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Abstract:

The aim of this thesis is to compare end of life tyre (ELT) treatment technologies. The environmental impacts of the newly developed technique for recycling of tyres are calculated and compared with the traditional pyrolysis process.

Life cycle assessment (LCA) is applied to calculate the environmental impacts of newly developed CFC (carbonized by forced convection) process. The impacts calculated are based on the Eco-indicator 99 (Hierarchist approach) method provided by Gabi 4 software. The final results show that CFC is better option for the recycling of waste tyres and has less environmental impacts.
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BR: Poly butadiene rubber.
CB: Carbon Black.
CI: Cast Iron.
CFC: Carbonized by force convection.
DALY: Disability adjusted life years.
ELT: End of life tyres.
ETRMA: European tyre and rubber manufacturing association.
LCI: Life cycle Inventory.
LCIA: Life cycle impact analysis.
NMVOC: non-methane volatile organic compounds.
PAH: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.
PG: Power Generation.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

1 Introduction:

1.1 Background:
It is estimated that around 3.5 million tonnes of waste tyres are generated per year in Europe. The largest contributors to these waste tyres are Germany, France Italy, Spain and Poland. Over the years waste tyre disposal has been a major environmental problem arising from both economical and technical issues. Since the adoption of the Landfill Directive in 1999 (1) by the European Union, tyres are in that category which should not be landfilled at any cost, so an environmentally sustainable method is required to handle a large amount of tyres produced every year. According to this directive, tyres were banned from landfill in whole form and this directive has been also applicable to shredded tyres from July 2003. Before this tyres were stockpiled and dumped (2). According to ETRMA (European Tyre and Rubber Manufacturing Association) report 2010 (3), a positive trend is seen in the management of ELT (End of Life Tyres). The tyre recycling rate reached almost 96% and shows a positive trend in recycling tyres in EU. This achievement also promotes Europe as one of the most advanced regions in the world in the recycling and recovery of tyres. The cement industry is making a major contribution by using tyres as fuel because tyres have high energy content while steel is used as a secondary raw material. According to the ETRMA report the annual cost for handling the ELT is around 600 million Euros.

Before the landfill directive a large proportion of the waste tyre flow in the EU were landfilled, but after that rate of recycling of the tyres increased rapidly and landfilling decreased from 32% to 4% in 2009. Figure 1 shows how efficiently the recycling of used tyres is taken place in European Union since after the landfill directive. The major markets are for energy recovery (45%) and material recovery (41%).

![Evolution of used tyres recovery routes](image_url)

*Figure 1: ELT recovery route (3)*
The rate of recycling of tyres is due to management systems developed by EU countries, and they vary from country to country:

- Producer responsibility
- Tax System
- Free market system

1.1.1 Producer responsibility:
In EU there are three systems working for the managing the waste tires. The first system is producer responsibility. In this system the tire manufacturer and importer are responsible for organising and setting up a framework for end of life tires. The tire manufacturing companies finance collaboration with non-profit companies for collection and recovery of end of life tires through most economical way. This system is most economical suitable in a long run for resolving end of life tire arising and also to achieve 100% recycling (3).

1.1.2 Tax system:
The second system for managing the waste tires is to use the tax system. In this system every country is responsible for implementing the tax on tire manufacturers. It is financed by a tax levied on (tyre) production and subsequently passed on to the customer. This is an intermediate system and tax is collected by state and hence state is responsible for collaborating with the non-profit organizations for recovery of end of life tires (3).

1.1.3 Free market system:
The third system for managing the waste tires is free market system. In this system the legislation sets the objectives to be met but does not designate those responsible. In this way all the operators in the recovery chain contract under free market conditions and act in compliance with legislation. This may be backed up by voluntary cooperation between companies to promote best practices. The countries which are already operating under free market system are Austria, Bulgaria, Croatia, Germany, Ireland and Switzerland.

Recycling tyres is gaining wider acceptance due to the increase in raw material prices and growing environmental awareness among governments, manufacturers and consumers. Now after achieving 96% ELT recycling, the tyres associations are looking for environmentally friendly and economical options for tyre recycling (3).

1.2 Overview of waste tyre problem:
The generation of waste tyres is major environmental concern for because of fire hazards, environmental hazards, land usage etc. Now after the legislation implemented in EU stockpiling is banned, but still there are many illegal stockpiles present in the EU. According to the ETRMA report 2010 current estimate for these historic stockpiles throughout the EU stands at 5.5 million tonnes (1.73 times the tyres produced in 2009 mass of waste 2009) (3).
1.2.1 Collection and handling waste tyres:
As a large number of used tyres are produced every year it is very difficult to handle this much waste. The major problems with dumping tyres are:

- Large space is required.
- The large sites for stockpiling the waste tyres are often hazardous for health and they spread diseases by holding water for a longer period of time and providing sites for breeding of mosquitoes.
- Tyres tend to float and come up to the surface after dumping.

The above problems can be solved by shredding the tyres but shredding cost is high.

1.2.2 Fire hazards:
Tyres contain flammable and toxic materials, like carbon, sulphur, rubber, oil, and benzene. The potential for tyre stockpile ignition is due to the 75% void space in scrap tyres stockpiles. If they catch fire they are hard to extinguish because tyres have high fuel contents: 9.02 to 9.6 KW/hr versus 5.15 to 7.73 KW/hr. per Kg for coal (4). Water on tyres increase the production of pyrolytic oil and provide the mode of transportation oil and hazardous chemicals off site and contaminating soil and ground water.

1.2.3 Environmental hazards:
Open burning of tyres produces many toxic gases which are extremely harmful for environment and human health. The burning of tyres releases benzene, lead, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), dioxins and furans. (5) The PAHs are fat soluble and not easily degradable in environment and may to accumulate in living organisms. (6) Burning of tyres also pollutes the soil and ground water. The tyre stockpiles are also ideal breeding place for mosquitoes and pests and they causes harmful diseases like LaCrosse encephalitis and West Nile virus which can be fatal to humans (7)

1.3 Sustainable Process:
After collecting the waste tyres there are different ways to treat them depending upon the end use. Tyres are now considered a resource instead of waste because of their different applications and high value relative to the recovery cost. ELT can be used as fuel in cement kilns or can be recycled into different useful components. These methods are an option for handling the huge amount of waste tyres; however a major goal is to look for sustainable and profitable method for recycling waste tyres and also process as with lower environmental impacts.
1.4 Overview of the report:

This report includes a review of the existing processes for the treatment of waste tyres the structure of the report is based on the international standard for LCA. The main stages for LCA are:

1. Aim and scope
2. Inventory analysis
3. Life cycle impact assessment (LCIA)
4. Results interpretation

The introductory chapter includes the introduction and background of the problems due to the tyre waste. The second chapter contains the literature review about different tyre recycling processes, and goal and scope of the thesis. This part also includes system boundaries, allocations, impact assessment methodology and limitations. The third part includes Life cycle inventory (LCI) data compilation and analysis. In this part the whole CFC process is described and also the material and energy balance of the process is described. The fourth chapter includes Life Cycle Impact Analysis (LCIA). In this part different impact categories are discussed and impacts are calculated using software Gabi 4. The fifth chapter includes the Life cycle interpretation; in this part the results of different impact categories are interpreted. After Life cycle interpretation the next parts includes the conclusion and then the recommendations to make the process better and to reduce the impacts of the process on the environment.
2 Literature review

2.1 Life Cycle Assessment (LCA):
Life cycle assessment (LCA) is process analysis method and is cradle-to-grave approach for analyzing industrial systems. Cradle-to-grave method begins with the raw material for the production of a specified product, the usage of that product and after usage the recycling of that product till all the material returns to earth. LCA enables the estimation of the cumulative environmental impacts resulting from all stages in the product life cycle. (8)

LCA of the system relates to the different processes through the life span of the product. In these processes the raw material acquisition, manufacturing, use and reuse of the product and recycling (waste management) of the product. During these processes the inputs and outputs of the process are measured for calculating the impacts of the process. Figure – shows different stages of LCA.

![Life Cycle Stages](image)

Life cycle process is a systematic approach, consist of four steps. According to ISO 14044 life cycle assessment includes four steps. The four main steps for LCA are:

1. Goal and scope definition.
2. Inventory analysis.
4. Interpretation.
In goal and scope definition the process or product to be studied and the purpose of the study are decided on. The goal and scope definition includes the reason of the study and its application and also the intended audience to whom the results are to be communicated. The functional unit is defined and clarifying system boundaries. In this step the environmental impacts being considered are also included. The selected impacts determine the parameters for which data will be calculated during the next step, Inventory analysis (10).

The first step in Inventory analysis is construction of process flow sheet of the process according to the goal and scope definition. The flow sheet includes the system analysed and flows of the processes. The second step is to collect the data for the system, including raw materials, energy, emissions and output waste within the system boundaries of the processes (10).

Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) is to calculate the environmental impacts of the data used for the process according to inventory analysis step. Impact assessment consists of three different steps including classification, characterization and weighting.

In the classification step, inventory parameters are categorized into environmental impact categories such as global warming potential, acidification, primary energy usage and other groups, based on their potential impact. In the characterization step, science-based conversion factors are used for calculation of the results within the impact categories.
Chapter 2: Literature Review

The weighting step is optional for highlighting important potential impacts. Different weighting methods, such as Eco-indicator 99 or EDIP, can be applied for summarizing the results in the impact assessment, resulting in a single figure that is easier to explain (10).

2.2 Recycling of Waste Tyres:
The main emphasis in literature review is on pyrolysis process because this process is somewhat similar to the newly developed CFC process. The different methods used are:

- Reuse of car tyres
- Retreading
- Shredding
- Used as a fuel in cement kilns
- Pyrolysis
- Gasification

2.2.1 Retreading:
Retreading is a process which increases the life span of the tyre and it is basically the remanufacturing of the tyre. For production of new car tyre 28 litres of oil are required but for retreading of car tyre only 5.5 litres of oil are used (11). To retread a tyre it is necessary that the tyre carcass is in good condition, otherwise this process is not applicable for recycling of waste tyres. Retreading of the car tyre is only done once in the life cycle of a tyre. In retreading process the old tread of the tyre is removed by a process called buffing. After buffing the tyre a flat surface is formed, on which a tread is vulcanized. Retreading is of two types:

1. Hot retreading.
2. Cold retreading.

**Hot Retreading:**
In hot retreading process after buffing the old tyre, an unvulcanised rubber is applied on the tyre and then it is vulcanized in a heating press. Hot retreading process is done at 150 °C. Hot retreading process is good for tyres having poor conditions of carcass, but in this process we need separate moulds for every tread size and it has a high capital investment.

**Cold Retreading:**
In cold retreading process after buffing a precured tread is applied on the buffered tyre and adhesive is used to paste the tread on the tyre. Cold retreading is done at 95-110 °C (11). Cold retreading process needs less investment and is considered good for the performance of the tyre.

2.2.2 Shredding:
Tyres which are not able to be retreaded undergo a shredding process. Shredding reduces almost 75 percent of the volume of the waste tyres. For shredding 19.2 KWh energy is required to shred 1000 Kg of waste tyres (12). Shredded tyres are used for different applications depending upon the size of shredded rubber, but the cost for shredding increases significantly as the size decreases as shown in fig. 2:
At first the whole tyres are fed into the large shredder where the tyres are shredded into large chunks of approximately 7.62-10.16 centimetres, then these large pieces of shredded tyres undergo heavy shredding to produce tyre chips of small size approx. 0.635 centimetres. These tyre chips are used for power generation like in cement kilns as tyre derived fuel (TDF). Subsequently these tyre chips undergo a series of granulations to produced crumb rubber of different sizes; crumb rubber has size 0.9525 centimetres or less. Crumb rubber is divided into four main groups,

1. Large or coarse (0.9525 and 0.635)
2. Mid-range (10–30 mesh or 0.079–0.039)
3. Fine (40–80 mesh or 0.016–0.007)
4. Superfine (100–200 mesh or 0.006–0.003) (14)

2.2.3 Cryogenic Shredding:
Cryogenic shredding is used to obtain better quality product and for complete removal of metal from rubber. In cryogenic shredding the whole car tyre or tyre chips are first cooled down to -80 °C (far below the glass transition temperature) by using liquid nitrogen. At this temperature tyres become brittle and are easy to crush and to separate rubber, steel and fibre. The major negative impact of the cryogenic process is that it’s a costly process due to the usage of liquid nitrogen as coolant.
Figure 5: Shredding Process (14)
2.2.4 Uses of Crumb Rubber:
As crumb rubber is free of metal and fibre so it is used for different application depends upon the size and requirement. Different applications of crumb rubber are:

- Used to produce reclaimed rubber.
- Used as TDF in cement Kilns.
- Used to replace virgin material.
- Used for children’s playground surfaces and tracks.
- Used as soil amendment for sports turf.
- Used in road construction.
- Used for civil engineering purposes for construction.

2.2.5 Reclaiming of crumb rubber:
Reclamation of scrap tyres is basically a process of devulcanisation of crumb rubber. For the manufacturing process of scrap tyres the rubber is vulcanized by using sulphur, peroxides and different other methods for improving the dynamic properties of tyres and prevent the tyre from cracks, however the use of sulphur makes the tyre less heat resistant. The reclamation process the tyres are shredded to a crumb rubber of suitable size, and then steel and fibre are removed by magnetic separator and fibre separator. After the separation of steel and fibre the rubber is more grinded to a finer size and then mixed with different reclaiming agent depend upon their function and reaction with the process (15). Reclamation of crumb rubber is done by different thermal, thermo-mechanical and mechano-chemical methods (15). The processes used for reclamation are:

**Thermal processes:**
- Heater or pan.
- Digester.
- Alkaline.
- Neutral.
- High-pressure steam.
- Engelke.
- Continuous steam.

**Thermo-mechanical processes:**
The thermo-mechanical processes for reclamation are:

- High-speed mixing
- Reclaimer.

**Mechano-chemical processes:**
The Mechano- Chemical processes for reclamation are:

- Trelleborg cold reclaiming (TCR).
- De-Link.
- Swelling in benzene with a sulfoxide.
2.2.6 Used as Tyre Derived Fuel (TDF):

Due to the high calorific value, (31400 KJ/Kg approx.), low ash content and also low moisture content, tyres are used as an effective fuel in cement kilns, electricity-generating facilities, paper mills, iron foundries etc. (16). TDF is used in cement kilns as a fuel to replace coal. The major reason for using TDF is that it contains less sulphur than coal used as a fuel in the cement kilns. Tyre contains 1.24-1.30% of sulphur and the coal used in kilns contains approximately 1.5% S (16). TDF is basically of three types, (17)

- TDF with steel.
- TDF without steel.
- Crumb rubber.

TDF with steel has less calorific value among these three due to the presence of steel and fibres, (some cement industries use whole tyres as TDF due to their large combustion units), while crumb rubber has the higher calorific value. The most common TDF used is without steel of size between 25mm and 50 mm squares. The main reason for using TDF is that it has low emissions than the coal being used in the cement kilns. The comparative analysis of coal and TDF is shown in Table 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Coal</th>
<th>TDF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volatile (%)</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash (%)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon (%)</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen (%)</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur (%)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen (%)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Heating Value (KJ/Kg)</td>
<td>27,430</td>
<td>31,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TDF is used to replace coal from cement kilns but 100% TDF cannot be used as a fuel due to presence of large quantity of zinc. TDF is a low cost fuel and its good option for replacing coal because the ash is incorporated into the final product, there is no additional waste.

2.2.7 Used for civil engineering purposes:
Scrap tyres are usually shredded and used for different civil engineering purposes. For this purpose we use large chunks of tyres and that is why shredding is easier. The major civil engineering applications from waste tyres are (18),

- Uses as lightweight fill material for embankment.
- Used for backfill for wall and bridge abutments.
- Used as thermal insulation to reduce frost penetration under highways.
- Septic system drain fields.
Scrap tyres are used in civil engineering purposes because of good physical properties, the main useful properties of Tyre Derived Aggregate (TDA) are (18),

- Water absorption capacity.
- Unit weight (720 and 930 Kg per cubic meter).
- Shear strength.
- Long-term and short-term deformation and compressibility.
- Thermal conductivity (for 7.62 cm. maximum size 0.000525 W/m.K.)
- Hydraulic conductivity.

These properties of scrap tyres (Shredded) are compared with mineral aggregate, coarse sand or gravel. Due to the light weight, high porosity and lower density of scrap tyres are easier to use for different applications. Shredded tyres have also low impact on the ground water, and it also reduces the construction cost as well.

2.2.8 Used for sports turf and different surfaces:
Scrap tyres are used for sports turf, play grounds for children and for different indoor surfaces. For these uses the scrap tyres are shredded to crumb rubber of different sizes mechanically and all the steel and fibre is removed using magnetic separator. Usage of scrap tyres for these surfaces has also potential benefits regarding to health and environmental aspects. The major benefits are (19):

- Usage of crumb rubber for these surfaces decreases the chances of injuries of athletes.
- These sports turf have good porosity, drainage and resiliency of the soil.
- The turfs are long lasting and age of these turfs varies from 10-25 years.
- These turfs are economical, long lasting and little maintenance.
- Good option for usage of recycling of tyres.

Rubber turfs are also softer than the natural grass and have less chance of injuries as well specially in cold season. Another good environmental impact of usage of these turfs is that the requirement of water also decreases, and it does not require use of any chemical pesticides, herbicides, fertilizers and fuel-powered maintenance equipment (20). However these turfs have some problems in its usage as well, like newer turf field contained PAHs level above health soil standard, but with the passage of usage the PAHs level decreases.

2.2.9 Pyrolysis of waste tyres:
The thermal degradation of car tyres in the absence of oxygen is called pyrolysis of tyres. From pyrolysis of tyres the components generated are carbon, steel, gases and oil. The steel obtained is recycled and then reused, oil obtained is similar to diesel and can be used to run power plant, and rubber is used to make to different plastics. The carbon obtained from pyrolysis of tyres is not of good quality and cannot be used in manufacturing of new car tyres. The carbon obtained is then converted to carbon black or activated carbon. The major applications of activated carbon are water purification, air purification and some special applications such as fuel cells and nuclear power station (21). Pyrolysis of tyres is a better option for recycling than retreading, shredding, TDF and other processes, but it has certain limits that the products obtained are not of good quality, and hence the economy of the
process is not good. Pyrolysis of the tyres yields different products at different temperatures, but there is a small difference in change in composition when it reaches at higher temperature. The pyrolysis yield at different temperatures is shown in Table 3:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pyrolysis temperature (°C)</th>
<th>300 °C</th>
<th>400 °C</th>
<th>500 °C</th>
<th>600 °C</th>
<th>700 °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solid</td>
<td>87.6 ± 7.8</td>
<td>55.9 ± 5.5</td>
<td>44.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>44.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>43.7 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid</td>
<td>4.8 ± 3.9</td>
<td>24.8 ± 6.0</td>
<td>38.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>38.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>38.5 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>7.6 ± 3.9</td>
<td>19.3 ± 2.2</td>
<td>17.2 ± 1.8</td>
<td>17.2 ± 1.8</td>
<td>17.8 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Elemental composition wt % of pyrolysis of tyres is shown in Table 4:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Wt %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>74.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In organics (non-combustible matter)</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the literature review of life cycle assessment of the management options of car tyres in UK, the major products obtained from the pyrolysis of waste tyres are as shown in Table 5. The functional unit used for this system is 1 Tonne of waste tyres (12).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Products</th>
<th>Wt. (1 tonne)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The electricity required for this pyrolysis process is 187.6 KWhr. Due to the indirect heating in the pyrolysis process, heat losses are more than the new developed CFC process. In CFC process the pyrolysis gas is heating medium and it flows inside the reactor through a perforated pipe and blown directly over the tyres which ensures a very good distribution of the gas through the tyres that is why the products obtained from this process are of good quality and of high yield. While in traditional pyrolysis process due to indirect heating yield is low and products are of not good quality i.e. the carbon black obtained is of low grade and is of not that quality to use for the manufacturing of new tyres. Another problem with using
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the traditional pyrolysis process to recycle tyres is that it is hard to control the heating and pressure of the process.

2.3 Goal and Scope:

2.3.1 Goal:
The main aim of this thesis is to evaluate and compare potential environmental impacts of pyrolysis and the CFC process, a newly developed process for tyre recycling by Scandinavian Enviro Systems (SES). The study should identify any advantages of this process and point out the improvements required in this process regarding to energy and environment because this process is on pilot plant scale and soon it will be shifted to a full process plant scale.

2.3.2 Scope:
The focus of this study is the environmental impact of the ELT by associated with newly developed process by SES. The operations involved in ELT are material recovery and energy recovery. In material recovery the tyres are shred, and ground to recover materials like steel and rubber. In energy recovery the tyres are used in cement kilns or in furnaces as a fuel.

The functional unit used for the calculation of environmental impacts assessment is the processing of one batch (7 tonnes) of tyres. These calculations are for full scale process plant. The CFC process is a batch process. This study covers the waste treatment of car tyres by CFC technology, and also the impact analysis of this process. The system boundaries of this life cycle analysis are mainly that this study is from gate to grave and relates after the usage of the tyres by the user till its recycling and conversion of waste tyres into useful products. This study is for the recycling of car tyres in EU, but the process developed by CFC can be used for all types of tyres. The impact assessment is based on the Swedish electricity mix.

2.5 Allocation:
The CFC process used by Scandinavian enviro systems is on pilot plant scale and soon the full process plant will be launched, so the data used for LCA is taken from pilot plant. Allocation has been avoided by expanding the system boundaries wherever possible. The mass balance and energy balance data is converted from pilot plant scale to full scale process.

2.6 Data Collection:
Data used for the life cycle assessment of CFC process was taken from the pilot plant of CFC process and is later upscaled for the full process plant. The data used for the other processes was taken from previous research studies and different tyre companies in EU. The data extracted from the pilot plant is upscaled, so there might be error of less than 5% in quality of the data.
2.7 *Avoided product from valuable products:*
After recycling of the tyres we get the many useful by-products, but the recycled material may not be accepted as substitution for the virgin materials because of its properties and market value. The LCI data for avoided product is also taken from Gabi software. So from literature survey, Gabi software and previous studies of LCA of tyres the following assumptions are taken (22):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Products</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon black</td>
<td>1:0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cast iron</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>1:0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.8 *Impact Assessment Methodology:*
The impact assessment and interpretation is done according to the ISO guidelines. The impact assessment methodology Eco-indicator 99 (Hierarchist approach) provided by Gabi software (23) is used to calculate the environmental impacts of CFC process. It is characterized as damage-oriented approach, modelling damage into three categories: ecosystem quality, human health and resources. Three perspectives based on different assumption regarding the cultural perspectives and impact timeframe are defined in Eco-indicator 99: individualist (higher weight to human health), egalitarian (higher weight to ecosystem quality) and hierarchist (equal weight distribution). The Eco-Indicator 99 approach is used for the sake of keeping a balance between short and long term effects of emissions, hierarchist valuation approach is selected for the determination of environmental impact of tyre treatment processes, from a hierarchist viewpoint Eco-Indicator 99 with an average weighting. (22)

The impact categories assessed are:
1. Climate change.
2. Inorganic respiratory.
3. Organic respiratory.
5. Acidification/nutrification.
7. Fossil fuels.

2.9 *GaBi Software:*
GaBi 4 software has been used for building case models and simulating the systems from a life cycle perspective. Models for any process can be created in GaBi, material and energy inputs and outputs, emissions, aggregate results, generate charts, and even create interactive reports using the GaBi i-report add-on (23)
2.10 Limitations:
There are some limitations for the thesis. Firstly the tyre recovery systems boundaries exclude the identical tyre life cycle stages (production, use collection, transportation and secondary waste treatment) reduces the scope of the overall evaluation of the total potential impacts through their life cycle. Secondly the limitation is data availability. The data available for LCA is from a pilot scale plant; first the data is converted into full scale process plant. When scaling up and shifting the process to the production stage, there might be difference in data results from plant production. Data on the volume of cooling water in the CFC process was unavailable, also for the pilot plant, data is not available for district heating so that data is taken from literature. District heating data is different for different places and also for some places district heating is not required so that heat can be used for other processes where energy is required. This LCA is based on the environmental impacts only and still the economical analysis of this process is not carried out. This report addresses the waste treatment of car tyres in EU, but the CFC process can be used as waste treatment method anywhere in world.
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Figure 6: Waste tyre life cycle Hierarchy
3 Life cycle Inventory:

3.1 Power supply:
The electricity data is used from the Gabi database for Swedish power grid mix. This data is up-to-date in 2010. During the start-up of the process power is required to run the plant but when production starts pyrolysis gas is enough to meet the requirement of the plant.

3.2 Composition of new tyres:
The major constituents of scrap tyres are natural rubber, synthetic rubber, carbon black, steel, textile, and additives. The synthetic rubbers mainly used in tyres are SBR (styrene butadiene) and BR (poly butadiene rubber).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tyre Composition</th>
<th>Percentage(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural Rubber</td>
<td>15-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic Rubber</td>
<td>25-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Black</td>
<td>24-28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>9-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textiles</td>
<td>5-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additives</td>
<td>14-15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 CFC Technology:
The CFC process (carbonised by forced convection) is a new patented technology by SES (Scandinavian Enviro systems). CFC technology is different from traditional pyrolysis process. Pyrolysis is a thermochemical decomposition process without the participation of oxygen, while in CFC process pyrolysis gas is used as a heating medium and is in direct contact with the tyres.

After the recycling of car tyres by CFC technology the products obtained by weight percent are 30% carbon black, 45% oil, 10% gas and 15% steel. (24)

Figure 7: Products % after recycling by CFC Technology (24; 25)
3.3.1 Carbon Black:
The main product obtained from the recycling of car tyres is carbon black which is of 30% of the total products. Carbon black produced by the CFC process is comparable with the virgin carbon black N550 (24) as shown in table 6. The table 6 shows the rubber vulcanization test results of carbon black produced by CFC process by using Moving Die Rheometer (MDR).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDR Rheometer</th>
<th>Hardness</th>
<th>Density</th>
<th>Tensile Strength</th>
<th>Elongation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ML</td>
<td>MH</td>
<td>T 05</td>
<td>T 50</td>
<td>T 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgin CB N550</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>00:23</td>
<td>00:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFC CB 1</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>00:24</td>
<td>00:41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFC CB 2</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>00:23</td>
<td>00:41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFC CB 3</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>00:22</td>
<td>00:39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFC CB 4</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>00:23</td>
<td>00:40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


3.3.2 Oil:
The oil produced from the CFC process is 45% of the total yield. The oil produced from the traditional pyrolysis plant is used for the energy production, but this oil contains many valuable chemicals, that can be refined. In full scale process plant, after quenching tower distillation column is installed to extract kerosene, styrene, benzene, diesel etc.

3.3.3 Gas:
The gas produced during the CFC process is 10% of the total yield. The gas serves as an energy source to run the plant, excessive gas is stored in the storage tank to use for different purposes and also to sell for central heating purposes to minimise the environmental impacts.

3.3.4 Steel:
The steel produced from this process is 15% of the total yield. Steel produced is sold to scrap market. This can also be used in reinforcement in concrete.

3.4 Pre-process:
The SES plant, shredded tyres are delivered with particle size approximately 5-15 cm. The shredded tyres are dumped to a storage area; there is an outdoor space to store about 1000 tonnes of tyres. Tyres are fed to the plant by a conveyer belt; a level transmitter stops the conveyer belt when the reactor is full.

3.4.1 Optional pre-process:
The company is also thinking to build a shredder plant as well with the process plant for the shredding of tyres. When the shredder plant is erected with the process plant whole tyres will also be acceptable for recycling. In operational Pre Process the whole tyre is fed to the shredder and then approximately the required size 5-15 cm is obtained. The energy required to shred 1000 kg of waste tyre is 19.2 KWhr (12). The main purpose of building the
shredding plant is that to recycle the tyres as much as possible and also to reduce the overall cost of the process, because to purchase the shredded tyres costs more and affects the overall economy of the process used.

Another optional Pre-process is tyre washer and dryer, if the incoming tyres are not clean enough then the incoming tyres are fed to the washer, and then dryer.

3.4.2 Start up:
The pressure inside the reactor should be 1-1.2 bar and the oxygen level must be less than 4%. To decrease the oxygen level the reactor must be purged with nitrogen after closing the reactor top valves. The root blower creates a circulating gas flow 3000 Nm3/hr through a heating unit, reactor and cooling unit.

3.4.3 Heating Unit:
The pyrolytic gas produced after the reactor is recycled, compressed and stored. This pyrolytic gas is used for heating purpose. The heating unit consists of a burner, during the start up of the process propane is used for burning, but after the process starts the pyrolytic gas is enough for heating purpose. The two batches are working simultaneously and through the valves it is possible to choose that which reactor is to be processed. When one batch is working the other one is being cooled, emptied filled and purged.

3.4.4 Reactor Unit:
The CFC process is a semi batch process; the plant consists of two reactors which take turns to be heated, cooled, emptied, filled, and purged. It means that the heater and the cooler runs whole times, the hot gas flows just switches between the reactors. The reactor is of height 6 meter including the top, and the width of the reactor is 2 meters, the maximum capacity of the reactor is 7-8 tonnes. The temperature inside the reactor is 570 °C. The operating pressure of the process is 1-1.3 bar gauge, however the design pressure for whole process is 3 bar gauge. The exhaust gases from the gas burner are under atmospheric pressure. The gas entered into the middle of the reactor through a perforated pipe. The pipe is divided into four zones along its axis and the incoming gas is flowing through each zone and is controlled by valves. The time required to complete one batch is 4 hrs.

3.4.5 Cooling Process:
The cooling process consists of two different lines, each reactor can be cooled by either line, and the other can undergo maintenance. Two heat exchangers are used on each line for the cooling purpose. The first heat exchanger is used to condense the gasified oil from the rubber. In this heat exchanger the cooling media used is thermal oil with an inlet temperature of 70 °C. This heat exchanger lowers the temperature of the gasified oil to 130 °C. To cool the gasified oil the second heat exchanger is used, the cooling medium used for this heat exchanger is water and the inlet temperature of water is 20 °C. This heat exchanger cooled the gasified oil to 30 °C. At 30 °C some gases in the C1-C4 range does not condense. These gases pass through a cyclone before returning to the root blower, then these gases can be used for the burner and the process can be optimised. These gases can increase the pressure of the system, so to maintain the pressure a gas compressor is used to compress the excess gas. In case of high pressure or if the compressor is not working properly then the excess gas is
diverted to the flare and burned. The cooling gas flow circulates until the reactor temperature reaches 70°C.

3.5 Post Process:
When the reactor is cooled the reactor top is opened by opening the top valves. The reactor is emptied by a vacuum pipe.

3.5.1 Magnetic Separator:
The mixture of steel and carbon passes through the magnetic separator where steel is separated from the carbon. Electrical energy is used for magnetic separator, the total energy required to separate the carbon and steel is 5 KWh. After the magnetic separator the carbon is sent for the Milling process, while steel is separated and is recycled.

3.5.2 Milling Process:
During the milling process the carbon separated from separator is fed into the mill that micronised the carbon to carbon black powder of size approximately 1 µm. the energy required for milling process is 200 KWh/batch.

3.5.3 Granulation (Pelletizing):
The carbon black produced from the milling process could be pelletized in a mixer; this process is called palletising or granulation. Pelletizing can be done by the use of oil or water. The energy used for granulation is 21 KWh/batch

3.5.4 Drying:
Drying of the carbon black granulates of is done in dryer. The energy required to dry the granules is 22.61 KWh. Dryer is used when water is used for palletizing otherwise the dryer is not used. In LCI water is used for palletizing hence the energy used for drying is also considered the efficiency of the dryer is taken as 60%.

3.5.4 Packaging Process:
After granulation process the carbon black is packaged in large plastic bags or bulk handled and is ready to dispatch.
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Table 7: Input and output of CFC process (7 metric tonnes of waste tires)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heating Unit</td>
<td>Pyrolysis gas</td>
<td>3822</td>
<td>Kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heating Energy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>MWhr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactor</td>
<td>Tyres</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>tonnes</td>
<td>Company data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooler</td>
<td>Oil and Gas</td>
<td>3850</td>
<td>Kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic Separator</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>Kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steel Scrap</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>Kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milling</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>KWhr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulation</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>KWhr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drying</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>22.61</td>
<td>KWhr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: Electricity Requirement and Emissions (For 1 metric tonne of waste tires)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>CFC</th>
<th>Pyrolysis</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>152*</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>KWhr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions to Air</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>Kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>Kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>Kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9: Input and Output of Conventional Pyrolysis Process (7 metric tonnes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pyrolysis Process</th>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Amount Kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input</td>
<td>Waste tires</td>
<td>7000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>1400 Kwh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>2520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>2660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>840</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Electricity produced by Pyrolysis gas is 1400 Kwh (for 7 metric tonne of waste tires)
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Figure 8: Process description CFC Plan
4. Life cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA)

Material and Energy Balance:

The material and energy balance for the CFC process is done for the full scale process plant, but this balance is based on the pilot scale plant. The numbers of experiments were done on the different temperatures to check the quality of the products and products ratio. The composition of the pyrolysis gas is taken at 400 °C for this material and energy balance. The composition of the pyrolysis gas is shown in table

Table 10: Composition of Pyrolysis gas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Percentage %(molar)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide</td>
<td>23.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon monoxide</td>
<td>17.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water gas</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethene</td>
<td>3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethane</td>
<td>6.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>5.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propane</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butane</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentane</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The basis taken for material and energy balance is one batch (7 metric tonnes) of the CFC process. The pyrolysis gas is combusted to produce carbon dioxide, water and unburned gases. The total impacts are calculated for one batch (7 metric tonnes), but for comparison the impacts are converted for 1 metric tonne of the scrap tyres.

4.1 Impact Categories:

The impact assessment is done by using Gabi 4 software; results are discussed under the following categories:

4.1.1 Climate Change:

Climate change is caused by the greenhouse gases; mainly carbon dioxide (CO2), carbon monoxide (CO), nitrous oxide (N2O) and methane (CH4). Figure 7 shows the greenhouse gas emissions of the CFC process and pyrolysis process.
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The major contribution in climate change is due to the electrical power generation. The treatment process that is, all the foreground unit operations as shown in figure 8 has much lower climate change impacts. These impacts are calculated when pyrolysis gas is not used in the process (batch process). When the process is continuous process, pyrolysis gas is enough to meet the requirement of the power generation and the climate change impacts will be lower. A large proportion of the CFC emissions, and all of the pyrolysis emissions are offset by the avoided products of oil, carbon black and cast iron.

4.1.2 Respiratory (Inorganic):

The contribution to the respiratory (inorganic) emissions is mainly due to the SOx and NOx emissions. Figure 8 shows that the major contribution in respiratory impacts for CFC process is due to the treatment process, while power generation has very low value and is not visible in the graph. On the other hand the pyrolysis process has more impacts than CFC process. Even if the avoided products were taken into account CFC process have less respiratory Inorganic impacts.
4.1.3 Respiratory (Organic):
Methane (CH₄) and NMVOC (non-methane volatile organic compounds) are major contributor to respiratory (organic) impacts. Respiratory impacts due to the power generation are very less as compared to the pyrolysis process, however both process have no impacts due to the treatment process. Carbon black has the major proportion in the avoided products. Overall CFC process has less respiratory (Organic) impacts.

![Figure 11: Respiratory (Organic)](image1)

4.1.4 Carcinogenic:
Emissions of heavy metals to air and soil, and organic emissions to air (group VOC) are major contributor for carcinogenic effects. Power generation has carcinogenic impacts in CFC process but its value is less than the pyrolysis process. Cast iron is major contributor in avoided products in CFC process. If avoided products were taken into account CFC process is better than the Pyrolysis process.

![Figure 12: Carcinogenic](image2)
4.1.5 Acidification/Nutrification:
NOx, SO\textsubscript{2}, and ammonia are the main causes of this impact category. Electricity generation is the major source of this impact category. CFC process has fewer emissions than the pyrolysis process and also due to the Swedish electricity mix, also the treatment process less impacts than the pyrolysis process. If the impacts from the products obtained taken into account CFC process has the lower value than the pyrolysis process as shown in fig. 12.

4.1.6 Ecotoxicity:
Ecotoxicity impacts are mainly due to the heavy metal emissions to the air and soil. In addition to these, aromatic hydrocarbons such as benzene, toluene and styrene are also causing ecotoxicity. CFC process has very less ecotoxicity effects due to the power generation. Overall CFC process has less impact value resulting from the avoided production of carbon black and cast iron.
4.1.7 Fossil Fuels:
This impact is related to the consumption of crude oil, natural gas and coal. The major source of impact in CFC process is due to the natural gas used in the gas burner. Impacts due to the power generation of both the process are almost same, but when we consider the impacts due to the avoided products CFC process has more avoided impacts than the pyrolysis process due to the production of carbon black and oil.

![Figure 15: Fossil Fuel](image)

4.2 Normalized and weighted results:
Normalization and weighting of both the processes is calculated using EU reference values in the hierarchist version of the EI99 method. Table 11 shows the normalisation values and the weighting values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Damage categories</th>
<th>Impact Categories</th>
<th>Normalization Value</th>
<th>Weighting values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human Health</td>
<td>Climate Change, Respiratory (organic), Respiratory (Inorganic), Carcinogenic effects</td>
<td>1.54E-02 (DALY/inhabitant/yr)</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecosystem quality</td>
<td>Acidification/Nutrification</td>
<td>5.13E+03 (PDF<em>m^2</em>yr/inhabitant/yr)</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resources</td>
<td>Fossil Fuels</td>
<td>8.41E+03 (MJ/inhabitant/yr)</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The total impacts of both the process are calculated by using these values. Figure 14 shows the total impact assessment of CFC and pyrolysis process, considering the avoided impacts from the products obtained and energy. Figure 14 show that the CFC process is more suitable and environmental friendly process, having less environmental impacts. It can be seen that the total impacts of both the process are mainly contributed by climate change and fossil fuel impact categories, which are more related to the indirect impacts by electricity generation (power requirements) and avoided impacts generated by products obtained such as oil and carbon black.

![Figure 16: Comparison of total impacts of Pyrolysis and CFC process](image)

### 4.3: Discussion:

The availability of process data from different studies on pyrolysis is limited, so making compilation of comparative LCI is difficult. Therefore, process data available only are presented in Table 12 below (12). Clearly from the table there are wide differences in process data depending on how any combustible byproducts are utilized. There are many other options for recycling of waste tires but nowadays but pyrolysis process are mainly used for recycling of waste tires to recover useful products and also used for energy purposes. The other options for recycling of tires are gasification, Microwave treatment and use in cement kilns as an energy source but these processes have more emissions and environmental impacts (12).

The CFC process is advanced technology for pyrolysis process. The pyrolysis processes compared are of two different types. In type 1 Pyrolysis the pyrolysis of shredded waste tires is in a configuration designed for combined heat and power generation (CHP). The products are carbon, steel, heat and electricity. In this process the oil and gas produced are used for CHP plant to generate heat and electricity. Sodium bicarbonate is used in CHP power plant
and spent bicarbonate is produced as a waste of CHP power plant and has more environmental impacts than the ordinary pyrolysis process and CFC process. The water usage for type 1 pyrolysis process is also more than the CFC process. The overall emissions of type 1 pyrolysis are more than the type 2 pyrolysis and CFC process.

The second type of pyrolysis used for the comparison is conventional pyrolysis process. The pyrolysis of shredded tires is done and the products obtained from the process are carbon, oil and steel (12). The carbon obtained from conventional pyrolysis process is not of good quality due to the indirect heating, and also the unburned carbon produced during the process. The carbon obtained from this process is further processed to obtain carbon black N330 to use for different purposes. The overall emissions and environmental impacts of conventional pyrolysis process are less than the type 1 pyrolysis process but more than the CFC process.

Pyrolysis process is also in use for recycling of waste tires in China. The input and output data for recycling of waste tires is shown in table 12. In this pyrolysis process the gas produced is used in pyrolysis process but the amount of gas produced is very small. The carbon obtained from this process is close to semi reinforcing grade carbon black due to the direct heating, later this carbon undergoes processing and the carbon is converted to the carbon black N330 (22). The amount of carbon black produced is half of the carbon processed. The electricity used for this process is from coal combustion and have more environmental impacts. The overall emissions for this pyrolysis process are also more than the CFC process.

4.4 Conclusion and Recommendations:

The results from the environmental impacts assessment of Gabi software and comparison of the two pyrolysis process considered concludes that the CFC process is better than the traditional pyrolysis process and have less environmental impacts. Also the products obtained from the CFC process are of good quality and has more avoided impacts. Carbon black obtained from the CFC process is N550, due to better heating process by changing reactor design and process, and thus can be used in the manufacturing of new tyres, while in pyrolysis process the carbon black obtained is N330 and it cannot be used for manufacturing of new tyres (12). The major environmental impacts in CFC process are due to usage of electricity for different processes.

The CFC process can be improved by making some changes to the process. A Distillation column is necessary for distillation of oil; because the oil and the pyrolysis gas obtained from the tire recycling by CFC process contains many useful by-products. The results of combustion analysis of pyrolysis gas are shown in table 13. The major impacts in CFC process are due to the electricity and gas usage in the process, so energy optimization of the process is necessary to be done. Also the water used for the for granulation process can be used for cooling the oil and gas in heat exchanger, so pinch analysis of the system is also worthwhile to save the power consumption and thus have less environmental impacts.
Table 12: Comparison between different Pyrolysis process and CFC process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Pyrolysis Type 1 (CHP)</th>
<th>Pyrolysis Type 2</th>
<th>Pyrolysis Li. et al (22)</th>
<th>CFC Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Bicarbonate</td>
<td>30.5 Kg/t</td>
<td>268 KWh/t</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>152 KWh/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>0.5 Kg/t</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Oil</td>
<td>1.2 Kg/t</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>346.2 KWh/t</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>152 KWh/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>157.7 Kg/t</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>142.8 Kg/t</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Outputs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pyrolysis Type 1 (CHP)</th>
<th>Pyrolysis Type 2</th>
<th>Pyrolysis Li. et al (22)</th>
<th>CFC Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>400 Kg/t</td>
<td>360 Kg/t</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>300 Kg/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>120 Kg/t</td>
<td>140 Kg/t</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>150 Kg/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>380 Kg/t</td>
<td>350</td>
<td></td>
<td>450 Kg/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100 Kg/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP Heat</td>
<td>1.2 MWh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>0.2 MWh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>563 Kg/t</td>
<td>85.73 Kg/t</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>111 Kg/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>1.2 Kg/t</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.5 Kg/t</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>23 Kg/t</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.27 Kg/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOX</td>
<td>0.153 Kg/t</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.12 Kg/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂O</td>
<td>23.1 Kg/t</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0.0019 Kg/t</td>
<td>0.05 Kg/t</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0015 Kg/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>1.9 Kg/t</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulates</td>
<td>3.8 Kg/t</td>
<td>0.17 Kg/t</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.002 Kg/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent Bicarbonate</td>
<td>30.5 Kg/t</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendices:

### Table 13: Combustion Analysis of Pyrolysis gas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>23.64</td>
<td>709.21</td>
<td>1.977</td>
<td>1402.11</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>31.87</td>
<td>31.866</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31.866</td>
<td>1402.10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1402.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>17.03</td>
<td>511.16</td>
<td>1.145</td>
<td>585.27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20.90</td>
<td>20.90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20.90</td>
<td>919.72</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>919.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Gas</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>72.61</td>
<td>1.011</td>
<td>73.41</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.596</td>
<td>1.596</td>
<td>3.192</td>
<td>70.22</td>
<td>28.72</td>
<td>98.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>1063.81</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>762.75</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>47.67</td>
<td>47.67</td>
<td>95.34</td>
<td>143.01</td>
<td>2097.58</td>
<td>1716.2</td>
<td>3813.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethene</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>112.22</td>
<td>1.178</td>
<td>132.2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>18.88</td>
<td>415.50</td>
<td>169.97</td>
<td>585.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethane</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>191.44</td>
<td>1.282</td>
<td>245.43</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>16.36</td>
<td>24.54</td>
<td>40.90</td>
<td>719.95</td>
<td>441.78</td>
<td>1161.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>174.47</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>315.79</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>22.55</td>
<td>22.55</td>
<td>45.11</td>
<td>992.50</td>
<td>406.02</td>
<td>1398.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propane</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>136.74</td>
<td>2.009</td>
<td>274.83</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>24.98</td>
<td>24.98</td>
<td>49.97</td>
<td>1099.35</td>
<td>449.73</td>
<td>1549.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butane</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2.829</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>1.088</td>
<td>21.29</td>
<td>10.88</td>
<td>32.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentane</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2.829</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>17.29</td>
<td>7.073</td>
<td>24.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>22.63</td>
<td>0.7918</td>
<td>17.92</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>24.64</td>
<td>20.16</td>
<td>44.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>3822.43</td>
<td></td>
<td>129.46</td>
<td>176.82</td>
<td>180.8</td>
<td>357.40</td>
<td>7780.17</td>
<td>3250.5</td>
<td>11031</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 17: GaBi Model for CFC process.
Table 14: Properties of Carbon Black N330 and N550

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Carbon Black N330</th>
<th>Carbon Black N550</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iodine Adsorption No.</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP Absorption No.</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAB Adsorption</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heating Loss %</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash,%</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress at 300% Elongation</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTAB: cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide  
DBP: Dibutyl Phthalate
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