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ABSTRACT

This master thesis has focused on the aspect of UWB antenna design for the impulse based UWB radar which is built on CMOS technology. The design of new UWB antenna faces some challenges. The antenna should be compact, preferably conformal, low cost for manufacture, and have good electrical performance, such as good matching, directional radiation performance over a wide band, good time response, etc. Keeping these goals in mind a compact and directional antipodal Vivaldi antenna was built. The antenna radiating structure is in the form of two exponentially tapered arms that lie on the opposite sides of the substrate. The antenna operates over the frequency band from 2.7 GHz to more than 12 GHz. It exhibits a directive radiation performance with a peak gain which is between 3.2dBi and 10.2dBi in the specified band. The time domain performance of the antenna shows negligible distortion of the pulse which makes it suitable to use with impulse based UWB radar. The antenna has linear phase response over the entire UWB frequency range and hence constant group delay which is vital for transmission and reception of sub-nanosecond pulses. Due to its planar profile, physically compact size, wide impedance bandwidth, directive performance over a wide bandwidth antipodal Vivaldi antenna is a good candidate for use with Novelda UWB radar chip and other UWB integrated circuits and portable electronics. The antenna is being used for ranging, one and two dimensional tracking along with Novelda R2A UWB radar chip.
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Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

Ultra wideband (UWB) is a radio technology for transmitting large amount of data over a wide frequency band with very low power for a short distance. Ultra wideband radio transmission is a revolutionary approach in wireless communication field in the sense that it transmits and receives pulse based waveforms compressed in time domain rather than frequency domain like traditional radio transmission.

![Diagram explaining the difference between a signal compressed in time domain to the one expanded in time domain](image)

Figure 1- Diagram explaining the difference between a signal compressed in time domain to the one expanded in time domain
Figure 1 explains the difference between a traditional narrow band system which usually uses periodic sinusoidal signal (expanded in time domain) for communication to an UWB system which uses narrow time domain pulse which spans over a very wide frequency band.

In February 2004, Federal communications commission (FCC) allocates unlicensed frequency band of 3.1-10.6 GHz for UWB applications. The allocation of unlicensed spectrum to UWB technology generated a lot of interest in industry and opened the doors for development of numerous daily life applications based on UWB technology.

Any system which has an absolute bandwidth of over 500 MHz or relative bandwidth of greater than 25% is said to be an UWB system. For example, an UWB signal centered at 2 GHz would have a minimum bandwidth of 500 MHz and the minimum bandwidth of an UWB signal centered at 4 GHz would be 1 GHz. This large spread in frequency domain results in low level of power spectral density (PSD) as depicted in Figure 2[1]. This low level of PSD ensures the peaceful coexistence of UWB systems with narrowband systems operating in the same Frequency range.

![Figure 2- The FCC spectral mask for Indoor Unlicensed UWB emission [1]](image)
1.1 History and Background

The term ‘Ultra-wideband’ has several similar meanings such as impulse, carrier-free, baseband, and large relative bandwidth radio or radar signals. The concept of Ultra-wideband technology is not new. The first pulse-based UWB spark Gap radio was developed by Guglielmo Marconi in the late 1800 which was used to transmit Morse code for several years. However, in early 1900, these radios were forbidden to use in many applications due to their strong power emission and interference with other narrow band radio systems, which were developed in early 1900s [2,3].

In the late 1960s UWB technology gained a lot of interest because of its use in the form of impulse radar in military area. During this era significant research efforts were conducted by researchers on different aspects of Ultra-wideband technology. In 1964 Hewlett Packard and Tektronix Inc. produced the first time domain instruments for sub-nanosecond pulse diagnostics which was a huge step in UWB system design. Antenna designers such as Rumsey, Dyson and Ross have started the design of antennas for UWB systems. Rumsey and Dyson developed logarithmic spiral antennas [4, 5] and Ross used impulse measurement techniques for the design of wideband radiating antenna elements [6]. During 1960 to 1999, nearly a 40 year period, over 200 papers were published in accredited IEEE journals, and more than 100 patents were issued on topics related to UWB technology [7]. With these advances in the UWB field the potential of using impulse based UWB technology for radar and communication became clear.

At the beginning of 2002 UWB was reborn after FCC approved the UWB technology for commercial use. UWB systems have a number of advantages over traditional narrowband systems which makes it more suitable for a variety of applications including radar measurements in the time domain. UWB systems have potentially low complexity, low cost and more importantly they have a very good time domain resolution [8]. Attributes such as low power consumption, negligible interference to narrowband systems, inherent immunity against detection and interception, strong penetration ability through different materials etc makes UWB technology a good candidate for through-the-wall and ground penetrating applications. This short-range, high-throughput wireless technology can transmit with data rates of 252 Mbps, and data rate of 480 Mbps is expected to be achieved in near future [9].

1.2 Thesis Objective

This thesis represents a continuation of the previous work done in the UWB Radar application project at Imego AB, which has long experience in the field of sensor technology. The Imego UWB radar application project was started in January 2008 and is based on the Novelda AS R2A CMOS radar chips. The antenna is an integral part of
any UWB radar application. Therefore, previously a planar, broadband and directional Vivaldi antenna has been developed to work with the Novelda AS R2A radar chips. Two prototypes of the Vivaldi antenna have been developed. One chip covers the low frequency range and operates from 900MHz to 5GHz whereas the higher frequency chip covers the UWB range from 5GHz to 8GHz. Prototype Vivaldi 004 (150mm × 132mm) operates over the lower frequency band and Vivaldi005 (60mm × 46mm) operates in the higher frequency band.

The prime focus of this Thesis is to design an antenna which is operable over the entire UWB frequency range. The antenna should be physically compact, planar and have low manufacturing cost as well. Furthermore the antenna should exhibit good electrical performance such as good impedance matching, directional radiation performance over the entire UWB range and most importantly it should have a good time response which is very vital in order to successfully transmit and receive sub nanosecond pulses. The antenna has to be directive enough so that it can be used with the Novelda AS R2A radar chips to develop one and two dimensional motion tracking applications.

1.2 Organization of the Report
In Chapter 2 the Novelda AS R2A radar chip is discussed in detail. The chapter starts with the history of impulse radars and then the Novelda NVA3000 radar chip is introduced. The Basic principle of the Novelda radar and later on the Novelda radar chip architecture is explained. The fundamental parameters to control the radar chips are also discussed.

Chapter 3 belongs to antenna theory. It includes the definition of the fundamental parameters of the antenna such as gain, directivity, return loss, impedance bandwidth and radiation pattern. The requirements, limitations and challenges in the design of UWB antennas are also discussed.

In addition, a directional antipodal Vivaldi antenna (APVA) is presented in chapter 4. Antipodal Vivaldi antennas are well known in literature due to their wide impedance bandwidth and directional radiation properties. The antenna is modeled and simulated using HFSS [10]. The results show that the antipodal Vivaldi antenna has a good impedance matching, well more than the entire UWB range. Furthermore, the antipodal Vivaldi antenna has much smaller size than previously developed Vivaldi antennas. Simulated and measured radiation patterns confirm good directional radiation performance over the entire UWB range. All the measured results and their comparison with simulated results are also presented.

In Chapter 5, brief description of some of the possible applications and suggestions for future work are discussed.
Chapter 2

UWB CMOS Radar Chip

The Basic principle of the radar, short for “Radio Detection And Ranging”, has been the same since the German physicist Heinrich Hertz in the late 19th century discovered that electromagnetic waves have the ability to reflect off surfaces. Radar works by transmitting electromagnetic waves towards an object, and then receiving the energy reflected by the object. However, it was not until the early 20th century that systems able to use these principles were developed. Systems capable of measuring the time it takes for a transmitted electromagnetic signal to travel between the antenna and the reflecting object was developed during the first part of the 20th century, and in 1940 the term radar was coined by the U.S. Navy [11].

2.1- Impulse Radar

Radar technology has progressed significantly since world war two (WWII). An Impulse Radar is a device that can sense the distance to one or more remote objects by emitting and receiving very short pulses of electromagnetic energy [11]. As pulse radars were initially being developed, the use of very narrow pulses was examined. In the early days, the electronics for generating and receiving such narrow pulses were not available; thus, essentially no applications of this were initially made. By the 1970s, advances in electronics and high speeds in modern integrated circuits made it possible to transmit extremely short electromagnetic pulses thus paving the way to develop impulse based UWB systems. Unique attributes such as high penetration abilities, very high accuracy and low emission levels give such system an edge over traditional narrowband systems.

Today, impulse based UWB radar transceivers are becoming available on the market. One such UWB radar transceiver has been developed by a Norwegian company, Novelda [11]. In this thesis project, the Novelda NVA3000 UWB radar chip has been used which is based on CMOS technology. This UWB radar chipset has been selected as it is considered to have a low cost of production on a large scale in comparison with other similar products on the market. It is important to understand how this UWB radar chipset works in order to design practical UWB radar antennas that can be used along with this chipset developing different applications.
2.2- Novelda R2A

The main feature of the Novelda R2A development kit is the NVA3000 chip based on CMOS technology shown in Figure 3. This single chip UWB radar features amongst other things integrated transmitter and receiver, 4.5mm spatial resolution, 35 GS/s equivalent sampling rate, simultaneous sampling of 128 points in time, low power consumption and detection range from 0 to over 15 m depending on the antenna configuration [11].

![NVA 3000 UWB radar chip on PCB](image)

(a)-Top View  (b)-Bottom View

**Figure 3-The NVA 3000 UWB radar chip on PCB**

2.2.1- The Chip Architecture

The NVA 3000 UWB radar chip is built using three different pulse generators, two have a frequency spectrum centered around 4.3 GHz and around 1.5 GHz. In this project pulses centered around 4.3 GHz have been used. The difference between the two generators with the same centre frequency is that one gives a higher output power than the other. The pulse from the high-frequency pulse generator with maximum output power and its frequency spectrum is shown in Figure 4 and 5 respectively. It can be seen clearly that the power emission level is very low from the chipset and consequently there are no difficulties to cope with the emitted power level limits set by FCC for the UWB technology.

The transmitter part of the chip generates and transmits third order Gaussian pulses each of 0.4 nanoseconds time duration through a novel and advanced delay-line architecture in order to obtain the desired high frequency and the correct pulse shape. The NVA 3000 UWB radar chip is mounted on a PCB with a microcontroller and can communicate serially with a PC via USB. The microcontroller handles all communication between the host computer and the radar chip. On the PCB two connections have also been provided for connecting the transmitting and receiving antennas with the radar chip.
The Receiver part of the chip consists of 128 individual samplers which samples the incoming data in a time sequence with the shortest possible time-delay between the

Figure 4- Gaussian pulse from the pulse generator with center frequency 4.3 GHz seen in time domain with amplitude in volts [11]

Figure 5- Gaussian pulse from the pulse generator with center frequency 4.3 GHz seen in frequency domain together with the limit values set by FCC [11]
samples. It also performs the required signal averaging in order to reconstruct the reflected signal. One of the unique features of the system that makes it different from other similar available systems on the market is its ability to sample several time depths at a time. Other known impulse-radar systems are usually limited to one depth (range-gating) and severely limited in averaging time due to analog samplers. The Novelda impulse radar uses a frame consisting of 128 independent samples taken at different depths in time, each with a resolution of 24 bit. These samples are separated by approximately 30ps, giving a time sampling frequency of approximately 35 GS/s within the frame. One frame spans over 3.7 ns in the time domain, which is equivalent to approximately 0.55 m in the spatial domain.

2.2.2- Basic parameters of Novelda Radar

A number of parameters of the radar chip can be adjusted through PC via a serial USB connection in order to optimize its performance under different circumstances. A compilation of the most important parameters and brief description of their functionalities are listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frame offset</td>
<td>Delay until sampling begins (30ps per unit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>Level of pre-reinforcement (0, 1 or 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse repetition frequency</td>
<td>The frequency with which pulse is repeated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Averaging factor</td>
<td>Number of feeds for averaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoommin</td>
<td>Lower limit on the sampling interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoommax</td>
<td>Upper limit to the hardware sampling interval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table1- Important parameters of UWB radar chip and their functionalities

The frame offset is used to adjust according to the equipment setting so that the reflected signal can be caught properly on the receiver side. The gain is purely used for amplification of the received signal; three different levels of gain can be selected in the UWB radar chip denoted by numbers 0, 1 and 2. This amplification is used to facilitate the measurement of the weak signals, but since there is no form of filtering so it also enhances the noise and interference.

The last four parameters are collectively used to determine how fast data can be collected. Higher values of the Pulse repetition frequency gives higher frame rate and
vice versa. The Averaging Factor determines how many times the signal is averaged at the radar chip. Increasing the averaging factor will lower the frame rate but increase the signal to noise ratio. Useful values for averaging factor are approximately 1000 to 25,000. Zoom range determines the maximum and minimum value to sample at the receiver. The zoom values are 24 bit integers and can be set to anything between 0 and 16777215.

2.2.3- Basic principle of Novelda Radar

The main principle of radar is to emit energy and measure the reflected energy from an object in the field of view. The illustration in Figure 6 shows how the Novelda radar emits energy, waits a preset time delay and then samples a time frame of reflected energy.

![Illustration of the Novelda radar basic function](Figure 6)
Chapter 3

Antenna Theory

The antenna is an integral part of any radar system. According to the IEEE standard definitions of terms for antennas (IEEE std 145-1983) an antenna is defined as “a means for radiating or receiving radio waves” [12]. The basic role of the radar antenna is to provide a transducer between the free-space propagation and the guided-wave propagation of electromagnetic waves [13]. The antenna directs the radiated energy in the desired direction towards the target so that it can be illuminated. The energy contained in the reflected echo signals is then collected by the antenna and delivered to the receiver. For the Novelda UWB radar chip two antennas are required for transmission and reception of the signal. Due to the reciprocal behavior of antennas, however, only one design is needed for both ports.

Any antenna is characterized by several parameters. A brief description of some of these important parameters is given below so that requirements and challenges in designing UWB radar antenna could be understood better.

3.1 Antenna Characteristics

3.1.1 Directivity

According to IEEE standard definitions of terms for antennas (IEEE-1983) the directivity of an antenna is defined as “The ratio of radiation intensity in a given direction from the antenna to the radiation intensity averaged over all directions. The average radiation intensity is equal to the total power radiated by the antenna divided by $4\pi$. If the direction is not specified the direction of maximum radiation intensity is implied” [12]. Mathematically,

$$D = \frac{U}{U_0} = \frac{4\pi}{P_{rad}} \quad (\text{Dimensionless})$$

Directivity simply gives us an idea that how well radiated energy from an antenna is transmitted in a specific direction. Definition of directivity does not involve any dissipative losses in the antenna but only the concentration of radiated power.

3.1.2 Gain

A useful parameter to describe the performance of an antenna is the gain. Gain is closely related to the directivity but it takes into account the efficiency of an antenna as well as its directional capabilities whereas directivity only describes the directional
properties of the antenna. Gain of an antenna is defined as “The ratio of the intensity, in a given direction, to the radiation intensity that would be obtained if the power accepted by the antenna were radiated isotropically” [12]. Mathematically,

\[
Gain = 4\pi \frac{\text{radiation intensity}}{\text{total input (accepted) power}} = 4\pi \frac{U(\theta, \phi)}{P_{in}} \quad \text{(Dimensionless)}
\]

In most cases we deal with relative gain, which is defined as “The ratio of the power gain in a given direction to the power gain of a reference antenna in its referenced direction” [12]. The power input should be the same for both antennas. The reference antenna can be a dipole, horn or any other antenna whose gain is already calculated or known. If an application requires a highly directive antenna then gain can be used to determine the quality of the antenna since gain is linearly proportional to the directivity, the gain measurement is a direct indication how well directive an antenna is.

### 3.1.3 Reflection Coefficient

The Reflection coefficient S11 indicates how well an antenna is matched to the input transmission line. It is a parameter that determines how well signal transition from the input transmission line to the free space is performed by the antenna. An antenna is said to be sufficiently matched if 10% or less of the incident signal is lost during this transition process. The frequency range over which the antenna reflection coefficient S11 is -10dB or less is said to be the impedance bandwidth of the antenna. Over this bandwidth it can be operated with minimum power reflection and maximum radiation.

### 3.1.4 Radiation pattern

An antenna radiation pattern or antenna pattern is defined as “a mathematical function or a graphical representation of the radiation properties of the antenna as a function of space coordinates” [12]. The Radiation pattern is measured in the far-field region in most cases and radiation properties include power flux density, radiation intensity, field strength, directivity, phase or polarization [12]. The most important radiation property, however, is the spatial distribution of radiated energy as a function of the observer’s position along a path or surface of constant radius. Usually two types of radiation patterns are used to characterize the radiated power in the far-field sphere surrounding the antenna. Field pattern which plots the magnitude of electric or magnetic field as a function of the angular space and power pattern which plots square of the magnitude of electric or magnetic field as a function of angular space.

In order to have the full picture of radiation pattern of an antenna we have to measure the E-plane and H-plane patterns. The E-plane contains the electric field (E-field) vector and the direction of maximum radiation. The magnetic field or H-plane lies at a right angle to the E-plane and contains the magnetic field (H-field) vector and direction of
maximum radiation. Radiation pattern distribution depends on the application for which an antenna will be used. For example, in the case of mobile communication omnidirectional antennas are used for which uniformly distributed radiation pattern around the antenna is desired. However, in the case of radar applications directional antennas are preferred.

### 3.2 UWB antenna requirements

The primary goal of this project work is to develop a planar, directional and physically compact UWB antenna for Novelda R2A UWB radar chip. The antenna is developed keeping in mind a broad range of possible applications that can be achieved using this impulse based UWB radar transceiver.

In addition to the parameters described above, the UWB antenna design faces some additional challenges as compared to other narrowband and wideband antennas. By definition, an Ultra Wideband antenna must be operable over the entire 3.1-10.6 GHz frequency range which implies that the UWB antenna must achieve an impedance bandwidth of about 7.5 GHz. Furthermore, due to the very low transmission power spectral density of the UWB signals, high radiation efficiency for the antenna is required which means that all type of losses, including dielectric and return loss should be kept very low. Another important parameter which must be taken care of in the case of UWB antennas is the group delay. It gives a measure of the extent to which an impulse based UWB signal will get distorted or dispersed during its transmission. For distortion less signal transmission UWB antennas must have a constant group delay over the entire operational bandwidth. In narrowband antennas constant group delay is naturally achieved. The antenna requirements for the Novelda R2A transceiver are summarized in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Profile</th>
<th>Compact, preferably conformal, Low cost of manufacture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operational Bandwidth</td>
<td>3.1-10.6 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation Efficiency</td>
<td>High, S11&lt; -10dB in the entire band</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation Pattern</td>
<td>Directional, Stable over the entire frequency range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directivity and Gain</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Delay</td>
<td>Constant throughout the operational bandwidth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2- UWB Antenna design requirements for Novelda R2A Radar transceiver**
Chapter 4

Antenna Design

A wide variety of UWB antennas are available in literature for different UWB applications. The selection of an UWB antenna topology depends on the particular application for which it will be used. For example in mobile communication, omnidirectional UWB antennas are used whereas in UWB radar applications mostly directive UWB antennas are preferable. Independent of applications several factors should be kept in mind while designing an UWB antenna some of which have been discussed in chapter 3. A lot of research work has been done on UWB antennas. Monopole, circular disc monopole, elliptical dipole, bowtie and Quasi-self-complementary antennas are some of the well known UWB omnidirectional antennas. Similarly, log periodic dipole array (LPDA), Horn, Quasi-horn and Vivaldi antennas are directional UWB antennas.

As discussed earlier, the prime focus of this project is to design an antenna which is operable over the entire UWB frequency range with good directional radiation performance. Key requirements for such an antenna include high efficiency, wide bandwidth, light weight, small size, and simplicity. Most directional wideband antennas such as Horn antennas and log periodic array antennas have high directivity but are not suitable for the UWB radar chip application because of their non-planar structure and bulky size. The symmetric double-sided tapered slot antenna (TSA) also referred to as the antipodal slot line, which was first introduced by Gazit in 1988, is well suited to fulfill these requirements [14]. Antipodal Vivaldi antenna is a plane directive antenna that is well known in literature due to its broad bandwidth, low cross polarization, and highly directive radiation performance. It is well suited for the UWB radar applications because of its ability of distorting the transmitted pulse shape as little as possible. Further advantages of antipodal Vivaldi antenna are its size and manufacturing cost. The cost of producing an antipodal Vivaldi antenna is low because of its planar profile as it is a small flat antenna, unlike, for example horn antenna.

4.1- The Antipodal Vivaldi antenna

The antipodal Vivaldi antenna is a two-dimensional slot antenna. The design of an antipodal Vivaldi antenna involves a gradual transition from a microstrip transmission line to a slot transmission line that flares out to form horn-type radiating elements. An antipodal Vivaldi antenna is:
“Formed by exponentially tapering the inner and outer edges of the slot line conductors of the antipodal Vivaldi radiator. ……The antipodal Vivaldi antenna comprises tapered radiating slot and feeding transition. The symmetric tapered radiating slot is formed by two arms printed on opposite surfaces of a dielectric substrate. The feeding transition consists of a 50Ω microstrip line exponentially tapered to a parallel strip line to feed the tapered slot radiator, whereas the ground trace is exponentially tapered [15]”.

4.1.1- Antenna Geometry

The antipodal Vivaldi antenna structure is shown in Figure 7.

![Figure 7- Top View of antipodal Vivaldi antenna modeled in HFSS [10]](image)

The antenna is physically compact with an exponentially tapered opening slot used to achieve directivity in one direction. The radiating structure of the antenna consists of two exponentially tapered arms that lie on the opposite sides of the substrate. The
tapered arm on the top layer of the substrate is fed by a 50Ω microstrip line. The tapered arm on the bottom layer of substrate is connected with an exponentially tapered ground plane feed to achieve impedance match over the entire UWB range. The ground plane feed is most narrow at the micro strip feed and then it spreads out exponentially on both sides.

According to antenna geometry XY plane ($\theta = 90^0$) is E-Plane and YZ plane ($\phi = 90^0$) is H-Plane whereas direction of maximum radiation of the antenna is along Y-axis ($\theta = 90^0 , \phi = 90^0$).

4.1.2-Parallel strip line to microstrip transition

A transition is added to the structure of the antenna. This is required because the antenna’s radiating element shown in Figure 7 is connected to a parallel strip line, which is a balanced transmission line whereas the antenna is to be connected to the UWB radar chip using a coaxial cable, which is an unbalanced transmission line. The transition from the parallel strip line to the microstrip line is shown in Figure 8, which is adopted from the transitions presented in [16]. The parallel strip line, which is located at the top layer, is connected using a tapered transmission line to the microstrip line, while the width of the parallel strip line at the bottom layer is gradually increased to form the ground plane required for the microstrip feeder.

![Parallel strip line to microstrip transition](image)
A number of simulations were done in HFSS in order to find best values for different parameters of the antenna. The best parameters found after parametric optimization in HFSS are listed in Table 3. A prototype of the antenna with the specified parameters was fabricated at Imego AB and is shown in Figure 9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rogers RO4003(tm)</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_r = 3.55, \tan\delta = 0.0027$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>57mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>52mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>16mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1</td>
<td>24.75mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WF</td>
<td>2.5mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF</td>
<td>5mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>1.52mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3- Design parameters for antipodal Vivaldi antenna

Figure 9- Fabricated antipodal Vivaldi antenna

4.1.3- Principle of operation

An antipodal Vivaldi antenna is a “surface-type” traveling-wave antenna. The waves travel down the curved path of the flare along the antenna. In the region where the separation between the two conductors is small compared to the free-space wavelength, the waves are tightly bound. As the separation increases, the bond becomes progressively weaker and the waves are radiated away from the antenna. This occurs when the edge separation is greater than one-half wavelength [17].
4.2- Results and discussion

4.2.1- Reflection coefficient S11

Impedance match is a fundamental parameter used to characterize the impedance bandwidth of an antenna. Figure 10 shows that the antipodal Vivaldi antenna has an impedance match from 2.7 GHz to more than 11 GHz since S11< -10dB for the specified frequency range. The sharp nulls in the plot correspond to the frequencies that attain the highest resonance. These points indicate a near perfect match to 50 Ω.

![Simulated & Measured Reflection coefficient](image)

**Figure 10- Measured and simulated Reflection coefficient**

4.2.2- Phase Response

Ideally for an UWB antenna linear phase response is required. Figure 11 shows that the phase response of the antenna is almost linear throughout the bandwidth except sharp
phase shifts at around 4.5 and 6.5 GHz which is clearly due to the fact that antenna is more resonant at these frequencies than at other frequencies in the specified frequency range.

4.2.3- Group Delay

Group delay is a measure of transit time of a signal through a device versus frequency. All signals are delayed while passing through a device or medium. If the delay is the same for all frequencies in a signal the antenna does not affect the shape of the signal. According to IEEE standard dictionary of Electrical and electronics terms:

Group Delay is “the derivative of radian phase (\( \phi \)) with respect to radian frequency (\( \omega \)). It is equal to the phase delay for an ideal non-dispersive delay device, but may differ greatly in actual devices where there is a ripple in the phase versus frequency characteristic.”

Mathematically it can be represented as,

\[
GD = \frac{d\phi}{d\omega}
\]
In order to measure the Group delay of the antipodal Vivaldi antenna the Big Vivaldi (Vivaldi 004) antenna is used as a benchmark antenna. The Group delay measurement setup is shown in Figure 12. The antennas are connected to the two ports of VNA and are placed face to face at a distance of about 60 centimeter. To avoid any ground reflections absorbing material is being placed between the antennas. The phase of the transmitted signal S21 from one antenna to the other is measured through VNA. The same procedure is repeated for the Vivaldi 004 antennas with exactly the same setup. The group delay is then calculated as the derivative of measured phase response with respect to the frequency.

Figure 13 illustrates the group delay vs. frequency plot. Group delay is related to the unwrapped phase of the antenna and as indicated by the phase plot, the group delay is not ideally constant throughout the frequency band of interest i.e. from 2GHz to 12 GHz. However, the plot seems to converge to an average group delay value of approximately 0.5ns with a few deviations between 4.5 to 5 GHz. The group delay plot seems to be very noisy for both the Vivaldi and the antipodal Vivaldi antennas, it is due to some problem in the measurement equipment which could not be removed even after trying
several times but still it gives a good idea about the group delay behavior of the antenna.

![Graph of Group Delay vs Frequency for antipodal Vivaldi antenna](image)

**Figure 13-** Group Delay vs Frequency for antipodal Vivaldi antenna

### 4.2.4- Gain

In order to measure the gain of the antenna a standard-gain horn (SGH) antenna is used as a reference antenna. The standard-gain horn antenna is well known for its directivity and wide impedance bandwidth. The Standard-gain horn antennas used during the measurements are shown in Figure 14.
The gain measurements were done in the Chase group anechoic chamber at Chalmers. Figure 15 shows the picture of the anechoic chamber while doing the measurements. To begin with, the source (transmitter) and the receiver SGH Antenna were first aligned and the gain of the SGH was measured for the desired frequencies. Then the AUT (antipodal Vivaldi antenna) replaced the SGH antenna making sure that the distance between the source (transmitter) and receiver AUT is the same as before. The gain of the AUT was measured for the same frequencies as before. For calibration of the SGH, calibrated data available from the manufacturers- Scientific-Atlanta, INC is used. The final gain of the AUT is measured by using the following equation

$$G_{AUT} = SGH_c - SGH_m + AUT_m$$

Where

$SGH_m =$ Measured gain of SGH in anechoic chamber  
$AUT_m =$ Measured gain of AUT in anechoic chamber  
$SGH_c =$ Gain of SGH used for calibration
The measured and simulated gain plot is shown Figure 16. The gain varies from 3.2dBi at 2.6GHz to 8.2dBi at around 12.3GHz. The peak gain of 11.8dBi is achieved at 8.4GHz. The variation in peak gain with frequency is due to the fact that radiation pattern of the antenna is frequency dependant. At lower frequencies, antenna has a broad main lobe which becomes narrower with increase in frequency at an expense of increasing side lobes. The decrease in peak gain at higher frequencies is also due to the fact that more power is being radiated from the antenna in the side lobes rather than in the direction of main lobe.
4.2.5- Radiation patterns

The antipodal Vivaldi antenna has been characterized in terms of radiation patterns and half power beamwidth in the Chase group anechoic chamber at Chalmers. For the radiation pattern measurement the AUT was being mounted at one end of the chamber and is treated as the receiver antenna whereas the SGH (transmitter) antenna is mounted at the other end of the chamber. Measurements were carried out in both Azimuth (E-plane) and Elevation (H-plane) planes at different frequencies, including 4, 8, 10 and 11 GHz. The radiation pattern measurement setup is shown in figure 17.
At 4 GHz, azimuth plane pattern exhibits HPBW of around 50°. The first side lobe appears to be 11dB below the main lobe. The elevation plane pattern reveals a broader beam with a HPBW of around 134° and first back lobe is 14dB below the main lobe. The normalized co and cross polar radiation patterns in both planes at frequency of 4 GHz are shown in Figure 18.

Figure 17- Radiation Pattern measurement setup
At 8GHz, Azimuth plane pattern reveals a lot of side lobes and consequently main lobe is narrower than it was at 4GHz. A HPBW of $38^\circ$ is observed in this case. The elevation plane pattern shows a broader pattern with a HPBW close to $86^\circ$. The first side lobe level is almost 11dB below the main lobe.
As frequency is increasing the main lobe is becoming more and more directive as can be seen in the azimuth plane pattern at 10 GHz shown in Figure 20. The side lobes have increased in number with a corresponding decrease in their magnitude levels. The cross polarization level is below -20dB in the direction of maximum radiation. A HPBW of around 25° is observed in this case. The gain at 10 GHz is around 10.2dBi. The elevation plane pattern shows similar characteristics but with a HPBW of around 45°.

Figure 19- Normalized E-Plane and H-Plane radiation patterns at 8 GHz
At 11GHz, azimuth and elevation plane patterns still show directive properties but the main lobe is much wider than before. HPBW in azimuth plane is around 45° whereas in elevation plane it is around 52°. Cross polarization is overlapping the co polarization level on the side lobes.

Figure 20- Normalized E-Plane and H-Plane radiation patterns at 10 GHz
4.2.6- Summary

The antenna characteristics with measured results are summarized in table 4. The results show that due to the planar profile, physically compact size, wide impedance bandwidth and directive performance over a wide bandwidth the antipodal Vivaldi antenna is a good candidate for use with the Novelda UWB radar chip and other UWB integrated circuits and portable electronics.
### Physical Profile
Planar, Physically compact (57mmx52 mm)

### Impedance bandwidth
From 2.7 GHz to more than 12 GHz

### Radiation Pattern
Directive (Max.directivity= 11.8dBi at around 8.4 GHz)

### Phase
Linear phase throughout the impedance bandwidth except few rapid transitions at resonant frequencies

### Group Delay
Almost 0.5ns throughout the impedance bandwidth with deviations at few frequencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (GHz)</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak Gain (dBi)</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPBW(In degrees) (Azimuth Plane)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPBW(In degrees) (Elevation Plane)</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4- Antenna results summary**
Chapter 5

Conclusions and future work

5.1- Conclusions

In this thesis work a comprehensive study of directional UWB antennas is presented. Extra challenges in the design of an UWB antenna are also discussed in detail. A physically compact and directional antipodal Vivaldi antenna was being modeled and fabricated to use with the Novelda impulse based UWB radar chip. The antenna is used with the Novelda radar chip for one dimensional movement tracking of different objects. The picture of the complete system is shown in Figure 22.

5.2- Future work

As in planar antenna designs, the antenna parameters are directly related to the dielectric characteristics and thickness of the substrate material used. Therefore, in the future other substrate materials could be used to improve the results. In order to reduce the backward radiation from the antenna, PMC (perfect magnetic conductor) surfaces can be developed and used as ground plane with the antenna which will result in enhancement of the antenna efficiency as well as directivity.
The Novelda impulse based UWB radar chip promise to be at the heart of many applications in the field of medical diagnosis and health monitoring. New planar, directional UWB antennas for these kinds of applications need to be developed. Heart beat and human breathing detection is also possible with impulse based radar chips. New compact directive antennas operable over the lower frequency band of the UWB range are needed to be developed so that these antennas can be placed on the human chest and penetrate through it in order to capture small changes in signal level caused by the continuous motion of the heart.
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