Nonabsorbing high-efficiency counter for itinerant microwave photons
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Detecting an itinerant microwave photon with high efficiency is an outstanding problem in microwave photonics and its applications. We present a scheme to detect an itinerant microwave photon in a transmission line via the nonlinearity provided by a transmon in a driven microwave resonator. With a single transmon we achieve 84% distinguishability between zero and one microwave photons and 90% distinguishability with two cascaded transmons by performing continuous measurements on the output field of the resonator. We also show how the measurement diminishes coherence in the photon number basis thereby illustrating a fundamental principle of quantum measurement: The decoherence rate increases as the detector is made more effective.
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Since the early theoretical work on photodetection [1,2], both theory and technology have advanced dramatically. Conventional photon detectors, such as avalanche photodiode (APD) and photomultiplier tube (PMT), are widely used in practice. However, they destroy the signal photon during detection. There are a number of schemes for quantum nondemolition (QND) optical photon detection [3–5], but typically they require a high-Q cavity for storing the signal mode containing the photon(s) to be detected, and a leaky cavity for manipulating and detecting the probe mode. Thus, during one lifetime of a signal photon, the probe mode undergoes many cycles to accumulate information about the signal. This type of detection requires repeated measurements, and the high-Q cavity limits the photodetection bandwidth.

In the microwave regime the detection of single photons [6–15] is more challenging, especially nondestructive detection [6,9,14,15]. Here we propose a scheme for nonabsorbing, high-efficiency detection of single itinerant microwave photons via the nonlinearity provided by an artificial superconducting atom, a transmon [16].

Previously [15,17], we considered schemes where the signal photon wave packet propagates freely in an open transmission line [11,18] and encounters the lowest transition of a transmon. The cw-probe field couples the first and second excited states of the transmon and is monitored via continuous homodyne detection. Displacements in the homodyne current, due to the large transmon-induced cross-Kerr nonlinearity [18], indicate the presence of a photon. We showed that, in spite of the exceptionally large cross-Kerr nonlinearity it exhibits [18], a single transmon in an open transmission line is insufficient for reliable microwave photon detection, due to saturation of the transmon response to the probe field [17]. More recently [15], we showed that multiple cascaded transmons could achieve reliable microwave photon counting in principle, though the number of transmons and circulators required in this scheme presents serious experimental challenges.

In this paper we propose a scheme that achieves reliable photon counting with as few as a single transmon. The key insight is to use a cavity resonant with the probe field to enhance the probe displacements, which depends on the signal photon number. We quantify the measurement efficiency in two ways: Firstly, we report the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), and secondly we report the distinguishability, i.e., the probability to correctly infer the photon number. For a single transmon, we report a SNR of 1.2, corresponding to a distinguishability of $F = 84\%$ between 0 and 1 photons in the signal (i.e., the probability of correctly discriminating between these two states). This can be improved using more transmons [15], and we show that with two cascaded transmons the distinguishability increases to $F = 90\%$. An important feature of the proposal is that the signal photon is an itinerant photon pulse, enabling detection of relatively wide-band microwave photons.

The scheme for single microwave photon detection is shown in Fig. 1. A transmon is embedded at one end of a waveguide, in which the signal (itinerant) microwave propagates. The signal field is nearly resonant with the lowest transmon transition, $|0\rangle \leftrightarrow |1\rangle$. The transmon is also coupled to a coherently-driven microwave resonator, which is dispersively coupled with the second transmon transition. The cavity is driven by an external coherent probe field, which ultimately yields information about the photon population in the signal field. This unit (consisting of the transmon in a cavity) can be cascaded using circulators to achieve higher detection efficiency [15].

We first analyze a single unit, and later consider cascading several. In a rotating frame the Hamiltonian describing a unit is

$$\hat{H}_t = \delta_1 \hat{\sigma}_{11} + (\delta_1 + \delta_2) \hat{\sigma}_{22} - i g_{12} (\hat{a} \hat{\sigma}_{21} - \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}_{12}) - i E (\hat{a} - \hat{a}^\dagger),$$

(1)

where $\hat{a}$ is the cavity annihilation operator, $g$ is the coupling strength between the cavity field and the transmon $|1\rangle \leftrightarrow |2\rangle$ transition, $E$ is the driving amplitude, and the detunings are $\delta_1 = \omega_{10} - \omega_c$, $\delta_2 = \omega_{21} - \omega_c$. The interaction between the cavity and the $0 \rightarrow 1$ transition is neglected here, since the cavity is very far detuned from the $0 \rightarrow 1$ transition.

To model the itinerant signal field, we invoke a fictitious source cavity initially in a Fock state. This field leaks out, producing an itinerant Fock state, which ultimately interacts
and the corresponding homodyne photocurrent is 

\[ \text{I}(t) = \sqrt{\eta} \langle e^{-i\phi} \hat{a} + e^{i\phi} \hat{a}^\dagger \rangle + dW(t)/dt, \]

where \( dW \) is a Weiner process satisfying \( E[dW] = 0 \), \( E[d^2W] = dt \), \( \eta \) is the efficiency of homodyne detection, \( \hat{c} \) is the annihilation operator of the source-cavity mode, \( \gamma_c \) is the decay rate of the source cavity (which determines the linewidth of the itinerant photon), the phase angle \( \phi \) is set by the local oscillator phase, \( \Delta(\hat{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \{ 2\rho \hat{p}^\dagger - \rho^\dagger \hat{p} - \rho \hat{p}^\dagger \}, \) and \( \hat{H}[\hat{r}] = \hat{r} \rho + \rho^\dagger \hat{r}^\dagger - \text{Tr}[\hat{r} \rho + \rho^\dagger \hat{r}^\dagger] \).

Prior to the arrival of the signal pulse, the cavity field is driven by the probe field to its steady state, and the transmon is initially in its ground state. The itinerant signal photon pulse arrives at the transmon at time \( t_0 \). Since the signal pulse decays over a finite time, the cavity field is transiently displaced from its steady state. This transient displacement is reflected in the homodyne photocurrent, which thus contains information about the number of photons in the signal pulse. There are several methods to extract this information [23], the simplest of which is a linear filter applied to the homodyne current:

\[ S = \int_{t_0}^{T} I(t)h(t)dt, \]

for some filter kernel \( h \). The optimal linear filter takes \( h(t) = \hat{I}_1(t) \), where \( \hat{I}_1 \) is the expected homodyne current when there is a single signal photon. We have also implemented more sophisticated nonlinear filters, using hypothesis testing [23,24], which yields a small improvement, at a substantial computational cost.

As one measure of performance, we define a signal-to-noise ratio \( \text{SNR} = (S_1 - S_0)/\sqrt{\text{Var}(S_1) + \text{Var}(S_0)} \), where \( S_n \) is the filter output conditioned on a signal pulse containing \( n = 0 \) or 1 photons. Due to the nonlinear interaction between the probe field and the transmon, \( S_1 \) is not a Gaussian variable, making \( \text{SNR} \) difficult to interpret. Thus, we also report the distinguishability \( F \), defined as the probability of correctly inferring from the homodyne current the correct number of signal photons:

\[ F = P(S < S_{th}|n = 0) + P(S > S_{th}|n = 1)/2, \]

where \( S_{th} \) is a threshold value for \( S \) which optimally discriminates between small and large probe displacement. We have also assumed that \( n = 0.1 \) are equally likely.

To quantify the performance of a single unit as a photon detector, we perform a Monte-Carlo study, generating many trajectories with either \( n = 0 \) or \( n = 1 \) and computing \( S \) for each. Here we assume \( \eta = 1 \), which requires quantum limited amplifiers. This assumption sets an upper bound on the performance of this scheme, and we briefly discuss amplifier noise later. Figure 2 shows histograms of \( S \) for

\[ \text{FIG. 2. (Color online) The histograms of filtered homodyne signal for the presence/absence of the signal photon and the corresponding distinguishability. The black curve plots the distinguishability versus threshold values. The signal photon pulse is an exponentially-decayed pulse from a source cavity, and the linear filter function is presented is Eq. (5). The parameters are: }\gamma_0 = 1, \gamma_1 = 0.1, \gamma_c = 2.45, \delta_1 = -0.8, \delta_2 = -18, \gamma_r = 0.1, E = 0.032, \kappa = 0.037, \phi = \pi/2, t_0 = 0, \text{ and } T = 80. \]
n = 0 (gray) and n = 1 (red), for system parameters chosen to maximize F. The peaks of the histograms are reasonably distinguished. The black trace shows F as a function of $S_{th}$. We find SNR$_1 = 1.2$, and $F_1 = 84%$, which is a substantial improvement over Ref. [17]. For comparison, the fidelity using the more sophisticated hypothesis testing filter gives a slight improvement $F_{1}^{HT} = 84.6%$.

We note that the optimal choice $\gamma_{12} = 0.1\gamma_{01}$ used in Fig. 2 requires that the microwave density-of-states (DOS) in the transmission line be engineered to suppress emission at $\omega_{12}$. Without DOS engineering, $\gamma_{12} = 2\gamma_{01}$ [23], and we find that the fidelity is reduced to $F_1 = 81%$.

The lifetime of the unit cavity is chosen to optimize single-photon induced transmon excitation. Accordingly, the signal pulse must be relatively long, matching the cavity life time. With a long pulse and a good cavity, during the interaction time of the signal photon with the system, the intracavity field changes dramatically [see Fig. 1(c)]. In comparison, for a situation without a unit cavity, the change in the probe is determined by the transmon coherence ($\hat{\delta}_{12} < \hat{\delta}_{11}$), which decays quickly in that case. The cavity allows the probe field to interact for a long time with the signal-induced coherence in the transmon, resulting in the larger integrated homodyne signal over the measurement time.

The probe amplitude used in Fig. 2 was chosen to optimize the performance of the single-photon detector. Increasing the probe amplitude beyond this level leads to strong saturation effects in the transmon, consistent with the breakdown of an effective cross-Kerr description as discussed in Ref. [17].

The peak distinguishability for a single transmon is potentially useful in some applications. To increase it further, we follow [15] and cascade multiple transmons using circulators to engineer a unidirectional waveguide. The computational cost of simulating a chain of transmons grows exponentially with the number of transmons $N_n$, however it was shown in Ref. [15] that the SNR grows as $\sqrt{N_n}$, as might be expected for independent, repeated, noisy measurements of the same system. For our purposes, we consider cascading two transmons, A and B. Since our detection process is nonabsorbing, and circulators suppress backscattering, the single microwave photon will deterministically interact with A and then B in that order, resulting in dynamical shifts for both cavity modes. We suppose that each cavity is addressed by a separate probe field, leading to two homodyne currents. Again, we expect this to improve the SNR by $\sim\sqrt{2}$.

For computational efficiency in our Monte-Carlo simulations, we unravel the master equation to produce a stochastic Schrodinger equation [22,25], including four stochastic processes: three quantum diffusion processes, one each for the cavity fields and an additional process to account for cross relaxation of the transmon into the waveguide, and one quantum-jump process for the signal photon pulse. In the absence of a signal photon, the evolution of the unnormalized system wave function $|\tilde{\psi}\rangle$ is governed by

$$
\frac{d|\tilde{\psi}\rangle}{dt} = \left[ -i(\hat{H}_t + \hat{H}_{\text{cas}}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=A,B} \kappa_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j + j^1 \hat{j} + j^2 \hat{j}_2 \right]
\sum_{i=A,B} \left( e^{-i\phi} \sqrt{\kappa_j} \hat{a}_j \right) I^{(i)} + \hat{J}_2 I_2 |\tilde{\phi}(t),
(7)
$$

where

$$
\hat{H}_t = \sum_{j=A,B} \left[ \delta_{j1} \hat{\sigma}_{11}^j + (\delta_{j2} - \delta) \hat{\sigma}_{22}^j - i g_j (\hat{a}_j \hat{\sigma}_{21}^j - \hat{\sigma}_{21}^j \hat{a}_j^\dagger) \right]
\hat{J}_2 = \sum_{j=A,B} \left( \gamma_{01} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \right) I^{(j)} + \hat{J}_2 I_2 |\tilde{\phi}(t),
(8)
$$

where we have defined $J_j = \sqrt{\gamma_{01}} \hat{c}_j^\dagger + \sqrt{\gamma_{01}} \hat{a}_j^\dagger$ and $J_2 = \sum_{j=A,B} \gamma_{12} \hat{\sigma}_{12}^j$. Upon a jump event in the signal field, the system state evolves discontinuously:

$$
|\tilde{\psi}(t + dt)\rangle = J|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle.
(9)
$$

The homodyne signals are given by $I^{(j)}(j = A,B)$ for output of two cavities and $I_2$ for emission from transmons to the transmission line:

$$
I^{(j)} = \sqrt{\kappa_j} (e^{-i\phi} \hat{a}_j + e^{i\phi} \hat{a}_j^\dagger) + dW_j/dt
I_2 = (\hat{J}_2 + \hat{J}_2^2) + dW_2/dt.
(10)
$$

We simulate 8000 trajectories using the same parameter values as before (assuming identical transmon-cavity units), for each choice of $n$, to obtain a distribution of homodyne currents, $I^{(A)}$ and $I^{(B)}$, which we integrate according to Eq. (5) to produce $S^{(A)}$ and $S^{(B)}$. Figure 3(a) shows a scatter plot of the two homodyne signal pairs ($S^{(A)}$,$S^{(B)}$) for $n = 0$ (black) and $n = 1$ (red). To distinguish between these two distributions we project onto the sum $S^{(AB)} = (S^{(A)} + S^{(B)})/2$, shown in Fig. 3(b), and we calculate SNR$_2 = 1.7 \approx \sqrt{2}$SNR$_1$, as expected. Likewise, we define the distinguishability as in Eq. (6), replacing $S$ with $S^{(AB)}$. Optimizing $S_{th}$, we find $F = 90%$. We note that if the distributions were in fact Gaussian, then this improvement in SNR would give a distinguishability of 91.5%, slightly higher than what we achieve.

Achieving the performance above requires quantum limited amplification, so that $\eta = 1$. Josephson parametric amplifiers provide one avenue to this limit, and are rapidly improving, recently achieving $\eta \approx 0.5$ [26–28]. This compares very favorably compared to HEMT amplifiers for which $\eta \approx 1%$ [26,27]. From Eqs. (2) and (4), we see that if $\eta < 1$, the signal is reduced by a factor of $\sqrt{\eta}$ and the total noise is also slightly reduced. Thus we estimate that for current state-of-the-art with $\eta = 0.5$, SNR$_1 \approx 0.94$ which implies $F \approx 75.5%$. Anticipating $\eta = 0.8$ may be achievable in the near future, in which case we estimate SNR$_1 = 1.15$ and $F \approx 80%$.

---

1The subscript denotes a single cavity-transmon unit.

2We emphasize that the jump process is solely to generate the homodyne currents (which are determined by the quantum diffusion processes); we do not subsequently use the jump records.
In our proposed detector, there is in fact some distortion of the signal pulse envelope, as the transmon-cavity unit coherently interacts with the signal field, closely analogous to the pulse envelope distortion found in Ref. [15]. This is shown in Fig. 4(a). Here, we have allowed the detuning \( \delta \) to vary, in order to vary the distinguishability. We see that the pulse envelope is maximally distorted when \( F = 0.9 \), which follows since this is the condition under which the measurement back action is maximized. For photon counting considered in this paper, the deterministic pulse distortion is not a significant issue. However it may become one if the transmon were to be used to induce gates between photon-encoded states (e.g., in an interferometer), since the pulse shape would encode some amount of “which-path” information leading to a reduction in coherence between different paths [29]. It may be possible to circumvent this problem, albeit at the cost of significant complexity [30].

Finally, we consider what happens to a signal field that is prepared in a superposition of Fock states. In this case, QND measurement of the photon number should cause decoherence between the components in the superposition, leaving populations unchanged [31,32]. Suppose \( \hat{F} \) is an operator acting on the signal field. In a QND number measurement, \( \{F^\dagger \hat{F}, H_j\} = 0 \), while \( \{\hat{F}, H_j\} \neq 0 \) so that the coherence between Fock subspaces (\( \hat{F} \)) decays during the interaction. To demonstrate this effect, we take a superposition state \( |0\rangle + |1\rangle \) as the initial state of the fictitious source cavity and see how \( \hat{F} \) evolves during the measurement process. Figure 4(b) shows the time evolution of \( \langle \hat{F} \rangle \), for different values of distinguishability. This confirms that when the system is tuned to maximize the distinguishability, coherence is most rapidly suppressed.

In summary, we have demonstrated a protocol for photon counting of itinerant microwave photons, which exploits the large cross-Kerr nonlinearity of a single transmon in a microwave waveguide [18]. By synthesizing results from Refs. [15] and [17], and adding a local cavity to each transmon, we find that we can cascade multiple such devices to produce effective photon counters. With just two, we achieve a distinguishability of 90%, which may be useful in certain microwave experiments. We anticipate that three or four units could achieve fidelities up to 95%.
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**FIG. 3.** (Color online) (a) The scatter plot of the filtered homodyne signals from two probe cavities with the presence/absence of the signal photon. (b) The histogram of the sum homodyne signal \( S_{AB} \) and the corresponding distinguishability in the two cascaded transmon case. The parameters are: \( \gamma_A^1 = \gamma_B^1 = 1, \gamma_A^2 = \gamma_B^2 = 0.1, g_A = g_B = 2.45, \delta_{1A} = \delta_{1B} = -0.8, \delta_{2A} = \delta_{2B} = -18, \gamma_c = 0.1, E_A = E_B = 0.032, \kappa_A = \kappa_B = 0.037, \phi_A = \phi_B = \pi/2, I_0 = 0, \) and \( T = 80 \).

**FIG. 4.** (Color online) (a) Pulse envelope distortion. (b) Measurement induced decoherence of the signal microwave photon state. The gray dash curves denote the input signal field and the solid curves denote the output signal field at different distinguishability. The blue \( (\delta_1 = -6) \) and orange \( (\delta_1 = -18) \) curves represent the output signal field after interacting with one transmon and the green \( (\delta_2A = \delta_2B = -18) \) curves represent the output signal field after interacting with two transmons. The other parameters are: \( \gamma_{10}^A = \gamma_{10}^B = 1, \gamma_{01}^A = \gamma_{01}^B = 0.1, g_A = g_B = 2.45, \delta_{1A} = \delta_{1B} = -0.8, \gamma_c = 0.1, E_A = E_B = 0.032 \) and \( \kappa_A = \kappa_B = 0.037 \).