Nonlinear-dissipation-induced entanglement of coupled nonlinear oscillators
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The quantum dynamics of two weakly coupled nonlinear oscillators is analytically and numerically investigated in the context of nonlinear dissipation. The latter facilitates the creation and preservation of nonclassical steady states. Starting from a microscopic description of two oscillators individually interacting with their dissipative environments, it is found that in addition to energy relaxation, dephasing arises due to the mutual coupling. Using the negativity as an entanglement measure, it is shown that the coupling entangles the oscillators in the long-time limit. For finite temperatures, entanglement sudden death and rebirth are observed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The counterintuitive concept of dissipation-induced generation of quantum states has recently attracted a lot of interest. It has been proposed to create pure states [1] and, in particular, entangled states [2–6].

Entanglement is among the most striking features of quantum mechanics [7] and a prerequisite for quantum computation and simulation schemes [8,9]. Typically, for dissipation to induce entanglement, the dissipative processes require multiquanta exchange with the environment in addition to carefully engineered coupling constants. Realizations of artificial system-environment couplings are, in general, hard to achieve. On the other hand, it has been shown that multiquanta dissipation is a natural component in nonlinear systems [10]. For instance, graphene-based nanomechanical resonators possess a strong intrinsic nonlinearity [11] and exhibit nonlinear dissipation [12]. In other setups the conservative nonlinearity has to be induced by coupling to auxiliary systems [13]. Similarly, nonlinear dissipation can be induced in optomechanical systems [14–16] and has also been proposed to emerge in superconducting solid-state quantum devices [17].

For a single-oscillator mode of a nonlinear system under the influence of two-quantum dissipation, resulting from a nonlinear coupling to the reservoir, it was shown that nonclassical steady states with nonzero coherences can be generated [12,18–21]. Moreover, the formation of superposition states [15,17] as well as nonthermal and squeezed states was shown to be obtainable by two-phonon cooling [14].

Here, we investigate two coupled nonlinear oscillators, which are individually subject to two-quantum dissipation. We start from a microscopic description of the total system and derive a quantum master equation (QME) for the reduced density matrix. By using the rotating-wave approximation (RWA) we show that in the weak-coupling limit, the two-quantum dissipation mainly influences the short-time dynamics. Additionally, dephasing is affecting the system in the long-time limit. By numerically solving the QME and the QME in the RWA, we demonstrate that at zero temperature entanglement between the oscillators is created, which persists even if the coupling is switched off. For sufficiently large temperatures, we find early-stage disentanglement (ESD), also known as sudden death of entanglement [22].

II. MODEL

We consider two nonlinear oscillators, which are linearly coupled. Additionally, each oscillator is quadratically coupled to a reservoir consisting of harmonic oscillators. Accordingly, the total Hamiltonian is $H = H_S + H_B + H_{SB}$, where $h = 1$, the masses of the oscillators are $m = 1$, and

\[ H_S = \sum_{m=1,2} \left( \frac{1}{2} p_m^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_m q_m^2 + \frac{2 \mu_m}{3} q_m^4 \right) + \sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2} \lambda q_1 q_2, \] (1a)

\[ H_B = \sum_{m} \sum_{k} \omega_{mk} b_{mk}^\dagger b_{mk}, \] (1b)

\[ H_{SB} = \sum_{m} \sum_{k} \omega_m q_m^2 (2 \omega_m \eta_{mk}) (b_{mk}^\dagger + b_{mk}). \] (1c)

Here, $p_m = i \sqrt{\omega_m/2} (a_m^\dagger - a_m)$ and $q_m = (a_m^\dagger + a_m)/\sqrt{(2\omega_m)}$ denote the momentum and oscillation amplitude of oscillator $m$, respectively, and $a_m^{(\dagger)}$ is the annihilation (creation) operator of the $m$th oscillator. The oscillators are characterized by their frequencies $\omega_m$, the strength of the nonlinearities $\mu_m$, and the coupling strength $\lambda > 0$. The operator $b_{mk}^\dagger$ ($b_{mk}$) creates (destroys) a phonon in state $k$ of reservoir $m$ with the frequency $\omega_{mk}$. The coupling strength of oscillator $m$ to reservoir state $k$ is denoted by $\eta_{mk}$. The nonlinear coupling in (1c) will lead to nonlinear damping in the classical limit [10]. For nanomechanical systems such a coupling is realized, for example, by coupling of in-plane and flexural motion [23]; in optomechanical setups [14–16] and in superconducting solid-state quantum devices [17] it can be induced by using an auxiliary system.

In the weak system-reservoir coupling limit, the evolution of the reduced density matrix $\rho$ is given by a QME. Following the standard approach by using the Born-Markov approximation in the interaction picture with respect to $H_S$,
one obtains [24]
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho(t) = -i[H_{\text{RWA}}, \rho] + \sum_{l,m} \gamma_m(2\omega_m) \mathcal{L}[a_m^\dagger a_m] \\
+ \gamma_m(-2\omega_m) \mathcal{L}[a_m a_m^\dagger] \rho + D_{12}(\lambda) \rho
\]
(6)
in Schrödinger representation. The oscillator Hamiltonian in RWA is given by
\[
H_{\text{RWA}} = \sum_m (\hbar \omega_m a_m^\dagger a_m + \hbar \omega_m a_m a_m^\dagger) \\
+ \frac{\lambda}{2} (a_m^\dagger a_{m+1} + a_{m-1}^\dagger a_m),
\]
and the superoperator \(\mathcal{L}\) is defined as
\[
\mathcal{L}[X] \rho = -\frac{i}{2} XX^\dagger \rho - \frac{1}{2} \rho XX^\dagger + X^\dagger \rho X.
\]
Thus, to lowest order in \(\lambda\), the oscillators are individually coupled to their respective reservoirs. The other superoperator \(D_{12}(\lambda)\) becomes
\[
D_{12}(\lambda) \rho = \Upsilon_\omega \mathcal{L}[\rho] \\
- \frac{1}{2} \rho \Upsilon_\omega (a_m^\dagger a_m - a_m a_m^\dagger) \rho \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \rho (a_m^\dagger a_m + a_m a_m^\dagger) \Upsilon_\omega \rho \\
+ \rho (a_m^\dagger a_m - a_m a_m^\dagger)(a_m^\dagger a_m + a_m a_m^\dagger) \Upsilon_\omega \rho,
\]
where \(\Upsilon_\omega = \gamma(\lambda) \pm \gamma(-\lambda)\), with \(\gamma(\lambda) = \kappa_\omega(\lambda)|N(\lambda) + 1\) and \(\gamma(-\lambda) = \kappa_\omega(\lambda)|N(\lambda)|\).

The terms in the QME (6) which are proportional to \(\gamma_m(2\omega_m)\) describe the loss of two quanta into the bath, while the terms which are proportional to \(\gamma_m(-2\omega_m)\) give rise to the absorption of two quanta from the bath. For zero temperature only the former processes are present since \(N(2\omega_0) = 0\). In contrast to the contributions involving \(\gamma_m(\pm2\omega_0)\), the superoperator \(D_{12}\) contains two dephasing terms, proportional to \(\Upsilon_\omega\) and \(\Upsilon_{\omega^*}\), respectively. One sees that these two terms have a different temperature dependence. For \(\lambda \ll k_B T\), \(\Upsilon_{\omega^*}\) > \(\Upsilon_\omega\), while for \(\lambda \gg k_B T\) one has \(\Upsilon_\omega \approx \Upsilon_{\omega^*}\). Note that, if the oscillators were linearly coupled to the reservoirs, the latter dephasing terms would only arise if \(\Gamma_1 \neq \Gamma_2\) [25].

III. RESULTS
A. Zero temperature
First, we concentrate on \(T = 0\), which implies \(\gamma_m(-2\omega_0) = \gamma(-\lambda) = 0\). We introduce the basis vector \(|n, i\rangle = |n_1\rangle \otimes |i_2\rangle\), which denotes a state with \(n\) quanta in oscillator 1 and \(i\) quanta in oscillator 2. From Eq. (6) one sees that a density matrix, which involves the states \(|0, 0\rangle\), \(|0, 1\rangle\), and \(|1, 0\rangle\), will not be affected by the dissipation and the QME does not lead to a coupling to other states. In other words, the steady state will, in general, not be the ground state \(|0, 0\rangle\), but rather a mix of superpositions of \(|0, 0\rangle\), \(|0, 1\rangle\), and \(|1, 0\rangle\). This state can be written as
\[
\rho = \rho_{00}|0, 0\rangle \langle 0, 0| + \rho_{10}|1, 0\rangle \langle 1, 0| + \rho_{01}|0, 1\rangle \langle 0, 1| \\
+ \rho_{11}|1, 0\rangle \langle 1, 0| + \rho_{v}\mathcal{L}[\rho] + \rho_{\text{vcoh}}|0, 1\rangle \langle 0, 1| + \rho_{\text{vcoh}}|1, 0\rangle \langle 1, 0| + \text{H.c.},
\]
where weights of the respective states are determined by the initial state and evolve according to the Hamiltonian \(H_{\text{RWA}}\) and the superoperator \(D_{12}\). For example, the populations \(P_{00}\) and \(P_{10} + P_{01}\) can be obtained from the sum of the populations of the even \((P_{\text{even}})\) and odd \((P_{\text{odd}})\) states in the initial density matrix [26], respectively. This is a result of the invariance of the total Hamiltonian to changes of total parity \((q_1 \rightarrow -q_1, q_2 \rightarrow -q_2)\). A similar behavior is observed for a single oscillator [12,18], where it leads to the formation of a nonclassical state with vanishing coherences.

To investigate the dynamics of the coupled oscillators the QME (2) and the QME in RWA (6) are solved numerically. The Hilbert space is truncated after \(M = 10\) states for each oscillator. Equation (2) is solved in the eigenbasis of the system Hamiltonian, which corresponds to the Wangness-Bloch-Redfield method [27]. Initially, the system is prepared in a product state of two coherent states, \(\psi(0) = |\alpha_1\rangle \otimes |\alpha_2\rangle\), where \(|\alpha_m\rangle = \exp(a_m a_m^\dagger - a_m^\dagger a_m)|0\rangle_m\), \(a_m = \sqrt{2} q_m(0)\).
is the amplitude of initial displacement, and the rest of the system parameters are $\omega_0 = 1, \mu_m = \mu = \Gamma_0 = 10^{-3}$, and $\lambda = \Gamma_0/2$.

The dynamics of the populations of the states $|0,0\rangle$, $|1,0\rangle$, and $|0,1\rangle$ is shown in Fig. 1(a) for the situation where only one oscillator is initially displaced ($\alpha_1 = 1$ and $\alpha_2 = 0$). After a transient behavior up to $t \approx 2\pi/4\lambda$, the population of the ground state settles to a constant value $P_{00} = P_{\text{even}}$, and oscillations of $P_{01}$ and $P_{0\overline{1}}$ can be seen, while $P_{10} + P_{01} = P_{\text{odd}}$ remains constant. In the long-time limit, $P_{01} = P_{0\overline{1}}$ due to the presence of dephasing. This is shown in Fig. 1(b). The transient behavior corresponds to the individual relaxation of each oscillator from the initial state to a state which has at most one excitation per oscillator.

Using the QME in RWA (6), the equations of motion for the matrix-elements in the steady state (10) can be solved. It is convenient to introduce $s(t) = P_{01} + P_{0\overline{1}}$ and the components of the Bloch vector $w(t) = P_{01} - P_{0\overline{1}}, u(t) = \rho_{01,10} + \rho_{0\overline{1},01}$, and $v(t) = -i(\rho_{01,01} - \rho_{0\overline{1},10})$. In the limit $\lambda \gg \Upsilon_+ = \Upsilon_- = \Upsilon$, their solutions are

$$s(t) = P_{\text{odd}}, \quad w(t) = e^{-\Upsilon t}[w_0 \cos(\lambda t) - v_0 \sin(\lambda t)], \quad u(t) = P_{\text{odd}}(e^{-2\lambda t} - 1) + u_0 e^{-2\Upsilon t}, \quad v(t) = e^{-\Upsilon t}[v_0 \cos(\lambda t) + w_0 \sin(\lambda t)].$$

In the long-time limit, $u \to 0$ and $v \to 0$ while $u \to -P_{\text{odd}}$, due to dephasing. During its time evolution, the Bloch vector traces the surface of a cone, as can be seen in Fig. 1(c). Without dephasing ($\Upsilon = 0$) it describes a circle.

To quantify the entanglement of the oscillators, which is created by coupling the two systems, the negativity $N = (||\rho^{T_1}\|| - 1)/2$ is computed for each time step [28]. The matrix $\rho^{T_1}$ is the partial transpose of the bipartite mixed state $\rho$ with respect to oscillator 1. The negativity corresponds to the absolute value of the sum of negative eigenvalues of $\rho^{T_1}$ and vanishes for any separable state.

For the steady state (10) the negativity is found from the negative roots of the characteristic polynomial of the state's partially transposed density matrix. According to Vieta’s formula, the product of the four roots is

$$z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 = -|\rho_{01,10}|^2 P_{10} P_{01}.$$  

This implies that at least one root $z_i$ has to be negative if $P_{10}, P_{01}$, and $\rho_{01,10}$ are nonvanishing, which results in a finite negativity.

The numerically obtained behavior of $N$ for the case with $\alpha_1 = 1$ and $\alpha_2 = 0$ is shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). An almost periodic behavior is observed, with a vanishing negativity at multiples of a half period $2\pi/2\lambda$. Comparing with Fig. 1(a), one finds that these times correspond to maximal population in either $|1,0\rangle$ or $|0,1\rangle$, which happens twice per period. At those
times the coherence between $|1,0\rangle$ and $|0,1\rangle$ vanishes. On the other hand, maximal negativity is found when the coherence is maximal and $P_{01} = P_{10}$, which also happens twice per period. It is important to realize that the entanglement persists even if the coupling is switched off since the steady state $\rho^{\infty}$ is not affected by the nonlinear dissipation, and the dephasing depends on the presence of the coupling $\Upsilon \propto \lambda$.

The time evolution of the negativity is mainly governed by the dynamics of $\rho_{01,10}^t = |u/2|^2$. The fast oscillations in Fig. 2(b) can be understood by the circular revolution of the Bloch vector around the $u$ axis in Fig. 1(c). The negativity dip can, in the same manner, be understood in terms of $u(t)$ initially being in the vicinity of the phase space origin in addition to the requirement of Eq. (12) being fulfilled. The negativity saturation is due to $u(t)$ reaching its final value at the tip of the cone as $t \to \infty$.

In the case of two displaced oscillators, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$, the populations $P_{00}, P_{01}$, and $P_{10}$ again undergo a short transient behavior and quickly saturate at finite, steady values. The time evolution of the negativity is shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d). Initially, the negativity has decaying oscillations, with a period $\approx \pi/\lambda$, which occur due to the influence of decaying coherences between states with more than one excitation. For finite $\Upsilon$, the negativity first decays and then increases to settle at a finite value. This behavior is governed by $\Re \rho_{01,10} = u/2$, which is initially positive and monotonically decreases according to Eq. (11). Since $w = v = 0$, the Bloch vector points along the $u$ axis. When it crosses the origin, the negativity is minimal. This is also the reason for the absence of long-term oscillations in Fig. 2(d). The asymptotic value of the negativity is given by

$$N_{\infty} = \frac{1}{4} \left( P_{\text{odd}} - 1 + \sqrt{(P_{\text{odd}} - 1)^2 + P_{\text{odd}}^2} \right), \quad (13)$$

which only depends on the initial state via $P_{\text{odd}}$. If $\Upsilon$ were zero, $u(t) = u(0)$, and the negativity would quickly saturate at a finite value, as seen in Fig. 2(c).

**B. Finite temperature**

At finite temperatures the thermal excitations created by the bath in general, lead to a decay of the coherences between the Fock states. Therefore, one expects to observe a decay of the entanglement with increasing temperature. In Fig. 3 the time and temperature dependence of the negativity is shown. For temperatures $k_B T / \omega_0 < 1/100$ the negativity is slowly decaying with time. For larger temperatures the negativity is seen to be zero after a finite time. This behavior is known as ESD [22]. These results are also consistent with the previous result [29] that the occurrence of ESD and of entanglement sudden (re)birth at finite temperatures are generic features.

**IV. SUMMARY**

In this work we have investigated the quantum dynamics of two weakly interacting anharmonic oscillators, which are nonlinearly coupled to individual dissipative environments. This scenario leads to the formation of nonclassical steady states and, in particular, entanglement. Additionally, at finite temperatures the exotic features of entanglement sudden death and (re)birth are observed. Our results show that dissipation-induced quantum state generation is feasible without engineering the system-environment coupling. Utilizing the natural presence of nonlinear dissipation in nonlinear nanoscale systems provides a promising route to realize exotic quantum state generation.
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[26] The definitions are $P_{\text{even}} = \sum_{n,i,n+i \text{ even}} \rho_{ni,ni}$ and $P_{\text{odd}} = \sum_{n,i,n+i \text{ odd}} \rho_{ni,ni}$.