Nanoscale substrates with optimized field-enhancement properties are a key component in the continued development of surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) molecular analysis but are challenging to produce inexpensively in large scale. We used a facile and cost-effective bottom-up technique, colloidal hole-mask lithography, to produce macroscopic dimer-on-mirror gold nanostructures. The optimized structures exhibit excellent SERS performance, as exemplified by detection of 2.5 and 50 attograms of BPE, a common SERS probe, using Raman microscopy and a simple handheld device, respectively. The corresponding Raman enhancement factor is of the order $10^{11}$, which compares favourably to previously reported record performance values.

Surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) has long been considered an extremely promising technique for general and label free identification and trace detection of molecular compounds. The SERS field has gone through several development stages since the original discovery of the effect forty years ago\cite{1}–\cite{4} and the subsequent development of basic understandings of electromagnetic and surface-induced enhancement processes.\cite{5}–\cite{7} After the first single molecule detection reports in the late 90’s,\cite{8}–\cite{11} much effort has been devoted to proof-of-principle demonstrations of SERS applications,\cite{12}–\cite{14} studies of the plasmonic origins of the surface-enhancement process\cite{15}–\cite{17} and development of a wide range of SERS substrates, including “SERS dust”\cite{18} and nanostructured metal surfaces fabricated by nanosphere lithography (NSL)\cite{19} or electron beam lithography (EBL).\cite{20}

Hole-mask colloidal lithography (HCL)\cite{21} is a versatile methodology for fabrication of nanoplasmonic substrates.\cite{22,23} An obvious advantage compared to EBL is that macroscopic ($>\text{cm}^2$) sample surfaces can be easily prepared. Compared to NSL, the technique is extremely flexible in terms nanostructure geometries: previous examples of nanostructures easily produced by HCL includes, for example, disks, dimers, trimers and cones of various dimensions and shapes.\cite{24,25} It is also easy to integrate different materials into the same nanostructure design, for example different metals or combinations of metals and dielectrics.\cite{25,26} However, the possibility of using HCL for cost-effective fabrication of SERS substrates has not yet been explored. The primary aim of this study was therefore to find out to what extent HCL substrates can be used for ultra-sensitive SERS measurements. Long-term goals include in-field and non-specialized lab applicability, in particular in the context of terrorist and warfare threats.\cite{27}–\cite{31}

We choose to focus on dimer structures because of their well-known and extraordinary electromagnetic field-enhancement in the gap between the particles.\cite{32} Details of the fabrication process can be found in the ESL.\footnote{Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c5nr01654a} Fig. 1a shows a SEM image of HCL gold dimers on glass used for ultra-sensitive measurements. The top-view image of the dimers on SiO$_2$ spacer on gold mirror fabricated on top of a glass cover-slip. Scalebar = 500 nm. (b) Transect in near vertical view (tilted 10°) of the mirror, spacer and dimers. Scalebar = 100 nm. (c) 45° view of the dimers. Scalebar = 100 nm.
SERS analysis. A transect and a 45° tilted view of a substrate fabricated on silicon (to reduce charging effects for better contrast) are shown in Fig. 1b and c, respectively. The dimers consist of slightly conical nanodisks and are all oriented in the same direction as a consequence of the fabrication process, which involves metal evaporation from two opposing angles relative to the surface normal. For the same reason, the two nanodisks composing a dimer have slightly different diameters and height. The gap dimensions vary between different dimers (7.1 ± 4.2 nm std; \( n_{\text{dimers}} = 72 \); range = 0–18 nm), but there is always gap or crevice of nanometric dimensions between the disks. These locations constitute “hot spots” for SERS. The enhancement caused by localized gap plasmons is further amplified by adding a gold mirror below a thin dielectric (SiO\(_2\)) spacer layer. The concept of mirror enhancement has recently been demonstrated to be highly useful for amplifying the response of a variety of SERS substrates.\(^{33–38}\) The predominant source of additional enhancement is hybridization between the particle plasmons and propagating plasmon modes in the mirror, although there is also a contribution from classical constructive interference. However, because of the complicated near-field couplings present in the system, the exact spacer distance for optimum enhancement in the plane of the nanostructures critically depends on the nanostructure morphology, materials and wavelength range considered. We focused on fabricating samples suitable for Raman excitation in the red (\( \lambda = 638 \) nm) and a number of finite-difference time domain (FDTD) simulations were done to evaluate optimum system parameters for this particular excitation wavelength. An additional factor to consider is the adhesion at the glass–gold interfaces. The HCL fabrication process includes tape-stripping and therefore requires a rather strong dampening to the plasmon resonances, thereby dramatically decreasing the enhancement. Simulations were therefore performed for four different systems (exact configurations according Fig. S1a–d in the ESI†), including 2–3 nm chromium layers to assess the Cr influence. Fig. 2a and b illustrate the field enhancement induced through the addition of a gold mirror (without Cr) located 60 nm from the dimers, while Fig. 2c illustrates the almost complete destruction of the enhancement when Cr is present both between the mirror and the SiO\(_2\) spacer and underneath the nanoparticles. However, the removal of Cr in direct contact with the dimer leads to an almost full recovery of the hot-spot, as seen in Fig. 2d. Simulations have previously shown that adhesion layers dampen surface plasmons.\(^{39}\) Experimental evidence of this effect for the case of chromium and titanium adhesion layers has also been shown by single molecule fluorescence and SERS studies.\(^{40,41}\) The fact that the mirror adhesion layer has an insignificant dampening is due to its detachment from the dimer hot-spots, which was also found by e.g. Siegfried et al.\(^{42}\)

The variation in Raman enhancement, estimated as the fourth power of the local field-enhancement factor, with SiO\(_2\) spacer thickness was assessed for the case of a 100 nm thick gold mirror with Cr adhesion layers on both sides but no Cr under the dimers (geometry as Fig. 2d and S1d†). Fig. 3 shows that the highest Raman enhancement is obtained for the 60 nm spacer thickness and that the amplification compared to the peak enhancement of the mirror-free structure is of the order ~200. Interestingly, the simulated reflectance spectrum for the structure with the optimized spacer thickness shows near complete absorption of the incident light (Fig. S2†). Angular dependent perfect absorption has previously been shown for HCL fabricated surfaces, where the underlying physics explaining the phenomenon was a destructive Fano interference.\(^{43,44}\) The experimental reflectance of a dimer/mirror sample with a 60 nm spacer (Fig. S3†) shows considerable inhomogeneous broadening but a resonance position close to the simulation result.

Key results from micro-Raman measurements on \( \text{trans-1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)} \text{ethylene (BPE)} \), a common test molecule for evaluating the performance of SERS substrates,\(^{45–49}\) are summarized in Fig. 4. The test solution was applied to the substrate by
of 200 μm. Note that BPE has much higher affinity towards the gold than to SiO₂, so it is unlikely that a significant fraction of the molecules bind to the dielectric interface.

The optimized substrates displayed strong Raman signals for all five major BPE peaks down to $2.7 \times 10^{-14}$ M, which corresponds to 2.5 attogram loaded on the substrate (0.5 μl droplet). Experiments indicated that even lower concentrations could be measured, though the signal-to-background was poor. This suggests that it is possible to attain single molecule sensitivity using our dimer-on-mirror substrates. Note, however, that the Raman signal is highly non-linearly dependent on load: there are ~200 times more molecules present per area unit for the higher concentration (5.5 pM) than for the low one (27 fM), yet the average SERS signal is only ~50% stronger. This clearly indicates a saturation effect due to a limited number of “hot gap sites”⁵⁰ and perhaps also an active process due to the drying step that attracts the analyte molecules specifically to these sites. It is thus possible the sensitivity in terms of $\delta I/\delta C$ follows a hot-spot depletion dependent power law, as has previously been shown by for example Fang et al.⁵⁰ and Le Ru et al.⁵¹ Assuming that the majority of the molecules are concentrated into the measured area, the lowest concentration in Fig. 4 would correspond to approximately 20 molecules per measurement spot.

Normal Raman measurements on a water solution containing BPE at a concentration (4 mM) close to the theoretical dissolution limit⁶¹ gave no signals for integration times up to about a minute. Estimates of average surface-enhancement factors (EF) were therefore based on comparisons with Raman measurements on a single BPE crystal smaller than the experimentally determined excitation spot-size ($d = 6 \mu m$ for the crystal, vs. 10 μm). Scans around the single crystal were done to find the highest spot-on intensity (692 counts). The number of molecules contributing to the Raman signal $N_{\text{Raman}}$ was estimated from the crystal volume ($\sim 1.1 \times 10^{-16}$ m³) and density (1100 kg m⁻³), while $N_{\text{SERS}}$ was estimated from the total load of BPE molecules divided by the number of scanned spots. The EFs were then calculated as:⁶² $EF = (I_{\text{SERS}}/N_{\text{SERS}})/(I_{\text{Raman}}/N_{\text{Raman}})$. We used the 27 fM concentration for an estimate of the ensemble EF. With 20 molecules per measurement spot (assuming that all molecules contribute to the signal) results in an average EF of $\sim 2.1 \times 10^{11}$ for the 1633 cm⁻¹ peak. This extremely high EF value is of the same order, $\sim 10^{11}$, as the approximate limit for the electromagnetic enhancement for “gapped” gold and silver nanostructures excited with visible light.²⁰ As shown by the simulations (Fig. 3), the Raman enhancement at the hottest locations of the dimer-on-mirror substrates reaches only ~$10^7$. Thus the discrepancy between the calculations and the experiment is about 4–5 orders of magnitude. However, the actual experimental system contains a significant fraction of dimers with much smaller gaps and it is likely that these contribute disproportionately much to the measured signal. It is also highly likely that an multiplicative chemisorption induced resonance Raman effect contribute to the total surface-enhancement for the case of BPE, and that the actual electromagnetically induced enhance-
ment effect therefore is one or up to three orders of magnitude lower than this estimate.\textsuperscript{63,64} Indeed, extensive quantum chemistry calculations of BPE chemisorbed to Au-clusters have indicated a substantial molecule-to-metal charge transfer\textsuperscript{45} that could form the basis for a “chemical” enhancement process along the lines described in \textit{e.g.} Persson\textsuperscript{65}. Note also that the simulations do not take into account surface roughness, which might also contribute additional electromagnetic enhancement. The enhancement factor obtained here compares favourably to some of the highest ensemble EF values previously reported in the literature (Table 1). However, one should be aware that the methodology used to experimentally estimate EF values vary considerably between researchers and is sometimes inadequately described. The comparison in Table 1 should therefore only serve as an indicator of SERS substrate performance.

To demonstrate potential for in-field applicability, low-level detection with a commercial portable Raman instrument was assessed. The instrument (Thermo Scientific\textsuperscript{\textregistered} FirstDefender RM\textsuperscript{TM}) is compact and lightweight (800 grams), operates using a 785 nm diode laser, contain simple non-moving optics and an un-cooled CCD detector. Fig. 5 shows SERS data for 0.5 µL evaporated droplets with 0 (blue), 5 × 10\textsuperscript{−11} (green) and 5 × 10\textsuperscript{−13} (red) M BPE acquired with the handheld Raman instrument. The excitation wavelength was 785 nm (max 240 mW) and the integration time 10 s.

### Conclusions

We evaluated the SERS performance of gold dimer-on-mirror nanostructures made by colloidal hole-mask lithography. Electrodynamics simulations indicated an optimum mirror – dimer separation of 60 nm and revealed that Cr adhesion layers can have serious negative impact on the enhancement performance. The optimized structure configuration was used to detect ultra-low quantities of BPE, a common SERS probe molecule. Mass detection of 2.5 and 50 attogram of BPE was demonstrated with a Raman microscope and a handheld device, respectively. The former value translate to SERS enhancement factor of the order ~10\textsuperscript{11}, similar to some of the highest enhancement results found in the literature. The colloidal lithography method is extremely versatile and it is likely that a wide range of other structure types with excellent SERS performance can be constructed based on a similar methodology as employed here. One of the most important goals for such developments should be to improve the dynamic range of SERS sensor substrate by increasing the number of “hot spots” per area unit.
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**Table 1** Reported ensemble averaged enhancement factors (EF)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>EF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ahn et al.\textsuperscript{52}</td>
<td>Crystal violet</td>
<td>&gt;10\textsuperscript{9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al.\textsuperscript{53}</td>
<td>BPE</td>
<td>1.2 × 10\textsuperscript{9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chakraborty et al.\textsuperscript{54}</td>
<td>Crystal violet</td>
<td>1.6 × 10\textsuperscript{9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al.\textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>R6G</td>
<td>10\textsuperscript{10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al.\textsuperscript{55}</td>
<td>R6G</td>
<td>1.2 × 10\textsuperscript{10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seo et al.\textsuperscript{57}</td>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>3.0 × 10\textsuperscript{10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leem et al.\textsuperscript{58}</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>4.2 × 10\textsuperscript{10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al.\textsuperscript{59}</td>
<td>R6G</td>
<td>10\textsuperscript{11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>BPE</td>
<td>1.2 × 10\textsuperscript{11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chirumamilla et al.\textsuperscript{60}</td>
<td>p-Mercaptoaniline</td>
<td>3.5 × 10\textsuperscript{11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ko et al.\textsuperscript{19}</td>
<td>2,4-DNT</td>
<td>&gt;10\textsuperscript{12}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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