the findings of this work further investigations might be interesting, including the following:

- The further development of the gearbox model under consideration of additional thermal parameters, for example cooling specifications, could additionally in-
crease the quality of the presented model. Then, it would be worth investigating the application of the model to different gearbox failure cases.

- For successful early failure detection with the power model its anomaly detection method has to be adjusted. A possible solution could be the approach suggested in chapter 5.4. The applicability then would have to be verified in different in analyzing failures of different turbine components.

- The implementation of the developed models in an integrated maintenance scheme could utilize their potential benefit for turbine operators.

Hopefully the findings of this thesis can contribute to one of the suggested future fields.
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