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All Aboard the the
SIT BACK, RELAX, AND LET THE CAR IN FRONT OF YOU DO THE DRIVING
In car commercials every road is clear and curvy, every vista is framed by mountains and the sea, and every driver is relaxed and in the moment. In real life, though, driving is often as much a pain as it is a pleasure—a car, once a symbol of independence, is now perhaps the last place where you can’t use your smartphone. Even when the roads aren’t clogged, you must be constantly alert because, let’s face it, too many other drivers are inattentive or downright maniacal (characteristics that never apply to you, of course!). Public transportation has its own drawbacks: Buses and trains don’t start at your home and don’t end at your destination, nor do they leave just when you’d like or even guarantee you a seat.

To get the best of both worlds, we could teach our cars to work together, as closely grouped cyclists do in a peloton. The lead car could be entrusted to a professional driver to whom the other drivers would of course each pay a small fee; all the other cars would follow it automatically. The cars would all use networked communications coupled with the optical or electromagnetic sensors already installed in some luxury cars to avoid head-on collision, stay in the proper lane, and brake in case of emergency. These systems have been developed at great expense to provide active safety, as distinguished from the passive kind afforded by seatbelts. But this investment, having been made, can now be exploited for other things—like allowing you to relax and read the paper. If only we’d let them.

Active systems are improving at a splendid rate. Adaptive cruise control, for example, maintains a car’s speed while using radar or lidar to keep a safe distance from the car in front of it, thus automating much of the braking and accelerating. The latest generation of this system can follow a lead car from highway speed to a stop and then resume automatically when that car drives away. Soon the system will get additional data from vision sensors and digitized maps and additional support for the steering, allowing it to slow down in curves.
Clearly, passenger vehicles are on the verge of being capable of some kind of autonomy. The question is, what kind is best? The answer may surprise you.

**WHAT ABOUT GOOGLE’S SELF-DRIVING CARS**, some of you are probably wondering. More specifically, why would we want partially automated cars when not only Google but also the Technical University of Braunschweig have recently demonstrated fully automated ones? The Google project and its German analogue, Braunschweig’s Stadtpilot project, both stem from technology developed for the 2007 DARPA Urban Challenge, in which driverless cars navigated a 96-kilometer course in a city setting. The fully autonomous vehicles in this U.S. Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency competition must deal with all possible scenarios in typical city traffic by using advanced sensing, such as laser scanners, several radars, cameras, and more. Great progress has been made, but the sensing technology is not yet cheap enough for use in mass-market cars. More important, verifying this technology in all potential traffic situations is an enormous and imperfectly understood task.

A few years ago, my colleagues and I at Volvo asked ourselves how we could build on our adaptive cruise control system to give a car full autonomy. We realized that the chief problem lay in making sure that such a system would be utterly safe. Just to verify Volvo’s pedestrian detection with fully automatic braking had required driving more than 500,000 km and collecting more than 3 terabytes of data (mostly to confirm that the risk of inadvertent braking would be acceptably low). To ensure that an autonomous car would almost never make severe mistakes, no matter what the weather and traffic situations might be, would be vastly harder. We concluded that such a fully autonomous car would, for now, be a step too far.

To advance the state of the art, we decided to come up with an intermediate goal. We quickly focused on the concept of platooning, which gives the lead driver responsibility only in the middle part of the trip. In this scheme, the person behind the wheel of each of the following cars does all the work at

---

**LIKE ELEPHANTS** marching trunk-to-tail, vehicles in a platoon take cues from the vehicle just in front of them. Unlike the elephants, though, the vehicle also communicates directly with the leader so as to anticipate any turns or braking action.

**A driver finds a platoon** that’s going his way by selecting a destination and following his navigation system’s directions. He joins the platoon at the rear, and the system takes over control.

**Drivers in the following cars** relax until the platoon approaches their destinations, when they pull away from the pack and continue on independently.

**When one vehicle leaves the platoon**, the followers close the gap and continue until they, too, hive off to go to their various destinations.

**RUBBERNECKING’S OKAY** for drivers in this five-member platoon, led by a truck, one of many tests of the SARTRE project in Sweden and Spain. Guidelines allow for up to 10 members—more, and it becomes difficult for outsiders to see exit ramps from the highway.
the beginning, before joining the platoon, and at the end, after peeling off for a specific destination.

Platooning is not a new concept. During the 1990s, the California Partners for Advanced Transit and Highways (PATH) program at the University of California, Berkeley, installed magnets in a dedicated lane on a highway in California. The magnets acted as detectable guides that a vehicle could use as reference points to control its position within the lane. The project found that on average a car in the platoon saved about 10 percent in fuel when subjected to less wind resistance, a savings that depends on how closely the car follows its leader. Another advantage is safety: All members of a platoon ought to be as safe as the professional driver drives the platoon’s lead vehicle, which communicates with the following cars by radio.

DASH-MOUNTED CONSOLES constitute one of the few pieces of equipment that the SARTRE project added to the functions that were already built into the car. The driver adjusts (WHAT???) (top); the console then shows that the car is under automatic control (middle) and, in another display mode, the status of the platoon and the distance to the driver’s own destination (bottom).
driver leading them, who is aided by the most advanced collision-avoidance technologies available.

The main problem with the PATH system was that it couldn’t be easily extended to other roads. It’s a long, expensive job to change the roads, and it’s not likely to happen when there are no vehicles to use them. Nor will carmakers want to build vehicles that use magnetic guidance if there aren’t many roads to drive them on. We need a way for autonomous cars to run on existing roads.

To work out such a system, the European Community funded the Safe Road Trains for the Environment (SARTRE) project, which started in 2009 and ended September 2012. The participants included Britain’s Ricardo, which coordinated the project; Spain’s IDIADA Automotive Technology and Tecnalia Research & Innovation; Germany’s Institut für Kraftfahrzeuge Aachen; and the SP Technical Research Institute of Sweden, Volvo Car Corp., and Volvo Technology. Our strategy with SARTRE was to rely, to the extent possible, on technologies already available in production cars, rather than on exotic and largely unproven technologies. And to tame the problem of verification, we not only entrusted the lead vehicle to a professional driver who could deal with exceptional situations but also limited the automated driving to roads that had at least two lanes going in the same direction. On such roads the traffic situations usually are not complex, and it’s easy for faster-moving cars to pass a road train.

We put the heaviest vehicles up front to reduce the risk of collisions during emergency braking, because a heavy vehicle decelerates more slowly than a lighter one. We programmed each vehicle to align laterally with the leader and to maintain a proper distance from the car directly in front of it; that way, if the leader changes lanes or engages in evasive maneuvers, all the other cars will follow the path it blazes while remaining in their queue. We also calculated that, at a maximum speed of 90 kilometers per hour (56 miles per hour), the platoon could have no more than about 10 vehicles or its close-packed formation would block access to exit ramps. Drivers would join a platoon either on the spot, by means of an electronic request or by booking a place in advance. Highway driving was our sole interest, because it is the least complicated kind, lacking intersections, traffic lights, bicyclists, and so on.

NONE OF OUR REQUIREMENTS are outlandish, yet they do define the problem narrowly enough to make it solvable with existing technology. We implemented a number of critical platoon scenarios representing how the cars interact—for instance, Join Platoon, Maintain Platoon, Leave Platoon, and Dissolve Platoon. Anyone joining the platoon would normally do so at the rear, but we could allow for someone to join in the middle by enabling the controlling system to tell one member of the pack and those following it to slow down, thus opening up a space.

These transitions to and from automatic driving are crucial because a driver should never be unsure whether he or the lead car is in control. To avoid any such uncertainty, we have chosen to coordinate these transitions with a user interface that, although new, will still be familiar to drivers because it is based on the existing one in active cruise control systems.

To join from the rear, a driver would send a request to the lead vehicle, get confirmation, approach the platoon from behind, and then put the car into semiautomatic mode, in which braking and accelerating is automatic and the steering is still manual. This ensures that the driver will pay full attention to traffic in case anything unusual happens. Only when the car is locked into the determined following distance does lateral control pass to the automatic system. An indication of the change appears on the car’s display, accompanied by a voice message, letting the driver know that he can release the steering wheel, lean back, and just enjoy the ride.

SARTRE designed a prototype system consisting of a lead vehicle, a following truck, and three cars—a Volvo S60, a V60, and an XC60, modified to allow for fully automatic driving. We started development in 2009 and began testing vehicles in the summer of 2010, mostly at Volvo’s Hällered proving ground in Sweden. We performed tests of fuel consumption at IDIADA in Spain and a test drive on 200 km of public roads, also in Spain.

Within a car, the system communicates with the power train, brakes, and steering through Volvo’s standard adaptive cruise control and lane-keeping guidance. It measures the distance between cars with two other standard systems: a short-range system of three laser beams, which measures distances of up to 8 meters ahead, and the 76-gigahertz radar in

DRIVER DISTRACTION, a side-effect of our sometimes excessively linked-in world, is here not a bug, but a feature. Within a few minutes of joining a platoon, drivers tend to kick back and take their attention from the road.
the active cruise control, which measures the ranges of objects up to 200 meters ahead.

The side-to-side movement of the car up ahead is monitored by a forward-looking camera that’s used in our current production vehicles to detect pedestrians and recognize traffic signs.

To keep the platoon and its lead driver apprised of traffic in neighboring lanes, the cars also come with two rear- and side-looking radars, also at 76 GHz. Not only do the radars watch out for swerving cars in the next lane, they also help the system track and then accommodate the entry of any car that may join the platoon.

We noticed a potential glitch during trials on our test track in Sweden, where the sun shines from a low angle. Every time we rounded a particular curve, the sun would blind our camera, making the car lose sight of the vehicle in front and suddenly veer out of its lane—a very unpleasant feeling! We tried several backup plans and finally hit on the solution.

We took the lead vehicle’s estimation of the curvature of the path it is taking and the radar’s measurement of the azimuth angle—the horizontal angle between our own car’s radar sensor and the car directly in front of us. This technique is normally rather imprecise, as the radar reflections don’t necessarily come from the center of the preceding vehicle; sometimes they come from the corners or even the side. To assure ourselves that it worked well, we put tape on the windshields to simulate camera blockage and drove many laps. I remember sitting in the vehicle for about two hours, quite comfortably listening to a football match on the radio. The road train was finally working as intended.

The new, custom-made equipment we added to make the system fully automatic includes an interface that allows the cars (though not their occupants) to communicate. The system could also feed real-time video from a camera in the lead vehicle to people in the platoon to make them more comfortable with their short following distances. A touch screen displays the status of each car (joining, maintaining, or leaving the platoon), and vibrators in the car seat provide warning whenever the driver has to take immediate action—for instance, when they feel secure, as if their car were driving on rails. At first, they tend to be alert and ready to take over at any time, but within a few minutes they relax and take their attention from the road.

**A FEW PROBLEMS REMAIN.** For instance, during our winter tests in Sweden, we found that trailing only 5 meters behind a heavy vehicle meant getting a windshield full of salty spray and gravel. We had to clean the windshield constantly to keep the forward-looking camera unblocked; sometimes it felt as if we were consuming more washer fluid than gasoline. Also, the gravel dinged our car quite a bit. Conclusion: Although 5 meters may be aerodynamically attractive, we may have to increase it sometimes.

In the end, though, the biggest challenges will probably be legal ones. Right now driving on autopilot isn’t allowed in most areas in Europe and North America, and there is no consensus on who would be responsible for any accident.

What we have learned is that there is a practical way station on the road to fully self-driving cars, and that today’s vehicles already have most of the technology they need to get there. Airplanes have been moving among similar way stations for decades now, using flight control systems, coupled with ever-closer coordination with ground control stations, to supplement rather than replace the pilots on board.

If on land, as in the air, semiautomated systems can save fuel and perhaps lives, and if they give drivers freedom to spend their time as they wish, then it will be a clear gain. And experience with such systems will undoubtedly teach us much of what we need to know to devise fully autonomous drivers that do not know fatigue, impatience, or the temptation of skirting the dictates of law and decorum on the road.
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